Quality Assurance/ Quality Control Plan

Opacity Monitor EU Wood Boiler Biewer Sawmill

Company:	Biewer Sawmill
Location:	McBain, MI
Printed:	March 20th, 2007

Filename: Biewer Sawmill QAQC rev 2.doc

AQD-CADILLAC RECEIVED MAR 2 3 2007

TABLE OF CONTENTS

GLOS	SSARY OF TERMS AND ACRONYMS	. iii
Sectio	n 1	. 1
Introd	luction	. 1
A.	Definitions of Quality Assurance and Quality Control	1
В.	Quality Assurance Policy	. 1
C.	Objective of Quality Assurance Plan	. 2
D.	Scope of Quality Assurance Plan	2
E.	Document Control	. 2
Sectio	n 2	. 3
Organ	ization and Responsible Individuals	. 3
A.	Intra-departmental Communication	. 4
B.	Inter-departmental Communication	. 5
Sectio	n 3	. 6
Descri	ption of Facility and Opacity Monitor	. 6
А.	Facility Description	. 6
B.	Opacity Monitor Monitoring Solutions, Inc. Model DR-290	. 6
Section	n 4	. 9
	Recording and Reporting	
А.	Daily Record Keeping	
B. 1. 2.		10
C.	Audit Reports	17
Section	1 5	18
Qualit	y Assurance Activities	18
А.	Overview	18
B. 1) 2) 3) 4)	Quality Assurance Training Quality Assurance Plan General Training Periodic and Refresher Training Standard Operating Procedures (SOP)	18 19 19
C. 1)	Quarterly Opacity Field Audit Performance Tests Preliminary Data	

2) Fault Lamp Checks	.21
3		
4	· •	
5		
6) Transceiver Dust Accumulation Check	.23
7) Optical Alignment Assessment	24
8		
9	Averaging Period Calculation and Recording Check	26
1	0) Calculations	26
1	1) System Response Time Check	28
D.	Operational Test Period	34
Sectio	n 6	36
Qualit	y Control Activities	36
А.	Daily Calibration Drift Check	36
B.	Out-of-Control Period	37
Section	n 7	38
Monita	or Maintenance	38
А.	Preventive Maintenance	38
B.	Corrective Actions	39
C.	Spare Parts	42
Section	<i>v 8</i>	43
Refere	NCes	43

GLOSSARY OF TERMS AND ACRONYMS

Accuracy	The measure of the closeness of a measurement to its true value. Although the true value of gas is not known, it can be approximated by the use of an appropriate standard of reference. For example, a National Institute of Standard and Technology Standard (formerly NBS) Reference Material (NISTO-SRM) is a primary standard used to assess accuracy. Secondary standards are also used as an approximation to the "true value" although errors may be introduced using these secondary standards.
Angle of View	The angle that contains all of the radiation detected by the photo detector assembly of the analyzer at a level greater than 2.5% of the peak detector response. The total angle of view will be no greater than 4 degrees.
Angle of Projection	The angle that contains all of the radiation projected from the lamp assembly of the analyzer at a level of greater than 2.5% of the peak illuminance. The total angle of projection will be no greater than 4 degrees.
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials
Attenuate	To lessen the amount, force, magnitude, or value of (light).
Attenuator	An apparatus used to lessen the amount, force, magnitude, or value of (light); another name for a neutral density filter or screen
Audit	An audit is an independent assessment of the accuracy of data. Independence is achieved by having the audit performed by an operator other than the person conducting the routine measurements and by using audit standards and procedures different from those routinely used in the monitoring.
Audit Jig	A device that when attached to the opacity transceiver, or permanently installed, allows the insertion of neutral density filters in the opacity light beam. The device is used during the calibration error (CE) test of the opacity monitor.
Calibration	
Drift (CD)	The difference in the opacity monitor output reading from a reference value after a period of operating during which no unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment took place. The reference value is supplied by a reflecting mirror and a neutral density filter or screen which can be automatically or manually inserted into the light beam path of the monitor. The CD error is calculated as the difference (in percent opacity) between the correct value and the observed value for the zero and upscale calibration value.

Calibration Error Test (CE)	A calibration error test is a performance audit of an opacity monitor in which a three point audit is conducted. Three certified neutral density filters (low, mid, and high-range) are placed in the opacity monitor light beam five nonconsecutive times and the monitor responses are recorded from the opacity monitor data recorder. From the data, a calibration error is calculated.
СОМ	<u>Continuous Opacity Monitor</u> - That portion of the instrument that senses the pollutant and generates an output that is a function of the opacity (the transceiver and the retro reflector units). A COM is also known as a transmissometer.
COMS	<u>Continuous Opacity Monitoring System</u> - The total equipment used to sample, analyze, and provide a permanent record of opacity monitoring data on a continuous basis. This equipment typically includes the transceiver, retro reflector, blowers, control unit, data record and processing hardware and software. A COMS may also be known as a transmissometer system.
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations. The opacity monitor is designed to help the user meet the requirements of Title 40 CFR Part 60.
chip	Integrated Circuit - a microelectronic semiconductor device.
DIP Switch	A group of subminiature switches, usually slide switches, housed in a Dual In-line Package (integrated circuit header) configuration.
Emission Outlet Path length	The path length at the location where emissions are released to the atmosphere.
EPA	Environmental Protection Agency; regulating body that oversees and controls environmental issues.
EU	Engineering Units
FET	Field Effect Transistor - an active three terminal semiconductor device.
LED	Light Emitting Diode - a solid state miniature indicator light.
Millivolt (mv)	An electrical unit of measure equal to $1 \ge 10^{-3}$ volt.
Monitor	Instrument that measures a flue gas characteristic such as opacity.

Biewer Sawmill – McBain, MI- Opacity Monitor Quality Assurance – Quality Control Manual

Monitor Malfunction	Any interruption in the collection of data as a result of the failure of any component of the opacity monitor to operate within specifications of the manufacturer or Performance Specification 1 (PS-1).
Monitor Path length	The path length at the installed location of the opacity monitor.
Nanometer (nm)	Unit measure of length equal to $1 \ge 10^{-9}$ meter. Commonly used to describe wavelengths of light.
NBS	National Bureau of Standards - an agency of the US government chartered to maintain standards of measurement.
NEMA	National Electrical Manufacturers Association - a standards-making organization. Enclosures (e.g., junction boxes, instrument racks, switch boxes, etc.) are rated by their manufacturers to meet various NEMA standards.
Neutral Density (ND) Filter	An optical filter or screen which attenuates light uniformly over the wavelength range of interest. The wavelength range of interest for an opacity monitor is the visible light spectrum of 400 to 700 nanometers (nm). ND filters are used for the assessment of calibration error and are used for the assessment of the daily calibration drift (upscale calibration check or span check). ND filters may also be referred to as screens, attenuators, or audit filters.
Opacity	The fraction of incident light that is attenuated by an optical medium. Opacity (Op) and Transmittance (Tr) are related by: Op=1-Tr.
Optical Density	A logarithmic measure of the amount of incident light attenuated.
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Administration.
Out-Of-Control Period	The time period which the opacity monitor may not be collecting valid data; or data which may not be used to demonstrate compliance.
Path length	The depth of effluent in the light beam between the receiver and the transmitter of a single-pass transmissometer, or the depth of effluent between the transceiver and reflector of a double-pass transmissometer.

v

Biewer Sawmill – McBain, MI- Opacity Monitor Quality Assurance – Quality Control Manual

Performance Audit	A quantitative evaluation of the opacity monitor operation. Usually the accuracy of the opacity monitor is determined by using known reference standard (ND Filters).		
Pot	Potentiometer - a three terminal variable resistor. Position of sliding contact can be adjusted by rotating a shaft or screw or by sliding a control tab or knob. Miniature screw-adjusted units are commonly called trimpots; multi- turn knob-adjusted units are called helipots; linear-adjusted units are called slidepots.		
QA/QC	Quality Assurance/Quality Control		
Response Time	The amount of time it takes the opacity monitor to display, on a data recorder, 95% of a step change in opacity.		
Routine Maintenance	An orderly program of actions designed to prevent the failure of opacity monitor parts during their use.		
(Daily) Span	Refer to Upscale Calibration Value.		
Span Value	For most opacity monitor, the span value is set to 100% opacity however; the span value may also be specified by regulations at a value other than 100%. Sometimes the Span Value is referred to as the range of the monitor.		
Systems Audit	A qualitative evaluation of opacity operation. Emissions data, logs, QA/QC data and the operational information are reviewed by regulator officials or by a corporate environmental auditor in order to determine the operational status of the opacity monitor relative to the applicable regulations or to the company's objectives.		
Transmittance	The fraction of incident light that is transmitted through an optical medium.		
Upscale Calibration Value	Sometimes referred to as the span or daily span (The opacity value at which a calibration check of the opacity monitor is performed by simulating an upscale opacity condition. The upscale calibration value is simulated with a filter or screen).		
Zero	A simulated or actual level where the opacity is at zero (0) percent. A simulated zero is initiated daily when a mirror in the transceiver unit moves into the light path. An actual zero may be performed when the opacity monitor is mounted on the stack and no emissions are in the stack or duct (clean stack conditions) or by removing the opacity monitor (transceiver and retro reflector) from the stack to achieve the actual zero.		

Section 1. Introduction

This Quality Assurance/Quality Control (QA/QC) Plan has been prepared to support the operation of the opacity monitor at the Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility, wood-fired Boiler installed for measurement of opacity. The opacity monitor serves the following functions:

- 1. Compliance with construction and operating permit requirements
- 2. Provide process data to assist operation and maintenance of pollution control equipment

This plan is developed according to guidelines developed by the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) as specified in 40 CFR 60, Appendix A and Db, Performance Spec. 1, 40 CFR 60, Appendix F, Quality Assurance Procedures, and ASTM D6216-98 which include general requirements for the installation, certification, operation, and maintenance of opacity monitor.

