

# NO<sub>X</sub> AND SO<sub>2</sub> EMISSIONS COMPLIANCE TEST

Performed At The
Northern Natural Gas Co.
East Wakefield Compressor Station
Turbine 1 and Turbine 2 (EUTURBINE1,EUTURBINE2)
Wakefield, Michigan

Permit Number : Permit to Install (PTI) 3-18 State Registration Number (SRN) : P0890

Test Date(s)
March 14, 2023

Report No.

TRC Environmental Corporation Report 524890.EW23

Report Submittal Date
April 4, 2023



# **Report Certification**

I certify that to the best of my knowledge:

- Testing data and all corresponding information have been checked for accuracy and completeness.
- Sampling and analysis have been conducted in accordance with the approved protocol and applicable reference methods (as applicable).
- o All deviations, method modifications, or sampling and analytical anomalies are summarized in the appropriate report narrative(s).

And of War	
David Wainio Emissions Testing Group Manager	
<u>April 4, 2023</u> Date	

TRC was operating in conformance with the requirements of ASTM D7036-04 during this test program.

**Bruce Randall** 

TRC Emission Testing Technical Director



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	RODUCTION	
	Project Contact Information	
2.0 SUM 2.1 2.2 2.3	MMARY OF RESULTS	
3.0 DISC	CUSSION OF RESULTS	6
4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	MPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES  Determination of Sample Point Locations by USEPA Method 1  Determination of the Concentration of Gaseous Pollutants Using a Multi-Pollutant Sampling System	
5.0 QUA	ALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES	9
6.0 TEST	T RESULTS SUMMARY	10
APPEND		
	TB and QI Information Summary and Qualified Individual Certificate(s)	
Fue	ocess and Pollution Control Equipment Operating Datael Analysis Data	48
	nple Location Information	
	culation Nomenclature and Formulas	
	ocessed Field Data and Results	
	sponse Time Datasponse Time Data	
	<sub>2</sub> -to-NO Conversion Data	
	alyzer Interference Test Data	
	ibration Gas Certificates	
Tes	st Protocol Approval and Test Protocol	94



# NO<sub>X</sub> AND SO<sub>2</sub> EMISSIONS COMPLIANCE TEST

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC) performed an emissions compliance test program on the Turbine 1 and Turbine 2 at the East Wakefield Compressor Station of Northern Natural Gas Co. (NNG) in Wakefield, Michigan on March 14, 2023. The tests were authorized by and performed for NNG.

The tests were performed to determine compliance with the NOx part per million (ppm) at 15 percent oxygen, NOx lb/hr and the total potential  $SO_2$  pound per million btu (lb/MMBtu) emission limit from the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy PTI No. 3-18 for Turbine 1 and Turbine 2. The test program was conducted according to the appended TRC site-specific test plan. Any changes from the test plan or problems encountered during the tests are addressed in Section 2.0.

# 1.1 Project Contact Information

1.1 Poject Contact Information				
Participants		Courtain court		
Test Facility	Northern Natural Gas Co. East Wakefield Compressor Station 280 M 28, 3.7 miles North of Harrison Street Wakefield, Michigan 49968	Dylan Berg 402-206-6722 Dylan.Berg@nngco.com		
Test Coordinator	Northern Natural Gas Co. 1120 Centre Pointe Drive, Suite 400 Mendota Heights, Minnesota 55120	Kelly Henry Division Environmental Specialist 651-456-1712 Kelly.Henry@nngco.com		
Air Emissions Testing Body (AETB)	TRC Environmental Corporation 1301 Corporate Center Drive - Suite 177 Eagan, Minnesota 55121	David Wainio Emissions Testing Group Manager 651-249-8776 (phone) dwainio@trccompanies.com		

The tests were conducted by David Wainio and Patrick Hecht of TRC. Documentation of the on-site ASTM D7036-04 Qualified Individual (QI) is appended.

A member of the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE) observed a portion of the testing on Turbine 1.



# 1.2 Facility and Process Description

The Northern Natural Gas – East Wakefield facility is located near 280 M 28 about 3.7 miles North of Harrison Street near Wakefield, Michigan. The East Wakefield facility is used to pressurize natural gas to facilitate its transmission through the pipeline system. The facility currently consists of two natural gas-fired stationary combustion turbines (EUTURBINE1 and EUTURBINE2). Both turbines are Solar Saturn 20-1600 gas turbines and rated at 1,679 HP each.

### 2.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The results of this test program are summarized in the table below. Detailed individual run results are presented in Section 6.0.

