DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AIR QUALITY DIVISION

ACTIVITY REPORT: Complaint Investigation

N	66583	37344
- 17	oooo.)

FACILITY: ROSEVILLE CRUSH	ED CONCRETE	SRN / ID: N6658
LOCATION: 29765 Groesbeck, I	ROSEVILLE	DISTRICT: Southeast Michigan
CITY: ROSEVILLE		COUNTY: MACOMB
CONTACT: Leslie Snyder-Perfili	President / Operations Manager	ACTIVITY DATE: 10/04/2016
STAFF: Tyler Salamasick	COMPLIANCE STATUS: Compliance	SOURCE CLASS: MINOR
SUBJECT: Fugitive dust compla	int.	
RESOLVED COMPLAINTS: C-1	6-02393	

Background

Roseville Crushed Concrete (SRN:N6658) is a nonmetallic mineral crushing facility located at 29765 Groesbeck Highway, Roseville, Michigan. Air Quality Division received a complaint on 9/19/16 related to the facility's operations that week. Tyler Salamasick of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division responded to the complaint from Conrad Cush located at 16005 Sturgeon Street, Roseville, MI. The intent of the inspection was to investigate the complaint (No. C-16-02393) which alleged fugitive dust/ fallout came from Roseville Crushed Concrete's concrete crushing operations.

Inspection

The complaint investigation at Graphics East Inc. was conducted on 10/04/2016 around 10:51 am. The weather conditions were 65F with an ESE wind at 0-2 mph and clear sky (wunderground.com). Graphics East is located in a primarily light industrial area, approximately 250ft west of Roseville Crushed Concrete's primary crushing area. I inspected the vehicles in the parking lot located closest to the adjoining property line between the two facilities. These vehicles did not appear to have fallout accumulation. There was some dust on a few vehicles but it appeared to be common road dust. After inspecting the vehicles I went to informed Mrs. Leslie Perfili that the MDEQ AQD had received a complaint on 9/19/2016. The secretary informed me that Leslie was out of the office and would not be in. I did not perform a compliance evaluation but saw some of the site from her office. The unpayed areas appeared to be damp. I did not observe dust being generated. The facility was not crushing at the time, but they were operating equipment in the yard. After my inspection I called and spoke to Leslie and informed her that there had been a complaint. I also noted that this was the first complaint in three months.

Conclusion

During the complaint investigation, I did not observe excessive fallout on the vehicles which would trigger a Rule 901 violation. The complaint is considered resolved at this time. Follow up inspections will be conducted if the Air Quality Division receives additional complaints in the future.

DATE 11/4/16 SUPERVISOR JOYER