A. **Definitions of Quality Assurance and Quality Control**

Quality Assurance and Quality Control (QA/QC) serve independent functions. QA is the series of activities performed to evaluate the overall effectiveness of the maintenance and QC efforts. QC involves those activities undertaken to determine that the product or service is effective in maintaining an accurate and reliable output of opacity monitor data.

Quality Control functions often are comprised of a series of frequent internal checks, such as monitor inspections, periodic calibrations, and routine maintenance. Quality Assurance involves less frequent external checks on product quality and is used to evaluate the total quality control process.

External quality assurance evaluations may include independent monitor audits, third party sampling and analysis, and/or comparisons to known calibration standards. This Plan encompasses both QA and QC functions and identifies which function is fulfilled by the specific activity.

B. **Quality Assurance Policy**

Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility's policy is to efficiently operate and maintain its facilities in accordance with good operating practices (GOP) and applicable environmental regulations. Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility is committed to ensuring that all environmental monitor are operating within acceptable limits and that its operations are in compliance with operating and environmental permits.

C. **Objective of Quality Assurance Plan**

Biewer Sawmill, McBain, MI recognizes that the reliability and acceptability of opacity monitor data depends on completion of all activities stipulated in a well-defined QA plan. The objective of this QA plan is to define the necessary activities that guarantee opacity monitor data quality is maintained at acceptable levels. The plan also provides the framework for implementing QA activities by addressing items such as documentation, training, corrective actions, and preventive maintenance measures.

D. Scope of Quality Assurance Plan

This QA plan is specific to the operation and maintenance of the opacity monitor installed at the Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility. The QA Plan goal is to obtain and evaluate emissions data of known and acceptable quality in support of the air pollution control equipment operation. The data obtained is used to demonstrate compliance with the following EPA, state and local emission and monitoring regulations:

40 CFR 60, Appendix B, Performance Specification 1 and Subpart Db

40 CFR 60, Appendix F; Quality Assurance Procedures

ASTM D 6216-98

Michigan DEQ Administrative Code, Rule 336.1201

Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility Operating Permit

Activities not fully discussed may include, but are not limited to, instrument maintenance manuals, operating procedures, plant quality control procedures, and plant internal procedures for procurement and inventory control. These activities may be referenced in this QA Plan and may be updated, replaced, or deleted without notice or change to this plan.

E. **Document Control**

This QA Plan includes procedures that ensure changes and revisions to this plan are communicated to all appropriate individuals and should be reviewed periodically. Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility will be responsible for ensuring that all changes and revisions are incorporated in the basic document.

Section 2. Organization and Responsible Individuals

The following figures provide the current organizational chart for the Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility. Facility responsibilities as they apply to the opacity monitor are shown in the chart.

Biewer Sawmill Inc. Organizational Chart

Rich Reinemann – Plant Manager Wes Windover – Procurement Manager Brad Hanson – Electrical / Computer Maintenance Supervisor Eric Christie – Mechanical Maintenance Supervisor Brian Thomsen – A.M Boiler / Kiln Supervisor Chad Butkovich - A.M Boiler / Kiln Supervisor Jeff Sluiter – P.M. Boiler Operator Scott Mackenroth - P.M. Boiler Operator



Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility Organizational Information

Communication of Information, Data and Reports

An effective quality assurance program communicates the results of QA/QC activities to all affected parties. This QA plan makes provisions for the proper recording and communication of QA and QC information and provides the necessary mechanisms for triggering corrective actions based on the contents of QA and QC reports. Two types of communication processes used to convey emission information regarding the facility are Intra-departmental Communication and Inter-departmental Communication.

A. Intra-departmental Communication

Intra-departmental communication involves the flow of reports and data between department personnel. Its primary function is to allow for internal evaluation of data and provide determination of corrective action when needed. Information that is evaluated as intra-departmental communication include Quality Control Data and Quality Control Reports, Quality Assurance Data and Quality Assurance Reports.

a.) QC Data and Reports

Various QC checks, such as zero/span checks, visual inspections, and preventive maintenance are performed on a routine basis. Periodically, the Plant manager will:

- (i) Review the measured opacity monitor data and compare them with known data to determine if a QC check is within permissible limits.
- (ii) Contact the Boiler Operators to evaluate measured values for determining a probable cause when a data discrepancy occurs.
- (iii) If necessary, initiate a work order request or purchase order and document a detailed description of the problem, maintenance action, and problem resolution.
- b.) QA Audit Data and Reports

Quality assurance audits include quarterly Performance Audits. Audit reports contain comparisons between audit results and comparable opacity monitor data, completion of all calculations, and a determination of the pass/fail status of the audit. These quarterly audits are performed in accordance with standard procedures specified in 40 CFR 60, Appendix B, PS 1 and 40 CFR 60, Appendix F and are used to determine opacity monitor accuracy on a periodic basis. The actual performance of an audit will be conducted by a contractor who will forward all audit reports to the Plant manager.

B. Inter-departmental Communication

Inter-departmental communication involves the flow of reports and data between different departments for the purpose of the operating needs of the facility.

The Plant manager will:

- 1) Compile and distribute QA results and QA reports to all appropriate departments.
- 2) Prepare QA reports and transmit them to the EPA and other necessary local regulatory agencies.

Documentation of QA/QC data and information is an integral part of this QA Plan. Collection of opacity monitoring data is subject to various QA/QC measures to assure that data are of known and acceptable accuracy and precision.

Section 3. Description of Facility and Opacity Monitor

A. Facility Description

Biewer Sawmill Incorporated was established in 1985 at 6251 West Gerwoude Drive, McBain, MI 49657. Current plant layout includes a modern sawmill, gas/wood fired and natural gas boilers, 3 dry kilns, planer mill and shavings bagging operations.

Sawmill produces 70 million bft. of dimensional lumber and timbers. Residuals are sold into appropriate markets with surplus used to fuel a 40,000 lb/hr Wellon's wood fired boiler which produces steam for heating the facility and lumber drying operations. Lumber is dried in three Wellon's computerized multi-zone dry kilns to 19% moisture or less. Kiln capacity is 100,000bft. per kiln charge. Dried lumber is surfaced at the planer mill with a Newman 990 planer, graded, sorted by grade and dimension and packaged for shipment. Shavings from planer are bagged with a Premier Tech 600 baler and are utilized in animal bedding markets.

B. Opacity Monitor – Monitoring Solutions, Inc. Model DR-290

The Monitoring Solutions, Inc. CEMOP-290 opacity monitor consists of four major components: the transmissometer, the terminal control box, the air-purging system and the remote control unit and recording equipment. The transmissometer component consists of an optical transmitter/receiver (transceiver) unit mounted on one side of a stack or duct and a retroreflector unit mounted on the opposite side. The transceiver unit contains the light source, the photodiode detector, and the associated electronics.

The terminal control box mounted near the transceiver unit provides an on-stack analog readout of the milliamp output from the transceiver and can be used as a diagnostic tool.

The air purging system serves a threefold purpose: 1) it provides an air window to keep exposed optical surfaces clean; 2) it protects the optical surfaces from condensation of stack gas moisture; and 3) it minimizes thermal conduction from the stack to the instrument. A standard installation has one air-purging system for both the transceiver and the retroreflector units.

The remote control unit converts the nonlinear transmittance output from the transceiver (a milliamp signal) into linear opacity corrected to stack exit conditions.

The opacity monitor measures the amount of light transmitted through the effluent from the transceiver to the retroreflector and back again. The control unit uses the effluent transmittance to calculate the optical density of the effluent at the monitor location, or the "path" optical density. In order to provide stack exit opacity data, the path optical density must be corrected. The correction factor is expressed as the ratio of the stack exit inside diameter to the inside diameter of the stack at the transmissometer location. This ratio is called the "stack correction factor" (SCF) by Monitoring Solutions, Inc. The following equations illustrate the relationship between this ratio, path optical density, and stack exit opacity.

 $L_X/L_t =$ stack correction factor

where:

 L_X = stack exit inside diameter (ft) L_t = the stack inside diameter (or duct width) at the monitor location (ft)

$$OP_{X} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{opacity}{100}\right)^{stackcorre\ ctionfacto\ r}$$

where:

= stack exit opacity (%)

Calibration Check

OP_x

When a calibration cycle is initiated – either automatically by the Remote Signal Processor, or manually by the operator – a command signal entering the Transceiver electronics starts a series of events. An electric motor moves the zero reflector to a position that will intercept the measuring beam and return it through the transceiver window to the photo diode.

The zero reflector remains in this position during a six-minute cycle. The six-minute cycle is divided into four one-minute thirty second segments. The first one and a half minute, the internal zero (original clean window value) is established and the output is automatically adjusted to zero (4 ma). During the second one and a half minute segment, the light energy of the beam – after it has passed through the window to the zero reflector and back – is stored in the Remote Signal Processor Unit. The difference between this value and the original clean window value represents the window soiling. This value is compared with an alarm setpoint value; and if excessive, (more than 3.5 percent of the measured range) will produce a window alarm. The value now stored in memory will be used in computing the opacity with compensation for window soiling for the duration of the next measuring period.

A span check is displayed during the third one and a half minute segment by positioning a wire screen of a known attenuation value into the path of the measuring beam. The final one and a half minute segment displays the Stack Correction Factor (SCF) as an option or acts a purging period. At the end of the six-minute calibration cycle, the instrument returns to measuring the effluent in the stack or duct.

