

# **EMISSIONS TEST REPORT**

for

# **OXIDES OF NITROGEN (NO<sub>x</sub>) EMISSIONS**

EUCTGHRSG1 & EUCTGHRSG2 PTI 144-17 and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart KKKK

DTE Dearborn CEP, LLC Dearborn, Michigan

November 1-2, 2022

Prepared By Environmental Management & Resources Environmental Ecology, Monitoring, and Remediation Group DTE Corporate Services, LLC 7940 Livernois G-4S Detroit, MI 48210



Section

### CONTENTS

#### Page

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY IV					
1.0	IN	TRODU	CTION	1	
2.0	SC	OURCE [	DESCRIPTION	1	
3.0	SA	MPLIN	G AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	2	
	3.1	OXYGE	N AND OXIDES OF NITROGEN (USEPA METHODS 3A AND 7E)	2	
		3.1.1	Sampling Method	. 2	
		3.1.2	O <sub>2</sub> and NO <sub>x</sub> , Sampling Train	. 2	
		3.1.3	Sampling Train Calibration	. 3	
		3.1.4	Sampling Duration & Frequency	. 3	
		3.1.5	Quality Control and Assurance	. 3	
		3.1.6	Data Reduction	. 3	
4.0	0	PERATI	NG PARAMETERS	4	
5.0	R	ESULTS .		4	
6.0	CE		ATION STATEMENT	5	



#### **RESULTS TABLES**

Table No.	1	EUCTGHRSG1 Emission Test Results
Table No.	2	EUCTGHRSG2 Emission Test Results

#### FIGURES

- 1 EUCTGHRSG1-2 Stack Drawing & Sampling Location
- 2 USEPA Method 3A, 7E Sampling Train

#### APPENDICES

- A EGLE Test Plan & Acceptance Letter
- B CEMS RM Data
- C Protocol Gas Calibration Data
- D Example Calculations
- E Operational Data
- F Field Data Sheets
- G Analytical Data



#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Safety (EMS) Ecology, Monitoring, and Remediation Group performed emissions testing at the DTE Dearborn CEP, LLC, located in Dearborn, Michigan. The fieldwork, performed between November 1-2, 2022, was conducted to satisfy requirements of the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) Permit to Install (PTI) 144-17 and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart KKKK. Emissions tests were performed on two Solar Titan 130 20501S model natural gas fired CTG with HRSG (EUCTGHRSG1) and (EUCTGHRSG2) for oxides of nitrogen. Each unit was tested with and without duct burners operating.

The results of the emissions testing are highlighted below:

### Emissions Test Results Dearborn CEP, LLC EUCTGHRSG1-2 November 1-2, 2022

Turbine	<sup>(1)</sup> Oxides of Nitrogen (ppmvd)	Oxides of Nitrogen (Ib/hr)	
EUCTGHRSG1	8.3	4.33	
EUCTGHRSG2	8.1	4.37	
Permit Limit	12	8.84	

Unit	<sup>(1)</sup> Oxides of Nitrogen (ppmvd)	Oxides of Nitrogen (Ib/MMBTU)	Oxides of Nitrogen (Ib/hr)	
EUCTGHRSG1	13.5	0.05	12.41	
EUCTGHRSG2	13.7	0.05	13.16	
Permit Limit	25	0.12	19.04	

(1)  $ppmvd = parts per million by volume at 15% O_2 on a dry basis$ 



#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Safety (EMS) Ecology, Monitoring, and Remediation Group performed emissions testing at the DTE– Dearborn CEP, LLC, located in Dearborn, Michigan. The fieldwork, performed between November 1-2, 2022, was conducted to satisfy requirements of the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) Permit to Install (PTI) 144-17 and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart KKKK. Emissions tests were performed on two Solar Titan 130 20501S model natural gas fired CTG with HRSG (EUCTGHRSG1) and (EUCTGHRSG2) for oxides of nitrogen. Each unit was tested with and without duct burners operating.

The following DTE personnel participated in the testing program: Mark Westerberg Sr. Environmental Specialist and Fred Meinecke, Environmental Specialist. Mr. Andrew Riley and Mr. Jorge Acevedo with EGLE reviewed the test plan and were on site to observe individual portions of the test program.

