



Consumers Energy

Count on Us[®]

Test Report

EUTURBINE2-2

Consumers Energy Company
Muskegon River Compressor Station
8613 Pine Road
Marion, MI 49665
SRN: N2901

March 24, 2023

Test Date: February 14, 2023

Test Performed by the Consumers Energy Company
Regulatory Compliance Testing Section
Air Emissions Testing Body
Laboratory Services Section
Work Order No. 41150121
Version No.: 0

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	IV
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 IDENTIFICATION, LOCATION, AND DATES OF TESTS	1
1.2 PURPOSE OF TESTING	1
1.3 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF SOURCE	1
1.4 CONTACT INFORMATION	1
2.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS	3
2.1 OPERATING DATA	3
2.2 APPLICABLE PERMIT INFORMATION	3
2.3 RESULTS	3
3.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION	3
3.1 PROCESS	3
3.2 PROCESS FLOW	4
3.3 MATERIALS PROCESSED	4
3.4 RATED CAPACITY	4
3.5 PROCESS INSTRUMENTATION	4
4.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	4
4.1 DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLING TRAIN AND FIELD PROCEDURES	5
4.2 SAMPLE LOCATION (USEPA METHOD 1)	5
4.3 OXYGEN CONCENTRATIONS (USEPA METHOD 3A)	6
4.4 MOISTURE AND FORMALDEHYDE (USEPA METHOD 320)	7
5.0 TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	9
5.1 TABULATION OF RESULTS	9
5.2 SIGNIFICANCE OF RESULTS	9
5.3 VARIATIONS FROM SAMPLING OR OPERATING CONDITIONS	9
5.4 PROCESS OR CONTROL EQUIPMENT UPSET CONDITIONS	9
5.5 AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE MAINTENANCE	9
5.6 RE-TEST DISCUSSION	9
5.7 RESULTS OF AUDIT SAMPLES	9
5.8 CALIBRATION SHEETS	10
5.9 SAMPLE CALCULATIONS	10
5.10 FIELD DATA SHEETS	10
5.11 LABORATORY QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES	11
5.12 QA/QC BLANKS	11

FIGURES

FIGURE 4-1. EUTURBINE2-2 EXHAUST STACK SAMPLE PORT LOCATION	6
FIGURE 4-2. USEPA METHOD 3A SAMPLE SYSTEM	7
FIGURE 4-3. USEPA METHOD 320 SAMPLING/SPIKING SYSTEM	8

TABLES

TABLE E-1 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS	IV
TABLE 1-1 EUTURBINE2-2 EMISSION LIMIT	1
TABLE 1-2 CONTACT INFORMATION	2
TABLE 2-1 SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS	3
TABLE 4-1 TEST METHODS	4
TABLE 4-2 EUTURBINE2-2 TEST MATRIX	5
TABLE 5-1 SUMMARY OF QA/QC PROCEDURES	10

APPENDICES

Appendix Table	EUTURBINE2-2 Emission Rates and Process Data
Appendix A	Sample Calculations
Appendix B	Field Data Sheets
Appendix C	Operating Data
Appendix D	Prism M320 Formaldehyde Report
Appendix E	Supporting Documentation

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2023

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Consumers Energy Company (Consumers Energy) Regulatory Compliance Testing Section (RCTS) conducted formaldehyde (CH₂O) testing at the exhaust of one natural gas-fired Solar Taurus 70 combustion turbine-driven compressor unit operating at the Muskegon River Compressor Station (MRCS) in Marion, Michigan. The turbine is identified as EUTURBINE2-2 within Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) Air Quality Division (AQD) Permit to Install (PTI) 16-21A, which incorporates the federal requirements of 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YYY, "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Combustion Turbines." EUTURBINE2-2 is a simple cycle turbine used to withdraw natural gas from underground storage reservoirs.

The test program was conducted on February 14, 2023, to evaluate annual compliance with the ≤ 91 parts per billion by volume dry (ppbvd) at 15-percent O₂ formaldehyde limit, as required by Table 1 to Subpart YYY of Part 63 – Emission Limitations. A test protocol was submitted to EGLE on December 6, 2022, and subsequently approved by Lindsey Wells, Environmental Quality Analyst, in a letter dated January 31, 2023.