Section 4. Data Recording and Reporting

The continuous data measured by the CEMOP-290 is recorded on a paperless strip chart recorder; Omega Model #8802MD. The instantaneous readings are recorded into a memory module. The recorder calculates a 6 minute rolling average for reporting purposes. An additional 6 minute rolling average is available directly from the opacity monitor to the recorder for future usage if necessary. The following information is documented on the memory module:

- Calibrations
- Monitor malfunction
- Opacity exceedences

In compliance with the Title V permit, the continuous opacity monitoring system (COMS) data is monitored and recorded in two categories:

- 1. Normal operations data, and
- 2. Audit data.

COMS data generated to document normal operations (including startups, shutdowns, exceedences, etc.) is recorded in the following way:

A. Daily Record Keeping

- 1. Strip Chart Recorder
 - a. After the daily calibrations have run, the Boiler Operators will review the data recorded to verify daily operation is acceptable. He will also look at the opacity control unit to verify additional information and to record in a daily log items out of the ordinary:
 - boiler startups,
 - boiler shutdowns,
 - monitor malfunctions, and/or
 - opacity exceedences
 - b. The Boiler Operators will also record the above information on the Opacity log form (Figure 1) in the Control Room.
 - c. All six-minute opacity exceedences will also be recorded on the Excess Emission Report form (Figure 2) by the Boiler Operators.

- d. The Boiler Operator will send the completed Excess Emission Reports (Figure 2) to the Plant Manager at the end of every month.
- 2. Opacity Maintenance Log

A permanent opacity monitor maintenance log is maintained by the Boiler Operators for the opacity monitor. The Mechanical Maintenance personnel enters into the opacity monitor maintenance log, detailed descriptions of any preventive and corrective actions performed on the opacity monitor components. This record also documents the use of spare parts.

The opacity monitor maintenance log shall includes at a minimum:

- a. A record of any repairs, adjustments, or maintenance to each opacity monitor;
- b. Operator training records;
- c. Records of any period in which each opacity monitor is operative.

A periodic review of the opacity monitor maintenance log by the Plant Manager will provide a guide to possible problem trends with the opacity monitor and input as to the needs of the maintenance procedures and spare parts inventory.

Table 4-1

Site Opacity Limits

In compliance with both the federally approved Michigan DEQ and Biewer Sawmill permit to operate (item 5), excess emissions are defined as all instances of opacity values in excess limitations specified in the operating permit. Specifically, all six-minute periods in which the average opacity was greater than 20% except for

- (1) one six-minute period per hour of not more than 27%,
- (2) start-up,
- (3) shut down,
- (4) malfunctioning,

B. Data Reporting

1. Excess Emissions and Downtime Report (detail)

a. Create a new folder for the current quarter on the appropriate drive of the Plant Manager's computer. Name the folder "qtr QTR year.xls":

Where:

9	qtr	=	1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd or 4 th , as appropriate
0	year		the current year (i.e., 2005, 2006)

- b. Open the previous quarters report folder and copy all the existing files into the current quarter report folder (created in step one).
- c. Rename the files in the current quarter folder (created in step one) to reflect the appropriate quarter.

Where:

- Month = the month of the current data (i.e. Jan, Feb, March)
 YY = the year of the current data (i.e. 05)
- Boiler = the boiler that the data represents (i.e. B002 or B003)
- d. Open the "Excess Emission and Downtime Data Month'YY Boiler.xls" for the first month and boiler that you will be entering data for. (Figure 3)
- e. Enter the appropriate "Excess Emission and Downtime (Figure 2) into the file that was opened in step 4 above. (Figure 3).
- f. Add the appropriate "code" for each excursion.
- g. Re-save the file.
- h. Print/Make three (3) copies of the report (Figure 3).
- i. Submit one copy to the Michigan DEQ.
- j. File a copy of the report in the appropriate calendar quarterly report file located in the Plant Manager's office.
- 2. Summary Report

EXCESS EMISSIONS

- 1.) Retrieve either a hard copy or electronic copy of one of the monthly Excess Emission and Downtime Reports for the current quarter (i.e. Excess Emission and Downtime April'05-B002.xls).
- 2.) Sum the data into the into the following categories:
 - a. Total number of excess emission hours due to startup/shutdown
 - b. Total number of excess emission hours due to Control Equipment Problems.

- c. Total number of excess emission hours due to Process Problems.
- d. Total number of excess emission hours due to Other known Causes
- e. Total number of excess emission hours due to Unknown Causes
- 3.) Repeat steps 1 and 2 until the data has been compiled for all three months
- 4.) Enter the summary data from step 2 above into the "Emission Data Summary" area of the Summary Report (Figure 4).

DOWNTIME

- 1.) Retrieve either a hard copy or electronic copy of one of the monthly Excess Emission and Downtime Reports for the current quarter, for one of the two gas/gas/wood fired boilers (i.e. Excess Emission and Downtime April'05-B002.xls).
- 2.) Review the Opacity Performance Audit and/or check with the Maintenance Department for the amount of monitor downtime for the current quarter.
- 3.) Sum the data into the into the following categories:
 - a. Total number of downtime hours due to Monitor equipment malfunctions
 - b. Total number of downtime hours due to Non-monitor equipment malfunctions.
 - c. Total number of downtime hours due to Quality Assurance Calibration.
 - d. Total number of downtime hours due to Other known Causes.
 - e. Total number of downtime hours due to Unknown Causes.
- 4.) Repeat steps 1 -3 until the data has been compiled for all three months of both gas/wood fired units.
- 5.) Enter the summary data from step 3 above into the "CMS Performance Summary" area of the Summary Report (Figure 4).
- 6.) Print/Make three (3) copies of the report.
- 7.) Submit one copy to the Michigan DEQ.
- 8.) Send one copy to the Manager of Environmental Affairs
- 9.) File a copy of the report in the appropriate calendar quarterly report file located in the Plant Manager's office.

Figure 1

Biewer Sawmill McBain, MI

OPACITY LOG

			Date:			
Start Time	End Time	Reason	If there was a malfunction, how was it corrected?			
		- <u></u>				
	1					

Total Steam Load _____÷ 24 = Average Hourly Load _____

Reviewed by _____

Biewer Sawmill – McBain, MI- Opacity Monitor Quality Assurance – Quality Control Manual

Figure 2

Date Start Time End Time Diff. (min) Avg. Opac. Red						
Dau				Avg. Opat.	Reason	

··• · · ·						
···, · ·						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					

Figure 3

MONTH YEAR

OTR QUARTER REPORT YEAR Biewer Sawmill FACILITY UNIT #:______

CODES

- 1 Startup temp
- 2 Shutdown temp
- 3 Sootblowing
- 4 Ash removal
- 5 Source malfunction

- 6 Control equipment malfunction
- 7 Other (with explanation)
- 8 Unknown
- 9 Process problem
- 10 Monitoring equipment problem

"c" indicates call made to NEDO to report

Line No.	DATE	START TIME	OPACITY %	CODE	EXPLANATION/ CORRECTIVE ACTION
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · ·
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
					······································
			·····		al 1918 - Maria Alexi Maria Maria ang arawar ana ang arawar ang atao ang
	<u> </u>				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				

Note: Since the above exceedences/downtimes are only presented in 6-minute block averages, the duration for each excursion is 6 minutes and the end times are 6 minutes later than the start times.

Figure 4 SUMMARY REPORT (Quarterly)

OPACITY EXCESS EMISSION AND MONITORING SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

Pollutant:	Opacity
Reporting period dates:	······································
Company:	Biewer Sawmill
Emission Limitation:	See definition below
Address:	6251 W. Gerwoude Dr., McBain, MI 49657
Monitor Manufacturer:	Durag/Monitoring Solutions
Model No.:	CEMOP 290
Date of Latest CMS Certification or Audit:	
Process Unit Description:	Gas/Wood-fired Boiler
Total source operating time in reporting period:	

Emission Data Summary			CMS Performance Summary					
1.	Duration (in minutes) of excess emissions in reporting period due to:	Excess Emissions	1.	CMS downtime in reporting period (in minutes) due to:	Minutes			
a.	Startup/shutdown		a.	Monitor equipment malfunctions				
b.	Control equipment problems		b.	Non-monitor equipment malfunctions				
C.	Process problems		с.	Quality assurance calibration				
d.	Other known causes		d.	Other known causes				
e.	Unknown causes		e.	Unknown causes				
2.	Total duration of excess emissions		2.	Total CMS downtime	1			
3.	(Total duration of excess emissions) $x 100 \div$ (Total source operating time)		3.	(Total CMS downtime) x 100 ÷ (Total source operating time)				
Excess Emissions Definition:								
In compliance with both the federally approved Michigan and Biewer Sawmill permit to operate (item 5), excess emissions are								

In compliance with both the federally approved Michigan and Biewer Sawmill permit to operate (item 5), excess emissions are defined as all instances of opacity values in excess limitations as a six minute average of 20% opacity, except for one six minute average of not more than 27% opacity in any given hour.

Changes since last quarter in COMS, process or controls:

I, ______, certify that based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in the second quarter of 2007 Title V report are true, accurate and complete.

Name

Signature

Title

Date

Page 16

C. Audit Reports

The quarterly COMS audits for opacity monitor are performed by Monitoring Solutions, an outside contractor, in accordance with pre-approved procedures in compliance with 40 CFR 60, Appendix B, PS 1 and Appendix F. The audits and supporting documentation are documented reports prepared by the contractor and submitted to the Biewer Sawmill McBain facility for review of the draft and acceptance of the final report. These audits serve as verification of the accuracy of the opacity monitor data.

The reports and supporting records shall be retained by the Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility for a period of at least five years from the date of the monitoring sample.

Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility will prepare Opacity Excess Emissions and Summary Reports and will submit them along with the quarterly audit reports to the following agency on a calendar quarter basis:

AQD District Supervisor

The reports shall be submitted to the Michigan DEQ field office by January 31, April 30, July 30, and October 31 of each year. The report shall address and clearly identify all instances of deviations from permit requirements. An identification of each period during which each opacity monitor was inoperative, except for drift checks, the reasons therefore, and the nature of repairs or adjustments performed or to be performed shall be included.

All required reports must be certified by the Plant Manager.

Section 5. Quality Assurance Activities

A. Overview

The purpose of these procedures is to ensure that the opacity monitor installed at the Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility operate in such a manner as to provide accurate and reliable data. The opacity monitor' installation, certification and operation will be conducted under the direct supervision or persons qualified by training and experience. The McBain Facility's Maintenance Department will only perform minimal maintenance on the COMS. The McBain Facility has contracted Monitoring Solutions to provide quarterly preventive maintenance, including parts, for the opacity systems.

B. Quality Assurance Training

Training is an essential element of a successful QA/QC program. It provides the basic knowledge required to accomplish a procedure correctly. Training also provides an understanding in a given task or procedure, thereby enabling the individual involved to make effective decisions. Training is the framework about which activities are performed in a consistent manner regardless of who completes them.

1) Quality Assurance Plan

All employees involved in the opacity monitoring program must read and understand this QA/QC Plan. Individuals in the following positions will be given this manual to read and will sign an acknowledgement of receiving and understanding his/her responsibilities as defined by this manual:

- Plant manager
- Procurement Manager
- A.M. Boiler/Kiln Supervisors
- P.M. Boiler Operators
- Electrical/Computer Maintenance Supervisor
- Mechanical Maintenance Supervisor

2) General Training

General training may be viewed as providing a foundation. It is not intended as much to deliver detailed and specific knowledge, as it is to provide an understanding of the overall monitor and program goals. General training is common to all individuals directly involved in the opacity monitoring program. The following individuals have been given initial training by the COMS vendor on the system:

- Plant manager
- Procurement Manager
- A.M. Boiler/Kiln Supervisors
- P.M. Boiler Operators
- Electrical/Computer Maintenance Supervisor
- Mechanical Maintenance Supervisor

3) Periodic and Refresher Training

Special and refresher training is presented annually. Each affected employee receives appropriate training as procedures, operating parameters, or personnel changes are made. The Power and Production Superintendent, or designee, will be responsible for training as the need arises. Training records are maintained for each affected employee.

Whenever an employee neglects to correctly perform his/her duties as applicable to the COMS, he/she is retrained in that particular duty and the training is documented and filed with the affected data.

4) Standard Operating Procedures (SOP)

As with the QA Plan, all affected employees must, at a minimum, be familiar with and review appropriate SOP's as they are developed with experience.

C. Quarterly Opacity Field Audit Performance Tests

The following procedures and tests will be performed on each opacity monitor (40 CFR 60 App. B PS1) on a quarterly basis. The McBain Facility has contracted Monitoring Solutions to provide quarterly preventive maintenance, including parts, for the opacity systems. Activities performed by a Monitoring Solutions technician during a regular preventive maintenance visit typically include changing the opacity purge filters and performing the following field audit activities in accordance with pre-approved procedures in compliance with 40 CFR 60, Appendix B, PS 1 and Appendix F.

1) **Preliminary Data**

- a) Obtain the stack exit inside diameter (or equivalent exit diameter) and the stack or duct inside diameter or width at the monitor location. Record these values.
 - Note: Effluent handling monitor dimensions may be acquired from the following sources listed in descending order of reliability: 1) physical measurements, 2) construction drawings, 3) opacity monitor installation/certification documents, and 4) source personnel recollections.
- b) Calculate the stack correction factor and record the result.
- c) Record the source-cited stack correction.

The stack correction factor is preset by the manufacturer using information supplied by the source. The value recorded should be the value source personnel agree should be set inside the monitor.

The path length correction error should be within $\pm 2\%$. This error exponentially affects the opacity readings, resulting in over or underestimation of the stack exit opacity. The most common error in computing the optical path length correction factor is the use of the flange-to-flange distance in place of the stack/duct inside diameter at the monitor location. This error will result in underestimation of the stack exit opacity and can be identified by comparing the monitor optical path length to the flange-to-flange distance; the flange-to-flange distance should be greater by approximately two to four feet.

- d) Obtain the reference zero and span calibration values. Record these values.
 - **Note:** The reference zero and span calibration values may not be the same as the values recorded during instrument installation and/or certification. The zero and span values recorded should be the reference values recorded during the most recent clear-path calibration of the D-R 290.

2) Fault Lamp Checks

The following describes the Fault Lamp Analysis for the Monitoring Solutions, Inc. D-R 290 remote control unit. Unless otherwise noted, the audit can continue with illuminated fault lamps, provided the source has been informed of the fault conditions.

- a) An illuminated BLOWER fault lamp indicates a loss of power to the purge air blowers. If a blower fault is indicated, the audit should be postponed until source personnel repair the problem. Source personnel should be told of this fault immediately. Loss of purge air may damage the on-stack components of the opacity system due to prolonged exposure to corrosive stack gases.
- b) An illuminated FILTER fault lamp indicates that a reduction in purge air flow has been detected. The most likely causes of a filter fault are a clogged purge air filter or a crimped purge air hose. The audit can continue under filter block conditions; however, source personnel should be made aware of the condition so that repairs can be made at the completion of the audit.
- c) An illuminated WINDOW fault lamp indicates that the opacity of the measurement window exceeds the factory preset limit of 3.5 percent. Excessive window opacity may produce a positive bias in the effluent opacity data.
- d) An illuminated FAULT lamp indicates that one or more critical CEMS components have malfunctioned or are out of adjustment. An illuminated FAULT lamp should be accompanied by a fault code displayed on the front panel meter of the control unit. The nature of the fault can then be determined by consulting the instrument manual. If this lamp is illuminated, source personnel should determine the exact cause of the fault condition. The auditor should discuss the cause and magnitude of the fault condition with source personnel to determine of the audit can continue.

The parameters associated with each of the fault lamps are found in the manufacturer's manual. With the exception of alarms that warn of elevated opacity levels (alarm or warning lamps), the error codes indicate that the D-R 290 is not functioning properly.

3) Instrument Range Check

Check the D-R 290 measurement range by pressing the RANGE button (the LED on the button will light up). Record the instrument range.

4) Reference Signal, Zero and Span Checks

- a) Initiate the calibration cycle by pressing the CALIBRATION button (the LED on the button will light up). The monitor will automatically cycle through the internal zero, external zero, and span modes.
- b) Record the internal zero milliamp value displayed on the control panel display.
 - Note: The internal zero checks the instrument reference signal. Since the instrument provides a full scale output of 4 to 20 milliamps, a value of 4 milliamps displayed on the control unit display represents a zero condition. After 1-½ minutes in the internal zero mode, the monitor will automatically switch to the external zero mode.
- c) Record the external zero value (in milliamps) displayed on the control unit display. Record the external zero value (in percent opacity) displayed on the opacity data recorder.

During the zero calibration check, the zero mirror is moved into the path of the measurement beam by a servomotor. The zero mechanism is designed to present the transceiver with a simulated clear-path condition. The daily zero check does not test the actual clear-path zero, nor does it provide a check of cross-stack parameters such as the optical alignment of the Transmissometer or drift in the reflectance of the retro reflector. The actual clear-path zero can only be checked during clear-stack or off-stack calibration of the D-R 290. In addition to simulating the instrument clear-path zero, the zero mechanism allows the amount of dust on the transceiver optics (primary lens and zero mirror) to be quantified. After two minutes in the external zero mode, the D-R 290 will automatically enter the span mode.

The D-R 290 internal zero should be set to indicate 0% opacity (equivalent to 3.7 - 4.3 mA). An external zero error greater than 4% opacity is usually due to excessive dust accumulation on the optical surfaces, electronic drift or an electronic/mechanical offset of the data recorder. Excessive dust on the optical surfaces sufficient to cause a significant zero error would be indicated by the difference in the internal and external zero values and/or window alarm. Instrument span error may be caused by the same problems that cause zero errors and may be identified in a similar fashion. A span error may also be caused by an inaccurately names span filter.

The external zero displayed on the control unit panel meter also indicates the level of dust accumulation on the zero retro-reflector and transceiver measurement window. The difference between the internal and external zero responses should equal the amount of dust found on the transceiver optics. To convert the zero responses to a value that represents lens dusting in percent opacity, use the following equation.

Meter response in % opacity = 6.25 [external zero value (mA) - (internal zero value (mA)]

d) Record the span value (in milliamps) displayed on the control unit panel meter. Record the span value (in percent opacity) displayed on the data recorder. Go to the Transmissometer location.

During the span calibration check, a servomotor moves a span filter into the path of the measurement beam while the zero mirror is in place. The span mechanism is designed to provide an indication of the upscale accuracy of the D-R 290 relative to the simulated clear-path zero. The D-R 290 automatically returns to the measurement mode when the calibration cycle is complete.

If the zero and span errors are due to a data recorder offset, both errors will be in the same direction and will be of the same magnitude.

5) **Retroreflector Dust Accumulation Check**

- a) Record the effluent opacity prior to cleaning the retro-reflector optics.
- b) Open the transceiver housing, inspect and clean the retro-reflector optics, and close the housing.
- c) Record the post-cleaning effluent opacity. Go to the transceiver location.