#### 2.1 Turbine 1 Test Results

Source ID	Regulated Constituent	Limitation Basis	Permitted Emission Limit	Measured Emissions
EUTURBINE1	NOx	40 CFR pt. 60, subpart KKKK and EGLE PTI 3-18	≤ 100 ppm @ 15% O <sub>2</sub>	75.50 ppm @ 15% O₂

## 2.2 Turbine 2 Test Results

Source ID	Regulated Constituent	Limitation Basis	Permitted Emission Limit	Measured Emissions
EUTURBINE2	NOx	40 CFR pt. 60, subpart KKKK and EGLE PTI 3-18	≤ 100 ppm @ 15% O <sub>2</sub>	71.42 ppm @ 15% O <sub>2</sub>
			≤ 7.24 lb/hr*	4.86 lb/hr

<sup>\*</sup>Turbine 2 was used to satisfy the 5-year test for the NOx lb/hr limit test.

#### 2.3 Fuel Gas Derived SO<sub>2</sub> Test Results

Source ID	Regulated Constituent	Limitation Basis	Permitted Emission Limit	Measured Emissions
FGTURBINES	Total Sulfur Content	40 CFR pt. 60, subpart KKKK and EGLE PTI 3-18	0.06 lb/MMbtu	4.41E-05 lb/MMBtu



The table below summarizes the test methods used, as well as the number and duration of each at each test location:

#### 2.4 Performance Test Methods

Unit ID/ Sample Location	Parameter Measured	Test Method	No. of Runs	Run Duration
EUTURBINE1	NOx O2, Fuel Rate	USEPA 1, 3A, 7E, 19	3	20 min
EUTURBINE2	NOx O2, Fuel Rate	USEPA 1, 3A, 7E, 19	3	60 min
Facility (FGTURBINES)	Sulfur Dioxide (SO2)	ASTM D6228 Fuel Analysis	1	Grab

#### 3.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

The results demonstrate that the turbine complies with the applicable limits.

Stratification checks were conducted prior to and during a portion of the first run for each turbine using the procedures in Subpart KKKK. The results of these checks allowed for the sampling for the testing to be conducted at a single point.

Turbine 1 operated at a calculated heat input of 17.9 MMBtu/hr and with an average horsepower of 1,342 which is 79.9% of the unit's capacity. Turbine 2 operated at a calculated heat input of 18.6 MMBtu/hr and with an average horsepower of 1,558 which is 92.8% of the unit's capacity.

The five-year NO<sub>X</sub> lb/hr emission rate test requirement was performed on one of the two FGTURBINES, with the test results considered representative for both units, as allowed by Section V.3 of the facility permit. Turbine 2 was used to demonstrate compliance with the lb/hr NO<sub>X</sub> limit with each run being 60 minutes in length. NO<sub>X</sub> lb/hr was calculated using the equation presented in the FAQ for EPA Method 19 for determining lb/hr values using stack flow that is calculated from fuel composition, fuel flow, and fuel heat content.

No problems were encountered with the testing equipment during the test program. The results presented in this report contain no known errors. No other changes or problems were encountered that required modification of any procedures presented in the test plan. No adverse test or environmental conditions were encountered during the conduct of this test program.



#### 4.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

All testing, sampling, analytical, and calibration procedures used for this test program were performed in accordance with the methods presented in the following sections. Where applicable, the Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems, Volume III, Stationary Source Specific Methods, USEPA 600/R-94/038c, September 1994 was used to supplement procedures.

# 4.1 Determination of Sample Point Locations by USEPA Method 1

This method is applicable to gas streams flowing in ducts, stacks, and flues and is designed to aid in the representative measurement of pollutant emissions and/or total volumetric flow rates from stationary sources. In order to qualify as an acceptable sample location, it must be located at a position at least two stack or duct equivalent diameters downstream and a half equivalent diameter upstream from any flow disturbance.

The cross-section of the measurement site was divided into a number of equal areas, and the traverse points were then located in the center of these areas. The minimum number of points were determined from Figure 1-2 (non-particulate) of USEPA Method 1.

# 4.2 Determination of the Concentration of Gaseous Pollutants Using a Multi-Pollutant Sampling System

Concentrations of the pollutants in the following sub-sections were determined using one sampling system. The number of points at which sample was collected was determined in accordance with 40CFR60 Subpart KKKK specifications.

A straight-extractive sampling system was used. A data logger continuously recorded pollutant concentrations and generated one-minute averages of those concentrations. All calibrations and system checks were conducted using USEPA Protocol gases. Three-point linearity checks were performed prior to sampling, and in the event of a failing system bias or drift test (and subsequent corrective action). System bias and drift checks were performed using the low-level gas and either the mid- or high-level gas prior to and following each test run.