Three, 1-hour tests were performed while the turbine was operating within 10 percent of 100-percent load based on gas producer speed (GPS). Flue gas CH₂O, oxygen (O₂), and moisture concentrations were measured to calculate CH₂O ppmvd @ 15% O₂ following procedures in 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A, Reference Methods (RM) 1 and 3A, and 40 CFR Part 63, Appendix A, RM 320.

The results summarized in Table E-1 indicate EUTURBINE2-2 is operating in compliance with 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YYY and PTI 16-21 Limit.

Table E-1
Summary of Test Results

Parameter	Unit	Average Result	Emission Limit
CH ₂ O	ppbvd @ 15% O ₂	79	≤ 91

Detailed results are presented in Appendix Table 1. Sample calculations, field data sheets, and system operating data are presented in Appendices A, B, and C. Formaldehyde testing data is provided in Appendix D. Supporting documentation is provided in Appendix E.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

This report summarizes the results of compliance air emissions testing conducted February 14, 2023, at the exhaust of natural gas-fired Solar Taurus 70 combustion turbine-driven compressor unit designated as EUTURBINE2-2 at the Muskegon River Compressor Station in Marion, Michigan.

This document is compiled using the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) reference document *Format for Submittal of Source Emission Test Plans and Reports*, dated November 2019. Reproducing portions of this document may cause omissions or contextual misinformation to occur. If any portion is reproduced, please exercise due care in this regard.

1.1 IDENTIFICATION, LOCATION, AND DATES OF TESTS

EUTURBINE2-2 is a simple cycle turbine identified within EGLE Air Quality Division (AQD) Permit to Install (PTI) 16-21. The turbine is installed and operating at the Muskegon River Compressor Station (MRCS) in Marion, Michigan. A test protocol was submitted to EGLE on December 6, 2022, and subsequently approved by Ms. Lindsey Wells, Environmental Quality Analyst, in a letter dated January 31, 2023. A 7-day notification was provided to EGLE on February 7, 2023, and the testing was performed February 14, 2023.

1.2 PURPOSE OF TESTING

The purpose of the test was to evaluate compliance with the ≤ 91 parts per billion by volume dry (ppbvd) at 15-percent O₂ formaldehyde limit, as required by Table 1 to Subpart YYYY of Part 63 – Emission Limitations. The applicable emission limit is in Table 1-1.

Table 1-1
EUTURBINE2-2 Emission Limit

Parameter	Units	Emission Limit
Formaldehyde (CH ₂ O)	ppbvd @ 15% O ₂	≤ 91

1.3 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF SOURCE

The Muskegon River Compressor Station operates EUTURBINE2-2 to compress and transport natural gas in and out of storage fields and along natural gas pipeline systems. The Solar Taurus 70 combustion turbine-driven compressor unit is rated to a maximum output of approximately 11,419 horsepower, which equates to approximately 96.5 million Btu/hr heat input.

1.4 CONTACT INFORMATION

Table 1-2 presents the contact information of personnel involved in the test event.

**Table 1-2
Contact Information**

Program Role	Contact	Address
EPA Regional Contact	Compliance Tracker, ECA-18J Air Enforcement and Compliance Assurance Branch	U.S. EPA Region 5 77 W. Jackson Blvd. Chicago, Illinois 60604
EGLE AQD Emissions Measurement Representative	Regina Angellotti Acting TPU Supervisor 313-418-0895 angellottiR1@michigan.gov	EGLE – Technical Programs Unit 525 W. Allegan, Constitution Hall, 2 nd Floor S Lansing, Michigan 48933
State Regulatory Inspector	Nathanael Gentle Environmental Quality Analyst 989-778-0025 gentlen@michigan.gov	EGLE Bay City District 401 Ketchum Street, Suite B Bay City, Michigan 48708
State Technical Programs Field Inspector	Lindsey Wells Technical Programs Unit 517-282-2345 wellsL8@Michigan.gov	EGLE – Technical Programs Unit 525 W. Allegan, Constitution Hall, 2 nd Floor S Lansing, Michigan 48933
Responsible Official	Avelock Robinson Director Gas Compression Operations 586-716-3326 avelock.robinson@cmsenergy.com	Consumers Energy Company St. Clair Compressor Station 10021 Marine City Highway Ira, Michigan 48023
Corporate Air Quality	Amy Kapuga Senior Engineer 517-788-2201 amy.kapuga@cmsenergy.com	Consumers Energy Company Environmental Services Department 1945 West Parnall Road Jackson, Michigan 49201
Field Environmental Coordinator	Janet Zondlak Senior Environmental Analyst 616-738-3702 janet.zondlak@cmsenergy.com	Consumers Energy Company Marion Production (MCS-100A-REM) 7950 Partridge Ave. Marion, Michigan 49665
Field Manager	Janet Simon Manager Compression Operations 989-466-4215 janet.simon@cmsenergy.com	Consumers Energy Company Lansing Customer Service Center 530 W. Willow Street Lansing, Michigan 48906
Field Leader	Dawn Biering Gas Field Leader III 231-743-4102 dawn.biering@cmsenergy.com	Consumers Energy Company Muskegon River Compressor Station 8613 Pine Road Marion, Michigan 49665
Reliability Engineer	Pierce Dehring Reliability Engineer 517-257-8496 pierce.dehring@cmsenergy.com	Consumers Energy Company Muskegon River Compressor Station 8613 Pine Road Marion, Michigan 49665
Test Team Representative	Thomas Schmelter, QSTI Sr. Engineering Technical Analyst 616-738-3234 thomas.schmelter@cmsenergy.com	Consumers Energy Company L&D Training Center 17010 Crowell Street West Olive, Michigan 49460