6) Transceiver Dust Accumulation Check

- a) Record the pre-cleaning effluent opacity.
- b) Open the transceiver, clean the optics (primary lens and zero mirror) and close the transceiver.
- c) Record the post-cleaning effluent opacity.

The results of the dust accumulation check should not exceed 4%. A dust accumulation value of more than 4% opacity indicates that the airflow of the purge system and/or the cleaning frequency of the optical surfaces are inadequate. When determining the optical surface dust accumulation, the auditor should note whether the effluent opacity is relatively stable (within $\pm 2\%$ opacity) before and after cleaning the optical surfaces. If the effluent opacity is fluctuating by more that $\pm 2\%$, the dust accumulation analysis should be omitted.

7) **Optical Alignment Assessment**

- a) Determine the monitor alignment by looking through the alignment port of the side of the transceiver.
- b) Observe whether the image is centered in the cross hairs.

When the transceiver and retro-reflector are misaligned, a portion of the measurement beam that should be returned to the measurement detector is misdirected, resulting in a positive bias in the data reported by the D-R 290. One of the most common causes of misalignment is vibration which may cause the on-stack components to shift slightly on the instrument mounting flanges. Another common cause of misalignment is thermal expansion and contraction of the structure on which the transmissometer is mounted. If the D-R 290 is being audited while the unit is off-line (cold stack), the results of the alignment analysis may not be representative of the alignment of the instrument when the stack or duct is at normal operating temperature.

8) Calibration Error Check

The calibration error check is performed using three neutral density filters: Low level 5-10%, Mid level 10-20%, High level 20-40% (per ASTM D6216-98, Paragraph 7.5). Neutral Density (ND) filters, used for calibration error assessment are required to be certified semi-annually. ND filters must be certified in accordance with the basic procedures specified in 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix B, Performance Spec. 1.

Performing the calibration error check on-stack using the filters, determines the linearity of the instrument response relative to the current clear-path zero setting. This calibration error check does not determine the accuracy of the actual instrument clear-path zero or the status of any cross-stack parameters. A true calibration check is performed by moving the on-stack components to a location with minimal ambient opacity, making sure that the proper path length and alignments are attained, and then placing the calibration filters in the measurement path.

Calibration error results in excess of $\pm 3\%$ are indicative of a non-linear or miscalibrated instrument. However, the absolute calibration accuracy of the monitor can be determined only when the instrument clear-path zero value is known. If the zero and span data are out-of-specification, the calibration error data will often be biased in the direction of the zero and span errors. Even if the zero and span data indicate that the D-R 290 is calibrated properly, the monitor may still be inaccurate due to error in the clear-path zero adjustment.

The optimum calibration procedure involves using neutral density filters during clear-stack or off-stack D-R 290 calibration. This procedure would establish both the absolute calibration accuracy and linearity of the D-R 290. If this procedure is impractical, and it is reasonable to assume that the clear-path zero is set correctly, the monitor's calibration can be set using either the neutral density filters or the internal zero and span values.

- a) Install the audit jig and adjust it for zero.
- b) Record the audit filter serial numbers and opacity values.
- c) Remove the filters from their protective covers, inspect and if necessary, clean them.
- d) Record the zero value.
- e) Insert the low range neutral density filter into the audit jig.
- f) Wait approximately two minutes or until a clear value has been recorded and displayed on the data recorder.
 - **Note:** The data should be taken from a data recording/reporting device that presents instantaneous opacity (or opacity data with the shortest available integration period)).
- g) Record the D-R 290 response to the low range neutral density filter.
- h) Remove the low range filter and insert the mid range neutral density filter.
- i) Wait approximately two minutes and record the D-R 290 response to the mid range neutral density filter.
- j) Remove the mid range filter and insert the high range filter.
- k) Wait approximately two minutes and record the D-R 290 response to the high range neutral density filter.

- 1) Remove the high range filter, wait approximately two minutes, and record the zero value.
- m) Repeat steps e) through m) until a total of five opacity readings are obtained for each neutral density filter.

9) Averaging Period Calculation and Recording Check

To obtain the six-minute integrated opacity data, repeat the steps in the Calibration Error Check, changing the waiting periods to 13 minutes. (Note: In order to acquire valid six-minute averaged opacity data, each filter must remain in for at least two consecutive six-minute periods plus one minute; the first period will be invalid because it was in progress when the filter was inserted. A waiting period of 13 minutes is required.)

During each calendar quarter, the permittee shall be deemed in compliance with paragraph (A)(1) of rule R336.2001 of the OAC if the following conditions are met:

- i. The nonexempt opacity values in excess of twenty per cent opacity are less than 1.10 per cent of the six-minute average opacity values.
- ii. None of the nonexempt six-minute average opacity values exceeds sixty per cent.
- iii. The total amount of time, in minutes, of exempt and nonexempt opacity values greater than 20% and less than 27%, except for one 6 minute period per hour of not more than 27% (not including start-up, shutdown, and malfunction, intermittent soot blowing, intermittent ash removal exemptions provided in paragraphs (A)(2) and (A)(3) of rule R336.2001 of the Administrative Code) does not exceed the product of 0.10 times the actual number of hours the emissions unit was in operation during the calendar quarter.

10) Calculations

- a) When the calibration error check is complete, remove the audit jig. Close the transceiver head and the weather cover, and return to the D-R 290 control unit.
- b) Return to the control unit location and reset the opacity instrument range to its original setting, if necessary.
- c) Obtain a copy of the audit data from the data recorder and complete the required calculations.

Arithmetic Mean

$$\overline{d} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i$$

Where: $\overrightarrow{\mathbf{d}} =$ mean of the differences, n = number of data points, $d_i =$ the difference between a reference method value and the corresponding CEMS value (RM_i - CEM_i) at a given point in time, "i".

Standard Deviation



Where:

 S_d

n

Xi

=

Standard deviation,

= number of data points,

 the difference between a reference method value and the corresponding monitoring system value (RM_i -CEM_i) at a given point in time, "i".

Confidence Coefficient (one-tailed)

$$CC = \frac{t_{0.975} S_d}{\sqrt{n}}$$

Where:

CC = Confidence coefficient $t_{0.975} = t$ -value

n	t.975	n	t.975	n	t.975
2	12.706	7	2.447	12	2.201
3	4.303	8	2.385	13	2.179
4	3.182	9	2.306	14	2.160
5	2.776	10	2.262	15	2.145
6	2.571	11	2.228	16	2.131

The values in this table are already corrected for n-1 degrees of Freedom. Use n equal to the number of data points.

Opacity Calibration Error

Calculate the calibration error (calibration error, zero drift error, and calibration drift error) as the sum of the absolute value of the mean difference and the 95 percent confidence coefficient for each of the three test attenuators as follows:

$$Er = |\overline{x}| + |CC|$$

Where:

Er = Error.

11) System Response Time Check

Using a high-level calibration attenuator, alternately insert the filter five times and remove it from the external audit device. For each filter insertion and removal, measure the amount of time required for the opacity monitor to display 95 percent of the step change in opacity on the data recorder.

- For the upscale response time, measure the time from insertion of the filter for the monitor to display of 95 percent of the final, steady upscale reading.
- For the downscale response time, measure the time from removal of the filter for the monitor to display0 5 percent of the initial upscale reading.
- Calculate the mean of the five upscale response time measurements and the mean of the five downscale response time measurements.

Results of the field audit performance tests are typically recorded on forms similar to the forms on the following pages.

AUDIT DATA SHEET MONITORING SOLUTIONS MODEL CEMOP-290 OPACITY CEMS

Corporation: Biewer Sawmill	Plant/Site:	McBain, Ml							
Process Unit/Stack ID:Unit # Auditor:0	Representing: Representing:	Monitoring Soluti	ions, Inc.						
Attendees: 0 Remote serial number: 0 Transceiver serial number: 0 Reflector serial number: 0 Date: MM/DD/YYYY	Flange to flange	e distance:	0"						
Preliminary Data1Stack exit inside diameter (FT) = Lx2Stack (or duct) inside diameter at the transmissor3Calculated optical path length correction factor =4Source-cited optical path length correction factor5Source-cited zero automatic calibration value (%6Source-cited span automatic calibration value (%	= Lx/Lt r 6 opacity)	-T) = Lt	% %						
[GO TO CONTROL UNIT / DATA RECORDER LOCATION] [INSPECT DATA RECORDING SYSTEM AND MARK WITH "OPACITY AUDIT," AUDITOR'S NAME, AFFILIATION, DATE, SOURCE, PROCESS UNIT/STACK IDENTIFICATION, AND THE TIME OF DAY.]									
Fault Lamp Checks7 Blower [Loss of purge air blower power]8 Filter [Inadequate purge air flow]9 Window [Excessive dirt on transceiver window]10 Fault [Additional CEMS fault has occurred. Noon panel meter and consult the instrument manual.			ON OFF X X X X X						
Instrument Range Check 11 Instrument range setting [Press the "RANGE" button and record the instrume if too low.]	ent range. Increa	ase range	100%						
Zero Check [Press the "CALIBR" button of the con 12 Internal zero value (milliamps) [Wait for two minutes for automatic ch 13 Panel meter zero calibration value (milliamps) 14 Opacity data recorder zero calibration value (% [Wait two minutes for automatic changed)	ange to external Op)		<u>4.00</u> mA <u>0.00</u> mA <u>0.00</u> %						
AUDIT DATA SHEET									

MONITORING SOLUTIONS MODEL CEMOP-290 OPACITY CEMS									
(Continued)									

<u>Span Check</u> 15 Panel meter span calibration value (milliamps) 16 Opacity data recorder span calibration value (% Op) [Go to transmissometer location.]	<u> 0.00 </u> mA <u> 0.00 </u> %
Retroreflector Dust Accumulation Check 17 Pre-cleaning effluent opacity (% Op) [Inspect and clean optical surface.] 18 Post-cleaning effluent opacity (% Op) [Go to transceiver location.]	<u> 0.00 </u> % <u> 0.00 </u> %
Transceiver Dust Accumulation Check 19 Pre-cleaning effluent opacity (% Op) [Inspect and clean optical surface.] 20 Post-cleaning effluent opacity (% Op)	<u> 0.00 </u> % <u> 0.00 </u> %
Optical Alignment Check (Optional) [LOOK THROUGH ALIGNMENT SIGHT AND DETERMINE IF BEAM IMAGES AR 21 Images Centered? [Draw Location of images in sight.]	E CENTERED.] YES NO X

Calibration Error Check [Jig Procedure]

[Install the audit jig on the primary lens and adjust the jig zero until a value of 4mA is read on the remote panel meter.]