#### 2.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION

DTE Dearborn CEP, LLC is located at 1641 Carroll Shelby Way East, Dearborn, Michigan. The facility is within the Ford R&E Center. The DTE Dearborn facility is a central energy plant with a combined heat and power (CHP) plant and hot/chilled water plant at the Ford R&E Center. The CHP Plant consists of two combustion turbine generators (CTG), each within associated heat recovery steam generator (HRSG) with ancillary duct burners (DB) to produce electricity and steam. The primary purpose of the DBs is to provide additional steam generation during winter months or periods of high steam demand. The steam generated by the plant provides Ford with support to the Research and Engineering operations. Dispatch of the electrical generation is controlled by DTE. All electricity generated by the facility is supplied to the local utility grid. Other parts of the DTE Dearborn facility are the Thermal Energy Storage (TES) tank, chillers, back-up generator, and gas compressors.

Figure 1 presents a schematic of the sampling location for each turbine (Units are similarly designed).

RECEIVED DEC 27 2022 AIR QUALITY DIVISION



#### 3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

DTE Energy obtained emissions measurements in accordance with procedures specified in the USEPA *Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources*. The sampling and analytical methods used in the testing program are indicated in the table below:

Sampling Method	Parameter	Analysis		
USEPA Method 3A	Oxygen/Carbon Dioxide	Instrumental Analyzer Method		
USEPA Method 7E	Oxides of Nitrogen	Instrumental Analyzer Method		

#### 3.1 OXYGEN AND OXIDES OF NITROGEN (USEPA METHODS 3A and 7E)

#### 3.1.1 Sampling Method

Exhaust Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) content was evaluated using USEPA Method 3A, "Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)". The analyzers utilize paramagnetic sensors.

Oxides of Nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 7E, "Determination of Oxides of Nitrogen Emissions from Stationary Sources". The NO<sub>x</sub> analyzer utilizes a chemiluminescent detector.

All gas samples were measured on a dry basis (i.e. sample was conditioned prior to introduction into the pollutant analyzers).

#### 3.1.2 O<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>X</sub>, Sampling Train

The EPA Methods 3A and 7E sampling system (Figure 2) consisted of the following components:

- (1) Stainless steel sampling probe
- (2) Heated Teflon<sup>™</sup> sampling line
- (3) MAK<sup>®</sup> gas conditioner with particulate filter
- (4) Flexible unheated Teflon<sup>™</sup> sampling line
- (5) Instrumental gas analyzer bank
- (6) Appropriate USEPA Protocol 1 Calibration Gases
- (7) Data Acquisition System



#### 3.1.3 Sampling Train Calibration

The  $O_2$  and  $NO_X$  instruments were calibrated according to procedures outlined in USEPA Methods 3A and 7E. Zero, span, and mid-range calibration gases were introduced directly into each analyzer to determine the instruments linearity. A zero and mid-range span gas was then introduced through the entire sampling system to determine sampling system bias for each analyzer. Additional system calibrations were performed at the completion of each test.

#### 3.1.4 Sampling Duration & Frequency

NO<sub>X</sub> emissions testing consisted of triplicate 20-minute samples. Stratification testing was performed during previous emissions testing. No modifications where made to the ductwork and stacks and the static pressure checks verified that the null angle was at 90. EGLE agreed that the previous stratification checks were sufficient. The exhausts were not stratified on either source for each condition. Data was recorded at 10-second intervals.

#### 3.1.5 Quality Control and Assurance

All sampling and analytical equipment was calibrated according to the guidelines referenced in Methods 3A and 7E. Calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 gases. Calibration gas concentrations were within the acceptable ranges specified in Method 7E.

Prior to testing, DTE performed converter efficiency testing by directly challenging the  $NO_x$  analyzer with a nitrogen dioxide ( $NO_2$ ) calibration gas of 15.42 ppm. Results from the converter efficiency test demonstrated that the analyzer met the requirements of Method  $7E^{(Eq. 1)}$  (Greater than 90%).

Eq. 1 
$$Eff_{NO2} = \frac{13.98}{15.42} = 91\%$$

Field calibration data sheets and gas certification sheets are in Appendix C.