2.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

2.1 OPERATING DATA

The turbine operating data collected during each test run included:

- Horsepower (BHP)
- Percent gas producer speed (Ngp, %)
- Fuel gas pressure (psig)
- Lean premixed combustion, SoLoNO_x operation (Active: Yes/No)
- Fuel gas flow (lb/hr and 1000 scfd)
- Discharge pressure (psig)
- Ambient temperature (T1, °F)

Refer to Appendix C for the operating data collected.

2.2 APPLICABLE PERMIT INFORMATION

The Muskegon River Compressor Station operates under State of Michigan Registration Number (SRN) N2901 and renewable operating permit MI-ROP-N2901-2020 effective January 22, 2020. EUTURBINE2-2 is the emission unit identified within EGLE AQD PTI 16-21A approved on August 30, 2022. PTI 16-21A incorporates the operating and emission limit requirements of 40 CFR 63, Subpart YYYY, "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants for Stationary Combustion Turbines," for the EUTURBINE2-2 source under the FGMACTYYYY flexible group conditions.

2.3 RESULTS

The test results presented in Table 2-1 indicate EUTURBINE2-2 is operating in compliance with the applicable emission limits.

Table 2-1
Summary of Test Results

Parameter	Unit	Average Result	Emission Limit
CH ₂ O	ppbvd @ 15% O ₂	79	≤91

The Appendix Table contains detailed results with discussion presented in Section 5.0. Sample calculations, field data sheets, and system operating data are in Appendices A, B, and C. Appendix D includes the Formaldehyde testing data. Supporting documentation is provided in Appendix E.

3.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION

3.1 PROCESS

The Muskegon River Compressor Station operates EUTURBINE2-2 to compress and transport natural gas in and out of storage fields and along natural gas pipeline systems.

3.2 PROCESS FLOW

As gas enters the compressor station from the pipeline, it passes through a scrubber vessel designed to remove free liquids, dirt, or other particulates from the gas stream before entering the turbine's combustor. Air is drawn into a multi-stage compressor and then directed into a combustion chamber. The natural gas is injected and mixed with the compressed air and ignited during the start cycle. The hot pressurized gas from the combustor expands through and drives the turbine, dropping in pressure and temperature as it exits the turbine. This process converts the energy in the fuel into kinetic rotating power at the turbine output shaft. A compressor pressurizes the pipeline gas using an impeller mechanically coupled to the turbine's output shaft.

State-of-the-art control systems monitor compressor operations, turbine and yard piping system interactions, and fault conditions. Detailed operating data recorded during testing are provided in Appendix C.

3.3 MATERIALS PROCESSED

The turbine fires natural gas containing ≤ 5 gr/100 scf sulfur content with a total heat value per cubic foot between 965 and 1,110 Btu.

3.4 RATED CAPACITY

The Solar Taurus 70 combustion turbine-driven compressor unit is limited to a maximum output of approximately 11,419 horsepower, which equates to approximately 96.5 million Btu/hr heat input rating.

3.5 PROCESS INSTRUMENTATION

Fuel metering and other test program specific devices were verified prior to the test event according to the manufacturer recommendations. Process data collected during each test run was logged, correlated to run times, and averaged.