[Make the final jig zero adjustments based on opacity data from the data recorder.]

[Record audit filter data.]

Filter	Serial NO.	% Opacity	SCF%
22 LOW	0	0.00	0.00
23 MED	0	0.00	0.00
24 HIGH	0	0.00	0.00

AUDIT DATA SHEET MONITORING SOLUTIONS MODEL CEMOP-290 OPACITY CEMS (Continued)

[Remove the audit filters from the protective covers, inspect, and clean each filter.]

[Insert a filter, wait approximately 2 minutes, and record the opacity value reported by the opacity data recorder. Repeat the process 5 times for each filter.]

[If jig zero values change by more than 1.0% opacity during any of the runs, readjust the jig zero to the original value and repeat the run.]

ZERO	LOW	MID	<u>HIGH</u>	ZERO
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
<u>*</u>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

[If six-minute integrated data are also available, allow 13 minutes each for an additional run of the ZERO, LOW, MID, HIGH, and ZERO readings.]

ZERO	LOW	MID	HIGH	ZERO
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

[Remove the audit jig, close the transceiver head and the weather cover.]

[Return to control unit location.]

Control Unit Adjustment Reset [If necessary, reset the opacity range switch to the position indicated in blank 10.]

[Obtain a copy of the audit data from the opacity data recorder, and ensure that the data can be clearly read and interpreted.]

[Read and transcribe final calibration error data.]

ZERO	LOW	MID	HIGH	ZERO
25 <u>0.00</u>	$\begin{array}{ccc} 26 & 0.00 \\ 30 & 0.00 \\ 34 & 0.00 \\ 38 & 0.00 \\ 42 & 0.00 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 27 \\ 31 \\ 0.00 \\ 35 \\ 0.00 \\ 39 \\ 0.00 \\ 43 \\ 0.00 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 29 & 0.00 \\ 33 & 0.00 \\ 37 & 0.00 \\ 41 & 0.00 \\ 45 & 0.00 \end{array}$
	[Six-minute av	verage data, if applica	able.]	
ZERO	LOW	MID	HIGH	ZERO
46 0.00	470.00	48 0.00	49 <u>0.00</u>	50 0.00
MONITO	RING SOLUTIONS	IT DATA SHEET MODEL CEMOP-29 (Continued)	0 OPACITY CEMS	

Biewer Sawmill – McBain, MI- Opacity Monitor Quality Assurance – Quality Control Manual

Calculation of Audit Results

Stack exit correlation error (%):

51		1 Blank 4	Blank 3	1 Blank 3	*100=		0	
		L	1		1			
Zero Error	⁻ (% Op.):							
52	Panel Meter	6.25 *	0 (Blank 13	4.0)	0 Blank 5	===	25.00 %)
50			0 Displication		0 Diank 5		0.00	
53	Opacity Data Rec	order	Blank 14		Blank 5		0.00	
Span Erro	r (% Op.):				_			
54	Panel Meter	6.25 *	0 (Blank 15	4.0)	0 Blank 6	222	25.00_%	ł
55	Opacity Data Rec	order	0 Blank 16		0 Blank 6		0.00	
Ontical Su	rface Dust Accumul	ation (% OP)						
56	Retroreflector		0 Blank 17	gan any any affe alterna to gan and dan	0 Blank 18		0.00 %	
					0		<u></u>	
57	Transceiver		0 Blank 19	tan ma ana ana ana amin'ny fisiana	Blank 20		0.00 %	
58	Total		0 Blank 56	+	0 Blank 57	===	0.00 %	

Optical Path length Correction Factor and Zero Offset Correction of Audit Filters:



Biewer Sawmill – McBain, MI- Opacity Monitor Quality Assurance – Quality Control Manual

Auditor 0 Source Biewer Sa	wmill			Date _I Unit _I	MM/DD/YYYY Jnit #
PARAMETER		Blank No.	Audit Re	sults	Specifications
Fault Lamps					
Blower failure		7	0	X	OFF
Filter Block		8	0	X	OFF
Window		9	0	X	OFF
Fault		10	0	X	OFF
Stack Exit Correlation Er		51	0.00		+/- 2% Op
Internal Zero Error	Panel	52	-25.0		+/- 4% Op
	Data	53	0.00		+/- 4% Op
Internal Span Error	Panel	54	-25.0		+/- 4% Op
	Data	55	0.00		+/- 4% Op
Optical Alignment Analys	sis	21	X	0	Centered
Optical Surface Dust Accumulation					
Retroreflec	ctor	56	0.00		<= 2% Op
Transceive	er	57	0.00		<= 2% Op
Total		58	0.00		<= 4% Op
Calibration Error Analysis	5	George States			
Mean Error					
	Low	62	0.00		
		71a	0.00		
	Mid	63	0.00		
		72a	0.00		
	High	64	0.00		
		73a	0.00		
Confidence	e Interval				
	Low	65	0.00		
	Mid	66	0.00		
	High 67		0.00		
Calibration	Error				(1,2,2,3,3) , the standard structure of the transformation of t
	Low	68	0.00		<= 3% Op
	Mid	69	0.00		<= 3% Op
	High	70	0.00		<= 3% Op

MONITORING SOLUTIONS MODEL CEMOP-290 OPACITY CEMS Performance Audit Data Summary

Error Based On Six-Minute Averaged Data, From a Single Filter Insertion

ъ.

D. **Operational Test Period**

If the opacity monitor is relocated or substantially refurbished, the opacity monitor must be recertified. Certification activities include all of the activities listed in the quarterly opacity field audit performance tests and completion of the operational test period. These activities may be done by either the McBain Facility or by an outside contractor.

Before conducting the operational testing, you must have successfully completed the previously discussed procedures and tests. Then, operate the opacity monitor for an initial 168-hour test period while the source is operating under normal operating conditions. If normal operations contain routine source shutdowns, include the sources down periods in the 168-hour operational test period. However, you must ensure that the following minimum source operating time is included in the operational test period: (1) For a batch operation, the operational test period must include at least one full cycle of batch operation during the 168-hour period unless the batch operation is longer than 168 hours or (2) for continuous operating processes, the unit must be operating for at least 50 percent of the 168-hour period.

Except during times of instrument zero and upscale calibration drift checks, you must analyze the effluent gas for opacity and produce a permanent record of the opacity monitor output. During this period, you may not perform unscheduled maintenance, repair, or adjustment to the opacity monitor. Automatic zero and calibration adjustments (i.e., intrinsic adjustments), made by the opacity monitor without operator intervention or initiation, are allowable at any time. At the end of the operational test period, verify and record that the opacity monitor optical alignment is still correct. If the test period is interrupted because of opacity monitor failure, record the time when the failure occurred. After the failure is corrected, you restart the 168-hour period and tests from the beginning (0-hour).

During the operational test period, perform the following test procedures:

a) Zero Calibration Drift Test.

At the outset of the 168-hour operational test period and at each 24-hour interval, the automatic calibration check system must initiate the simulated zero device to allow the zero drift to be determined. Record the opacity monitor response to the simulated zero device.

After each 24-hour period, subtract the opacity monitor zero reading from the nominal value of the simulated zero device to calculate the 24-hour zero drift (ZD).

At the end of the 168-hour period, calculate the arithmetic mean, standard deviation, and confidence coefficient of the 24-hour ZDs. Also calculate the sum of the absolute value of the mean and the absolute value of the confidence coefficient and report this value as the 24-hour ZD error.

b) Upscale Calibration Drift Test.

At each 24-hour interval after the simulated zero device value has been checked, check and record the opacity monitor response to the upscale calibration device.

After each 24-hour period, subtract the opacity monitor upscale reading from the nominal value of the upscale calibration device to calculate the 24-hour calibration drift (CD).

At the end of the 168-hour period, calculate the arithmetic mean, standard deviation, and confidence coefficient of the 24-hour CD. Also calculate the sum of the absolute value of the mean and the absolute value of the confidence coefficient and report this value as the 24-hour CD error.

Section 6. Quality Control Activities

Quality control activities are performed to ensure that each opacity monitor's operation and maintenance are adequate and appropriate. Application of these activities ranges from installation to data handling and reporting procedures. Quality control activities rely upon a qualified and well-trained staff. Installation of each opacity monitor will be carried out in strict accordance with specifications submitted by Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility.

A. Daily Calibration Drift Check

A two-point calibration drift (CD) check must be performed at least once per unit operating day (24 hours). Each opacity monitor's Remote Signal Processor automatically initiates a calibration check every 24 hours from a pre-set time. This starts a six minute cycle that is divided into Four one and a half minute segments. During these segments the zero, span, window soiling and stack correction factor of the monitor is checked. The monitor responses for both zero and upscale are automatically adjusted for the calibrations and recorded on a strip chart recorder.