#### 3.1.6 Data Reduction

The O2 (%) and NO<sub>X</sub> (ppmvd) readings were recorded at 10-second intervals and averaged to 1-minute increments. NO<sub>X</sub> and CO emissions were reported in parts per million corrected to  $15\% O_2$  (ppm @  $15\% O_2$ ), pounds per million British thermal units (lb/MMBtu), and pounds per hour (lb/hr) for comparison to the permitted emission limits. Emission were calculated using USEPA Method 19.

Raw CEM data is presented in Appendix B.



#### 4.0 OPERATING PARAMETERS

The test program included the collection of turbine operating data during each test run. Parameters recorded included mass fuel flow rate (lb/hr), heat input (MMBtu/hr), electrical generation (kW), compressor discharge pressure (PSIG), turbine exhaust temperature (F) and steam flow from HRSG (KPPH). The units were operating at or above 95% of maximum fuel flow for the ambient conditions on the testing days

Operational data, explanation of maximum fuel flow, and results of the fuel analysis can be found in Appendix E.

#### 5.0 RESULTS

The results of the Oxides of nitrogen emission testing conducted on EUCTGHRSG1-2 are presented in Table Nos. 1-2. The results of the NO<sub>x</sub> emissions testing are presented in parts per million at 15% oxygen (ppm @ 15%  $O_2$ ), pounds per million BTU (lb/MMBTU), and lb/hr. EUCTGHRSG1 demonstrated compliance with permitted emission rates.



#### 6.0 **CERTIFICATION STATEMENT**

"I certify that I believe the information provided in this document is true, accurate, and complete. Results of testing are based on the good faith application of sound professional judgment, using techniques, factors, or standards approved by the Local, State, or Federal Governing body, or generally accepted in the trade."

Mark R. Grigereit

Mark R. Grigereit, QSTI

This report prepared by: <u>Mark R. Grigereit</u>

Mr. Mark R. Grigereit, QSTI Principal Engineer, Ecology, Monitoring, and Remediation **Environmental Management and Safety** DTE Energy Corporate Services, LLC

This report reviewed by: Mark D. Westerberg

Mr. Mark D. Westerberg, QSTI Sr. Environmental Specialist, Ecology, Monitoring, and Remediation **Environmental Management and Safety** DTE Energy Corporate Services, LLC



**RESULTS TABLES** 



November 1, 2022

Date	Test Time	Unit <u>Load</u>		Oxides of Nitrogen		
		(%)		(ppm) <sup>+</sup>	(lb/hr)	(Ib/MMBtu)
Duct Burne	or Off					
Run 1	7:53-8:13	86%		8.3	4.21	
Run 2	8:24-8:44	86%		8.2	4.18	
Run 3	8:54-9:14	93%		8.3	4.59	
			Ave:	8.3	4.33	
			Permit Limit:	12	8.84	
Duct Burne	er On					
Run 1	10:01-10:21	84%		13.6	11.92	0.05
Run 2	10:32-10:52	89%		13.5	12.68	0.05
• Run 3	11:02-11:22	88%		13.6	12.63	0.05
			Ave:	13.5	12.41	0.05
			Permit Limit:	25	19.04	0.12



November 2, 2022

angef.		Unit	and a second second	1.3		
Date	Test Time	Load			Oxides of Nitr	ogen
		(%)		(ppm) <sup>1</sup>	(lb/hr)	(lb/MMBtu)
Duct Rurne	or Off					
Rup 1	7:30-7:50	00%		Q 1	1 20	
Run 2	9.01 9.21	90%		0.1	4.29	
Rull Z	0.01-0.21	03%		0.1	4.24	
Run 3	8:33-8:53	97%		8.1	4.58	
			Ave:	8.1	4.37	
			Permit Limit:	12	8.84	
Duct Burne	er On					
Run 1	9:46-10:06	86%		13.7	12.44	0.05
Run 2	10:20-10:40	95%		13.8	13.72	0.05
Run 3	10:53-11:13	92%		<u>13.8</u>	13.31	0.05
			Ave:	13.7	13.16	0.05
			Permit Limit:	25	19.04	0.12
					_	



FIGURES









APPENDIX A

## EGLE TEST PLAN & ACCEPTANCE LETTER