4.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Triplicate, 60-minute test runs to measure formaldehyde, oxygen, and moisture concentrations were conducted at the exhaust of EUTURBINE2-2 while it was operating within plus or minus 10 percent of 100-percent load based on GPS. Table 4-1 presents the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) test methods used during this test program. Descriptions of these procedures are presented in the following sections.

**Table 4-1
Test Methods**

Parameter	Method	Title
Sample traverses	1	Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources
Oxygen	3A	Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)
Formaldehyde Water content	320	Measurement of Vapor Phase Organic and Inorganic Emissions by Extractive Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) Spectroscopy

4.1 DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLING TRAIN AND FIELD PROCEDURES

The test matrix presented in Table 4-2 summarizes the sampling and analytical methods performed for the specified test parameters.

Table 4-2
EUTURBINE2-2 Test Matrix

Date	Run	Sample Type	Start Time (EST)	Stop Time (EST)	Test Duration (min)	EPA Test Method	GPS (%)
Feb. 14. 2023	1	O ₂	09:00	09:59	60	1	98.30
	2	CH ₂ O moisture	10:15	10:14	60	3A	98.33
	3		11:30	12:29	60	320	99.98


4.2 SAMPLE LOCATION (USEPA METHOD 1)

The number and location of traverse points were evaluated according to the requirements in 40 CFR Part 60, USEPA Method 1, *Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources*. The EUTURBINE2-2 exhaust stack is 54 inches in diameter and the sample ports are 6-inch in diameter, extending 16.5 inches beyond the stack wall. The sampling location is:

- ~108-inches or 2.0 duct diameters downstream from a rectangular duct to circular stack transition, and
- ~184-inches or 3.4 duct diameters upstream of the stack exit to atmosphere.

The sample ports at the measurement site meet the alternative minimum of 2 stack diameters downstream and ½ stack diameter upstream of flow disturbances as described in Method 1, § 11.1.1. Refer to Figure 4-1 for a drawing of the EUTURBINE2-2 Exhaust Stack Sample Location.

Figure 4-1. EUTURBINE2-2 Exhaust Stack Sample Port Location




4.3 OXYGEN CONCENTRATIONS (USEPA METHOD 3A)

Oxygen concentrations were measured following procedures in USEPA Method 3A, Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure). Exhaust gas was extracted from the stack through a stainless-steel probe, heated Teflon® sample line, and a gas conditioning system to remove water and dry the sample before entering a sample pump, gas flow control manifold, and gas analyzer. Refer to Figure 4-2 for a drawing of the Method 3A sampling system.

Prior to sampling, the analyzer was calibrated by performing an analyzer calibration error (ACE) test where low-, mid-, and high-level (equivalent to instrument span) calibration gases are introduced directly to the back of the analyzer. The ACE verifies the analyzer responses are within ±2.0% of calibration span or ±0.5% absolute difference.

Following the ACE, an initial measurement system-bias test was performed where the low- and mid- or high- calibration gases were introduced at the sample probe to measure the system response time and verify the system’s response is within ±5.0% of span or ±0.5% absolute difference.

Figure 4-2. USEPA Method 3A Sample System



Upon successful completion of the ACE and initial system bias tests, sample flow rates and component temperatures were verified, and the probe was inserted into the stack. After confirming the unit was operating at established conditions, the sampling commenced. Gas concentrations were recorded at 1-minute intervals throughout the test program.

Prior to the start of Run 1, a stratification test was performed to determine the appropriate sampling points. Three points on a line passing through the centroidal area of the stack were spaced at 16.7, 50.0, and 83.3 percent of the measurement line. O₂ concentrations were measured for a minimum of twice the system response time at each traverse point. The individual point and mean O₂ concentrations were calculated. Since, the concentrations at each traverse point differed from the mean concentration for all traverse points by no more than $\pm 5.0\%$ of the mean concentration, or ± 0.3 percent O₂, samples were collected from a single point that most closely matched the mean.

At the conclusion of each test run, a final system bias check was performed to verify analyzer drift was within $\pm 3.0\%$ and measurement system bias was within $\pm 5.0\%$ of span or $\pm 0.5\%$ absolute difference. The analyzer responses were used to correct measured gas concentrations for drift. The average O₂ concentrations for each test run were used to adjust formaldehyde concentrations to 15% O₂.