On a daily basis, the following activities are conducted:

1) An automatic zero and span drift calibration for the opacity unit is initiated between midnight and 3:00AM.

The Daily Zero Check and the Daily Cal Check are to be conducted in accordance with the requirements of ASTM D 6216-98.

- 2) At the beginning of the first shift, the Boiler Operators will record the high number value of the calibration on the strip chart near the calibration mark. Use the green pen marking for this, the red pen marks the six-minute block average and will not show the true limit.
- 3) If the opacity calibration high value is below 42.9% or above 48.9%, contact the Boiler Supervisor/Environmental Coordinator. The Boiler Supervisor/Environmental Coordinator will need to assign the appropriate maintenance work for the unit.
- 4) Record the low number value of the calibration on the strip chart near the calibration mark. Use the green pen marking for this, the red pen marks the six-minute block average and will not show the true limit.
- 5) If the low number value is above 3%, contact the Boiler Supervisor/Environmental Coordinator. The Boiler Supervisor/Environmental Coordinator will need to assign the appropriate maintenance work for the unit.

6) When maintenance is performed to restore the accuracy of the unit, a documented account of the work must be filed with the strip chart. The work performed must also be appropriately logged in the opacity maintenance log.

B. **Out-of-Control Period**

An opacity monitor shall be deemed out-of-control whenever the daily calibration drift exceeds 4% for five consecutive days, any calibration exceeds 8%, or a quarterly performance audit indicates unacceptable results. The beginning of the out-of-control period is the time corresponding to the completion of the fifth, consecutive daily CD check with a failed CD or the time corresponding to the completion of the daily CD check preceding the daily CD check that results in a failed CD. The end of the out-of-control period is the time corresponding to the completion of appropriate adjustment and subsequent successful CD check.

Any time the opacity monitor is declared "out of control" or "out of service", it cannot be used to show compliance with permit limits or data capture requirements and shall be considered downtime for reporting purposes. Based on the results of the daily calibration drift, adjustments or corrective actions may need to be implemented. At a minimum, the zero and span calibrations are automatically adjusted whenever the 24-hour drifts exceed two times the limit in PS 1,13.3(6) of 40 CFR 60, Appendix B, (as shown in Table 6-1). Corrective action does not refer to routine maintenance, but refers to the resolution of problems that occur on a non-routine basis.

(40 CFR 60, appendix B, PS 1, 13.3(6) & Appendix F, 4.3)						
	Daily	Daily	Out-of Con	trol		
Monitor	Calibration Drift	Adjustment required	Five(5) consecutive daily calibrations	Any calibration		
Opacity	$\leq 2.0 \%$ Opacity	\geq 4.0 % Opacity	\geq 4.0 % Opacity	$\geq 8.0\%$ Opacity		

 Table 6-1

 Criteria for Calibration Drift & Out of Control

 0 CFR 60 appendix B PS 1 13 3(6) & Appendix F 4 3

If the drift exceeds the specified limits in Table 6-1, the failure is documented on the strip chart recorder by the Boiler Operators and the manual calibration procedure is initiated by Plant personnel to isolate the problem.

Section 7. Monitor Maintenance

In accordance with 40 CFR 60, Appendix F, Section 3.0, the source owner or operator must "..develop procedures and operations for.. preventive maintenance of COMS" and .. a program of corrective action for malfunctioning COMS."

All maintenance of the opacity monitor can be classified into one of these three areas:

- 1. Routine preventive maintenance. This is a regularly scheduled set of activities designed to prevent problems before they develop.
- 2. Non-routine preventive maintenance. This set of activities is designed to prevent problems, which cannot be predicted. These procedures are performed on an as-needed basis. Non-routine preventive maintenance is not discussed in this plan since the procedural methods must be developed as the need dictates.
- 3. Corrective Actions. Those activities required to correct problems that occur due to equipment malfunction. Corrective actions are determined and performed by the maintenance technician or other qualified personnel based on the nature of the malfunction.

The following is the schedule of checks that must be followed to ensure reported data are reliable and the COMS operates dependably. The following includes information regarding when checks and audits should be performed and when a situation indicates the need for corrective actions. It is essential the personnel conducting the checks and audits completely document the audits in the opacity maintenance log. This includes the recording of any comments concerning the condition of the COMS. Corrective actions should be initiated immediately upon identifying a problem or malfunction.

A. **Preventive Maintenance**

The Boiler Operators will schedule routine maintenance and ensure that all routine Preventive Maintenance is completed on schedule.

The McBain Facility's Maintenance Department will only perform minimal maintenance on the COMS. The McBain Facility has contracted Monitoring Solutions to provide quarterly preventive maintenance, including parts, for the opacity systems. Activities performed by a Monitoring Solutions technician during a regular preventive maintenance visit typically include changing the opacity purge filters and performing field audit activities (as listed in Section 5 (C)).

The following table represents activities that may be performed by either the Maintenance Department and/or the Monitoring Solutions technician.

			Frequency	
No.	Preventive Maintenance	Daily ⁽¹⁾	Monthly (2)	Quarterly
1	Check and plot automatic calibration sequence results	X		
2	Check window soiling, clean window and retroreflector before automatic correction reaches 4% limit.	X		x
3	Check purge filters, clean or replace			X
4	Check blowers for operation			X
5	Check blower hoses			X
6	Verify alignment of system			X
7	Check mounting plates for security			X
8	Inspect transmissometer components			X
9	Enter maintenance performed in log book	As required		
10	Remove all debris from inside and around shroud cavity.			X
11	Check recording devices for paper, ink, etc.		As required	
12	Zero and span data presentation equipment	X		
13	40 CFR 60, Appendix F audit			X

Table 7-1

(1) Routine daily checks do not require tools as no actual maintenance is performed. Checks are visual to assure proper readout from the system and absence of impending conditions that require immediate attention.

(2) Routine monthly maintenance will require the following tools and test equipment:

- Digital Volt Meter
- Standard technicians' tools such as soldering iron, screwdrivers, nut drivers, pliers, etc.

B. Corrective Actions

The McBain Facility has contracted Monitoring Solutions to also provide support to the McBain Facility on an as needed basis, including phone support and emergency visits, in the event of an opacity system hardware malfunction.

The following corrective actions may be performed by the Instrument/Boiler Technician, as appropriate, for the COMS malfunctions listed in Table 7-2. In the event that an opacity monitor requires further assessment or corrective action, the Instrument/Boiler Technician would contact a Monitoring Solutions technician, who would then access the situation and take appropriate action.

No.	Issue/Problem	Corrective Action
1	Dusty Window/Reflective	1. Open either the Transceiver or Reflector
	surface	windows by unbuckling four (4) clasps and swinging the unit housing to the side.
		2. Clean all foreign material from the housing cavities. (Note: extreme care must be taken in cleaning the optical surfaces.)
		 After cleaning, close the Transceiver and Reflector housings securely.
		4. Recalibrate the monitor.
2	Dirty Air Filters	 Note: Filters are non-reusable Remove the dirty filter Replace with a new filter. Empty the pre-filter chamber before the dust level reaches the "full" mark, if required.

Table	7-2

The following actions will be taken in response to exceedences and/or alarms:

No.	Situation	Alarm	Problem/Corrective Action
1	Opacity Exceedence	If the opacity emission limits are exceeded, an alarm will sound in the Boiler Operatorss' control room.	 The Boiler Operators investigates the cause of the exceedence, if the boiler operation/malfunction is the cause; he takes the necessary corrective actions. If the cause is not the boiler (emission source), the Production Foreman is notified. The Production Foreman will notify the Maintenance Department. The Maintenance Department will implement the appropriate COMS
	Opacity monitor Error	Error message appears on opacity display in control room	 corrective action. Record the information on the Opacity Log (Figure 1) and notify the Power House Foreman. The Power House Foreman will contact the Maintenance Department. The Maintenance Department will notify the Plant Manager that the monitor is down and will attempt to identify the problem based on the fault table located in the users O& M manual. The Maintenance Department will implement the appropriate corrective action. If the unit cannot be repaired by the Maintenance Department, the Plant Manager is contacted. The Plant Manager or Maintenance Department will contact a Monitoring Solutions technician for additional instructions and/or to arrange for a service call as appropriate.

Table 7-3

C. Spare Parts

The following is a list of the parts typically supplied by Monitoring Solutions for the opacity systems for regular quarterly preventive maintenance.

