## DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY

## AIR QUALITY DIVISION

## FCE Summary Report

Facility : ASAMA COLDWATER MANUFACTURING, INC.			N5814
Location	: 180 ASAMA PARKWAY	District	: Kalamazoo
		County	BRANCH
City :	COLDWATER State: MI Zip Code :	49036 Compliance Status :	Non Compliance
Source C	lass : MAJOR	Staff: Re	x Lane
FCE Begi	in Date : 9/16/2013	FCE Complet Date :	ion 9/24/2014
Commen	ts :		

## List of Partial Compliance Evaluations :

١

Activity Date	Activity Type	Compliance Status	Comments
09/16/2014	Self Initiated	Non Compliance	Self Initiated Inspection Summary

Activity Date	Activity Type	Compliance Status	Comments
09/10/2014	ROP Semi 1 Cert	Compliance	Review of ROP Semi-annual Certification Report: 1/1/14 - 6/30/14
			ROP certification report contains an original dated signature by the facility's responsible official. The report identifies one deviation related to performing a late semi- annual Method 9 opacity test. The last semi-annual VE test was done on 10/15/13 and this VE test should have been completed on o before 4/15/14. ACM's EHS coordinator resigned in late February 2014 and the facility has recently hired a consultant and a new staff person to address MACT and ROP requirements. Their consultant notified staff via email that a compliance calendar is being established for tracking VE testing and other compliance
· · · · · ·			requirements specified in the ROF and MACT. The semi-annual VE test was completed on 6/6/14. Although the semi-annual VE test was not done timely, it is the first
			occurrence at this facility and no opacity was noted during the most recent observation. Staff is inclined to use discretion for this incident and not cite the facility based on the listed circumstances and because the facility is already operating under an AQD administrative consent order for other violations.
07/10/2014	ROP Other	Compliance	EU-MCS: Cylinder Gas Audit (CGA) Report
			Report includes an ROP certification report that contains ar original dated signature by the facility's responsible official. According to the CGA report, the audit was performed by Altech Environmental on 6/20/14 and results were within accuracy limits specified in 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix FRIL

•

ì

Activity Date	Activity Type	Compliance Status	Comments
06/30/2014	MACT (Part 63)	Compliance	Method 9 Performance Test Results
			Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.7731(b), the facility is required to conduct performance tests to demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit ir 63.7690(a)(7) for the iron and steel foundry no less frequently than once every six months. Visible emission (VE) observations were done from the building enclosing FG- NEWFOUNDRY. Readings were done plant wide by an observer that has met and is currently certified under the specification of Federal Reference Method 9. Results indicate that there was zero percent opacity during the observation periods. A follow up report was received on 6/26/14
			that included an ROP certification report signed and dated by the facility's responsible official.
			The last semi-annual VE test was done on 10/15/13 and this VE test should have been completed on o before 4/15/14. ACM's EHS
			coordinator resigned in late February 2014 and the facility has recently hired a consultant and a new staff person to address MACT and ROP requirements. Their consultant notified staff via email that a compliance calendar
			is being established for tracking VE testing and other compliance requirements specified in the ROP and MACT. Although the semi- annual VE test was not done timely, it is the first occurrence at
			this facility and no opacity was noted during the most recent observation. Staff is inclined to use discretion for this incident and not cite the facility based on the
			listed circumstances and because the facility is already operating under an AQD administrative consent order for other violations.

τ

,

Activity Date	Activity Type	Compliance Status	Comments
05/28/2014	ROP Annual Cert	Compliance	Annual ROP Certification Report 1/1/13 - 12/31/13:
			Report contains an original dated signature by the facility's responsible official. The report indicates that the source was in compliance with all ROP terms and conditions during the reporting period. However, staff notified the facility via email on 3/24/14 that a deviation should have been reported for the first six -month reporting period in calendar year 2013 for VOHAP exceedances from EU-MCS. An amended ROP certification report was received on 5/27/14 and included a deviation report that stated that ACM had completed installation of a Regenerative Thermal Oxidizer to control VOHAP emissions from EU-MCS.
05/28/2014	ROP SEMI 2 CERT	Compliance	Semi-annual ROP certification report for 7/1/13 through 12/31/13
			Report contains an original dated signature by the facility's responsible official. The report states that the source was in compliance with all ROP terms and conditions during the reporting periodRIL

.

a.