The Low Concentration Analyzers (those that routinely operate with a calibration span of less than 20 ppm) used by TRC are ambient-level analyzers. Per Section 3.12 of Method 7E, a Manufacturer's Stability Test is not required for ambient-level analyzers. Analyzer interference tests were conducted in accordance with the regulations in effect at the time that TRC placed an analyzer model in service.



#### 4.2.1 O<sub>2</sub> Determination by USEPA Method 3A

This method is applicable for the determination of  $O_2$  concentrations in controlled and uncontrolled emissions from stationary sources only when specified within the regulations. The  $O_2$  analyzer was equipped with a paramagnetic-based detector.

#### 4.2.2 NO<sub>x</sub> Determination by USEPA Method 7E

This method is applicable for the determination of  $NO_x$  concentrations in controlled and uncontrolled emissions from stationary sources only when specified within the regulations. The NOx analyzer utilizes a photomultiplier tube to measures the linear and proportional luminescence caused by the reaction of nitric oxide and ozone.

# 4.3 Determination of NO<sub>x</sub> Emission Rates by USEPA Method 19

Where specified by an applicable subpart of the regulations, this method is applicable for the determination of (a) PM,  $SO_2$ , and  $NO_x$  emission rates; (b) sulfur removal efficiencies of fuel pretreatment and  $SO_2$  control devices; and (c) overall reduction of potential  $SO_2$  emissions.

Emission Rates. Oxygen  $(O_2)$  or carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  concentrations and appropriate F factors (ratios of combustion gas volumes to heat inputs) were used to calculate pollutant emission rates from pollutant concentrations.

#### 4.4 Determination of SO2 Emission Rates by Fuel Sample

The  $SO_2$  performance tests were conducted using the methodology specified in §60.4415(a)(2). A representative fuel sample was collected manually and analyzed for total sulfur content using approved methodology, ASTM D6228.

Equation D-1h from Appendix D to 40CFR75 (Section 2.3.2.1  $SO_2$  Emission Rate) was used to calculate the SO2 emission rate for natural gas combustion. In Equation D-1h, the total sulfur content and GCV values are used to calculate the  $SO_2$  emission rate as lb/mmBtu.

$$ER = \left[\frac{2.0}{7000}\right] \times [10^6] \times \left[\frac{S_{total}}{GCV}\right]$$

#### Where:

 $ER = SO_2$  emission rate for natural gas combustion, lb/mmBtu.

 $S_{total}$  = Total sulfur content of the natural gas, gr/100scf.

GCV = Gross calorific value of the natural gas, Btu/100scf.

7000 = Conversion of grains/100scf to lb/100scf.

 $2.0 = Ratio of lb SO_2/lb S.$ 

 $10_6$  = Conversion factor (Btu/mmBtu).



# 4.5 Determination of F-Factors by USEPA Method 19

This method is applicable for the determination of the pollutant emission rate using oxygen  $(O_2)$  or carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$  concentrations and the appropriate F factor (the ratio of combustion gas volumes to heat inputs) and the pollutant concentration. The appropriate F-Factor was calculated from fuel analyses using the equations in Section 12.3.3.1 of Method 19.

## **5.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES**

TRC integrates our Quality Management System (QMS) into every aspect of our testing service. We follow the procedures specified in current published versions of the test Method(s) referenced in this report. Any modifications or deviations are specifically identified in the body of the report. We routinely participate in independent, third party audits of our activities, and maintain:

- Accreditation from the Louisiana Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (LELAP);
- Accreditation from the Stack Testing Accreditation Council (STAC) and the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) that our operations conform with the requirements of ASTM D 7036 as an Air Emission Testing Body (AETB).

These accreditations demonstrate that our systems for training, equipment maintenance and calibration, document control and project management will fully ensure that project objectives are achieved in a timely and efficient manner with a strict commitment to quality.

All calibrations are performed in accordance with the test Method(s) identified in this report. If a Method allows for more than one calibration approach, or if approved alternatives are available, the calibration documentation in the appendices specifies which approach was used. All measurement devices are calibrated or verified at set intervals against standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). NIST traceability information is available upon request.

ASTM D7036-04 specifies that: "AETBs shall have and shall apply procedures for estimating the uncertainty of measurement. Conformance with this section may be demonstrated by the use of approved test protocols for all tests. When such protocols are used, reference shall be made to published literature, when available, where estimates of uncertainty for test methods may be found." TRC conforms with this section by using approved test protocols for all tests.

RECEIVED

APR 25 2023



# **6.0 TEST RESULTS SUMMARY**