Table 7-4

Durag DR-290 Opacity Monitor Recommended Spare Parts							
Monitoring Solutions' PN	Description of Parts	Quantity					
310-00432	Opacity Blower Filter	4					

Section 8. References

- 1. 40 CFR 60 Appendix B, Performance Specification 1 and Subpart Db, "Specifications and Test Procedures for Continuous Opacity Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources"
- 2. 40 CFR 60 Appendix F, "Quality Assurance Procedures.
- 3. ASTM D6216-98, "Standard Practice for Opacity Monitor Manufacturers to Certify Conformance with Design and Performance Specifications"
- 4. "Durag Model D-R 290 Dust and Opacity Monitor Installation and Operation Manual", Durag, Inc
- 5. "Durag Model D-R 290 Service Manual", Durag, Inc.
- 6. Michigan DEQ Administrative Code, Rule 336.1201
- 7. Biewer Sawmill, McBain Facility Operating Permit No. 286-05



3E-63681-1







7		8				
ITEM	QTY	DESCRIPTION	WT			
		STANDARD ON MOST STACKS				
OMP-A	2	OPACITY MONITOR PORT ASSEMBLY				
1A	2	PIPE, Ø4", SCH 40, A53-A x 0'-10"				
2A						
OMP-B	2	OPACITY MONITOR PORT ASSEMBLY				
18	2	PIPE, Ø6", SCH 40, A53-A x 0'-10"				
2B	2	FLANGE, Ø6", 150#, RF, SO, FS, A105, ST WT BORE				
PTP	2	PERFORMANCE TEST PORT ASSEMBLY				
3	2	PIPE, Ø6", SCH 40, A53-A, T.O.E. x 0'-3"				
4	2	PIPE CAP, Ø6", 150#, THD, M.I.	nden fondlich finnen der eine meine eine delte mer ein dem			
TP	1	TEST PORT				
5	1	PIPE, Ø1", SCH 40, A53-A, T.O.E. x 0'-2"				
6	1	PIPE CAP, Ø1", 150#, THD, M.I.				
TCP	1	THERMOCOUPLE PORT				
7	1	PIPE, Ø3/4", SCH 40, A53-A, T.O.E. x 0'-4 3/4"				
8	1	PIPE COUPLER, Ø3/4", THD				
9	1	PIPE PLUG, Ø3/4", THD				
		OPTIONAL COMPONENTS				
CEM	1	CONTINUOUS EMISSION MONITOR PORT ASSEMBLY				
10	1	PIPE, Ø4", SCH 40, A53-A x 1'-2"				
2A	1	FLANGE, Ø4", 150#, RF, SO, FS, A105, ST WT BORE				
FPP	1	FLOW PITOT PORT	·····			
11	1	PIPE, Ø6", SCH 40, A53-A x 1'-2"				
12	1	FLANGE, Ø6", 150#, RF, SO, FS, A105, ST WT BORE				

С



B

С

1

.3









	2517	1	Corrected Dimension Text Size					
			ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION					
1	JOB	REV	REVISION					
			6					

5

4

			VELLONS	2525 W. Firestone Ln.	DO NOT SCALE THIS DRAWING
			ALLONS	1-800-WELLONS	scale 1/4"=1'-0"
			8120	B2517	M.S. 02FEB'06
-	T		BOILER BREED	CHING	CHECKED APPROVED
_	MJG 07AUG06		I.D. & F.D. SYSTEM	SECTIONS	BAUGOS
-	BY DATE	CHECKED DATE	BIEWER SAWMILL INC. McBAIN, MICHIGAN		2542-31 REV
		7	REF	8	



517	1	Corrected D	imension	Text	Size	
100	in					



		5 6				7			8		
ITEM	QTY	DESCRIPTION	PART NUMBER	REQN	WT	ITEM	QTY	DESCRIPTION	PART NUMBER	REQN	WT
26	1	I.D FAN TO ESP INLET DUCT	3H62551	1279	1400	1	1	I.D. FAN ASSY. W/ MOTOR & DRIVES		?	WV I
27	1	F.D.FAN ASSY. C/W MOTOR & DRIVES	?	?	?	2	1	MULTICONE BODY ASSY.	3B-7399	?	-
28	-	F.D.AIR DUCT WITH BYPASS DAMPER	3H-62543	1308	608	3	1	MULTICONE HOPPER ASSY. (UPPER)	3B-18539-1		4591
29	1	HEAT EXCHANGER INLET ELBOW	3H-18639	1308	452	4	1	MULTICONE HOPPER ASSY. (LOWER)	3B-18539-1	?	2194
30	1	HEAT EXCHANGER INLET TRANSITION	3H-18640	1308	1186	5	1	ROTARY VALVE	JB-10009-2	?	1059
31	1	F.D. AIR DUCT DAMPER TRANSITION	3H-62544	1308	238	6	2	HEAT EXCHANGER ASSY. (7'-6" x 10'-3 1/2") 676 TUB		-	-
32	1	BY-PASS QUADRANT & HANDLE ASSY.	2H-14103	1313	51	7	1	HEAT EXCHANGER TURNING SECT. W/ ACCESS DOOR (R.H	LS SH-11480-1 & 2		23239
33	1	BY-PASS CONNECTION ROD	1B-49194	1313	18	8	1	HEAT EXCHANGER TURNING SECT. W/O ACCESS DOOR		?	-
34	1	BY-PASS DAMPER ARM	1H-14691	1313	9	9	1	ASH HOPPER ASSY. W/ ACCESS DOOR (FOR 676 H.E.	3H-18741-2	?	-
35	1	HEAT EXCHANGER OUTLET DUCT ASSY.	3H62546	1310	1118	10	1	ASH HOPPER ASSY. W/O ACCESS DOOR (FOR 676 H.E.		?	
36	1	HEAT EXCHANGER DUCT TEE	3H-56189	1310	945	11	1	BREECHING TRANSITION SECTION		?	-
37	1	F.D. DELIVERY DUCT	3H-56208	1311	1715	12		-	3B-48896	1276	1182
38	1	F.D. DELIVERY DUCT 90" ELL	3B-56181	1311	469	13	_				-
39	1	F.D. DELIVERY DUCT TEE	3B-56187	1311	1157	14	1	HEAT EXCHANGER INLET DUCT	00.0000	-	-
40	1	F.D. INLET DUCT @ FAN	3H-62545	1308	2295	15		THE A EXCHANGER INLET DOCT	2B-56172	1276	1537
41	1	F.D. INLET DUCT @ BUILDING	3B-56178	1308	1625	16	1	I.D. FAN OUTLET FLEXIBLE JOINT			
42	-			-		17	1	HEAT EXCHANGER INLET DUCT	?	?	?
43	-			_	_	18	1	HEAT EXCHANGER OUTLET DUCT	3B-56171	1277	1148
44	-	-	-	_	_	19	1	HEAT EXCHANGER TO MULTICONE TRANSITION	3BF-23298	1277	1173
45		-		-	-	20	1	I.D. DUCT FROM MULTIPLE CONE OUTLET	3H-18641	1277	1059
46	-	-		-		21	1	I.D. FAN TRANSITION DUCT	3H-62547	1278	2200
47	1	THERMOMETER (200°F TO 1000°F)		1299		22	1	BREECHING I.D. FAN INLET DUCT	3H-62548	1278	3100
48	2	THERMOMETER (50°F TO 550°F)	_	1299		23	1	I.D. DAMPER ASSY.	3H-62549	1278	1500
49	-	-		-	_	24	1	I.D. FAN OUTLET DUCT	?	1282	?
-	-	-		_	_	25	1	E.S.P. INLET DUCT	3H-62550	1279	250
						20	1	L.S.F. INLET DUCI	3H-62552	1279	3100

E

2517	1	Corrected	Dimension	Text	Size
JOB	REV		and the second se		00.000





	ITEM	QTY	DESCRIPTION	REQN	WT
Ī	1	4	Tube 42"O.D. x 10 Ga x 4'-10" Lg (131 1/2" Circum. Length) Det		1192
	2	1	Tube 42"0.D. x 10 Ga x 4'-2" Lg (131 1/2" Circum. Length) Det		257
*[3	5	L 2 1/2" x 2 1/2" x 1/4" Thk x 13'-1" Lg (Roll Toe Out To 42 1/8" I.D.)		268
* [4	1	L 3" x 3" x 1/4" Thk x 13'-2" Lg (Roll Toe Out To 42 1/8" i.D.)		66
	5				
	6	2	Pipe Nipple, 6" x 3" Lg, T.O.E., Sch 40		5
	7	2	Pipe Cap, 6", 150#, Thd, M.I.		7
	8	1	Pipe Nipple, 1" x 2" Lg, T.O.E., Sch 40		
	9	1	Pipe Cap, 1", 150#, Thd, M.I.		
	10				
	11	1	Pipe Coupling, Ø1/2", Thd		
	12	1	Pipe Plug, Ø1/2", Thd	Maya (K. K. J. K. Y. K. J. K. K. J. K. K. K. K. K. K. K. K. K	
	13				
	14	2	L 3" x 2" x 1/4" Thk x 0'-3" Lg Det		1
	15				
	16			alahita Mantaada ka katalahit	
	17				
[18				
	19				
ſ	20				





	7		8		
ITEM	QTY	DESCRIPTION	PART NUMBER	REQN	WT
STS	1	Stack (Paint Hi-Temp Aluminum) Assembled w/Mounts for Rosemount Opacity Monitor	3E-54960	2440	1797
ST-1	1	Stack Transition (Paint Hi-Temp Aluminum)	2B-48752	2440	1080
STP	1 RH	Stack Test Platform w/Assembled Hand-Railing/KPS Right Hand Assembly	3B-53475	2440	1281
PB-1	2R,2L	Platform Knee Brace	38-53475	2440	109
PB-2	1R,1L	Platform Knee Brace	3B53475	2440	51
LD-3	1	Stack Ladder (Caged)	1B54972	2440	271
TPHR-1	4	Handrail	0E-61306	2440	12
1	1	2/0 Chain X 1'-9" w/Snap Hook Each End		2440	
2	2	Eye Bolt, 3/8"Ø x 2 1/8" (3/4"Ø Eye)		2440	Marahitti lahin Hariandaharinan
3	2	3/8"Ø Hex Nut And Narrow Flat Washers		2440	
4	10	5/8"Ø Hex Nut And Narrow Flat Washers	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2440	
5	4	5/8"Ø X 2" Hex Bolt		2440	





			25 W. Firestone Ln.	DO NOT SCALE THIS DRAWING		
		ELLONS Var	ncouver, WA 98660 800-WELLONS	scale Varies		
		8260	2440	DRAWING DATE MJS 07MAR05		
		ESP-3 Stack, Transi	tion, &	CHECKED APPROVED		
	10.4.1.5	Test Platform Insta	llation			
	CHECKED DATE		Z E C	WING NUMBER 1 REV		
-	CHECKED DATE		DE-C	512951		
	7	REF	0			

8

С

В