,

Activity Date	Activity Type	Compliance Status	Comments	
05/16/2014	MAERS	Compliance	Review of 2013 MAERS Report:	
			3/24/14: Revised email and phone contact information on the EI and Fee Contact forms from the forme HSE contact to the new contact, Mr. David Sutherland, Human Resources Manager.	
			Changed Source Form HAPs flag from synthetic minor to major as facility is subject to 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart EEEEE. Checked MACT field on the emission unit form for applicable melting and pouring processes.	
			Facility made a PM10 filterable emission calculation error for EU- SS (new) under SCC 30400352 that resulted in under reporting by about 10% and this was corrected and the report resubmitted. The	
			previous year emission comparison audit report showed possible discrepancies with reported VOC emissions for RG- MCSCCF1 and	
			RGSANDSYSTEM. Upon further review, the large VOC reduction (~50%) on RG-MCSCCF1 was associated with installation of RT controls on EU-MCS beginning in	
			July 2013. For RGSANDSYSTEM, the roughly 40% increase in VOC emissions was due to the emission basis changing from stack test in 2012	
			to MAERS EF for 2013. The stact test basis was based on VOC testing of the sand system for the new foundry and RGSANDSYSTEM is associated	
			with sand handling in the older George Fischer foundry. Therefore, it would be more appropriate to use MAERS	
			emission factor until EU specific testing is performedRIL	

c,

Activity Date	Activity Type	Compliance Status	Comments
01/28/2014	ROP SEMI 2 CERT	Compliance	ROP Semi-annual Compliance Certification Report: 7/1/13 - 12/31/13
			The ROP certification report was timely and contains an original signature by the facility's responsible official. The report states that the facility complied with all terms and conditions of their ROP and PTI No. 280-06A, 280-06B and 177-11 during the reporting period.
01/28/2014	MACT (Part 63)	Compliance	NESHAP EEEEE Iron and Steel Foundries
			Facility cover letter states that there were no deviations from the Iron and Steel MACT standard during the reporting period. The ROP certification report contains an original signature by the facility's responsible official and
			the other report certification box is checked and references 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart EEEEE.
01/28/2014	MACT (Part 63)	Compliance	NESHAP MMMM Surface Coating of Miscellaneous Metal Parts and Products
			Facility cover letter states that ACM relied on the compliance material option during the reporting period and that there were no deviations from the MACT standards. The ROP certification report contains an original signature by the facility's responsible official and the other report certification box is checked and references 40 CFR Part 63,

1

Page 6 of 8

Activity Date	Activity TypeCompliance StatusStack TestCompliance	Comments	
12/05/2013		Compliance	EU-MCS: RATA and VOC Emissions Testing Report
			Report included a ROP certification that contains an original signature by the facility's responsible official. Testing was conducted on 9/5/13 and observed by MDEQ staff, Mr. Nathan Hude and Mr. Rex Lane and the automatic mold cooling and shakeout line appeared to be operating near maximum routine operating conditions during testing (see MACES Report N581422737). Testing was conducted to demonstrate compliance with the VOHAP limit in PTI No. 280-06B and the foundry MACT and the relative accuracy test audit (RATA) was done at the same time. Table 1-1 of the report indicates that the VOC emission rate was 5.11 ppm (VOHAP limit - 20 ppm) and 2.88 lbs./hour (permit limit - 15.49 lbs./hour) which demonstrates compliance with the foundy MACT standard and PTI No. 280-06B. Table 1-2 of the report summarizes the RATA results which indicates a relative accuracy of 7% (limit is 10% per 40 CFR Part 60). Process data was summarized in Appendix F (metal pour rate - 11 tons/hour; RTO combustion temperature - 1380 degrees F)RIL
11/12/2013	Telephone Notes	Compliance	Malfunction of CEMs Data Recorder

٠

. '

,

Activity Date	Activity Type	Compliance Status	Comments
10/28/2013	MACT (Part 63)	Compliance	Method 9 Performance Test Results
			Pursuant to 40 CFR 63.7731(b), the facility is required to conduct performance tests to demonstrate compliance with the opacity limit in 63.7690(a)(7) for the iron and steel foundry no less frequently than once every six months. Visible emission observations were done from the building enclosing FG-NEWFOUNDRY. Reading were done by an observer that has met and is currently certified under the specification of Federal Reference Method 9 at three different locations for a one hour period. Results indicate that there was zero percent opacity during the observation periods.

Name:

RIL

Date: 9/24/14

Supervisor:

M09/25/2014

Page 8 of 8