4.4 MOISTURE AND FORMALDEHYDE (USEPA METHOD 320)

Formaldehyde and moisture concentrations were measured following the sampling and analytical procedures of USEPA Method 320, *Vapor Phase Organic and Inorganic Emissions by Extractive FTIR*. Exhaust gas was extracted through a heated stainless-steel probe and heated Teflon® sample line prior to being introduced to a heated-head sampling pump and the FTIR. The stainless-steel probe and Teflon® sample line was maintained at approximately 300°F.

RECEIVED

MAR 28 2023

Figure 4-3. USEPA Method 320 Sampling/Spiking System



FTIR data was collected using an MKS MultiGas 2030 FTIR spectrometer configured with a StarBoost system. The StarBoost technology consists of a 5-micron infrared detector, optical filtration, and signal amplification. It is designed to optimize signal response and limit instrument noise for low detection limit applications. The FTIR is equipped with a temperature-controlled, 5.11-meter multipass gas cell maintained at 191°C. Data were collected in differential mode with 2 cm⁻¹ resolution sample data and 8 cm⁻¹ resolution background. Each FTIR spectrum was derived from the coaddition of 200 scans, with a new data point generated approximately every 60 seconds. A minimum of 60 minutes of reference spectra data were collected for each run.

Prior to testing, a nitrogen (zero) calibration gas was introduced directly to the FTIR to verify it was free of contaminants. A methane calibration transfer standard (CTS) was introduced used to ensure suitable agreement between the sample and reference spectra. Following the CTS, a calibration gas containing 0.591-ppmv formaldehyde (spike gas) and 252.8 ppmv N₂O (tracer gas) was introduced to the FTIR to verify calibration. The zero and CTS checks were performed through the sampling system and an analyte spike was performed by introducing the formaldehyde and N₂O calibration gas at an approximate 1:10 ratio with the sampled flue gas. The system passed the applicable QA/QC procedures.

An on-site analyte detection limit analysis was performed. The detection limit is calculated as three times the standard deviation of the concentrations from ten representative background spectra taken during the analysis. The detection limit for this test project was 20 ppbv formaldehyde and 0.1% for water.

Following each run, another CTS and zero check were recorded and compared to the pre-test CTS. The pre-test and post-test CTS are required to be within ±5% of the mean value for the run to be valid. Refer to Appendix D for the formaldehyde testing data.

5.0 TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The test program was conducted on February 14, 2023, to evaluate compliance with the ≤ 91 parts per billion by volume dry at 15-percent O₂ formaldehyde limit, as required by Table 1 to Subpart YYYY of Part 63 – Emission Limitations.

5.1 TABULATION OF RESULTS

The results summarized in Table 2-1 indicate EUTURBINE2-2 is operating in compliance with 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart YYYY and PTI 16-21A limit. The Appendix Table contains detailed results tabulation, process operating conditions, and exhaust gas conditions.

5.2 SIGNIFICANCE OF RESULTS

The test results indicate compliance with the applicable emissions standard and confirms the turbine operating in enabled SoLoNO_x mode meets Subpart YYYY requirements.

5.3 VARIATIONS FROM SAMPLING OR OPERATING CONDITIONS

No operating condition variations were observed during the test program.

5.4 PROCESS OR CONTROL EQUIPMENT UPSET CONDITIONS

No process or control equipment upset conditions were observed during the test program.

5.5 AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE MAINTENANCE

No significant maintenance had been performed in the three months prior to the test program.

5.6 RE-TEST DISCUSSION

Based on the results of this test program, a re-test is not required. In accordance with 40 CFR 63, Subpart YYYY the EUTURBINE2-2 source is required to demonstrate formaldehyde emissions meet the emission limitations by a performance test on an annual basis.

5.7 RESULTS OF AUDIT SAMPLES

Audit samples are not available from USEPA Stationary Source Audit Sample Program providers for this test program, however the reference methods performed indicate that reliable results are obtained by persons equipped with a thorough knowledge of the techniques associated with each method. Field measurement error factors are therefore minimized by implementing quality control (QC) and quality assurance (QA) programs into the applicable field-test components. The primary field QA/QC activities used are summarized in Table 5-1. Refer to Appendix D and E for additional supporting documentation.

**Table 5-1
Summary of QA/QC Procedures**

QA/QC Activity	Purpose	Procedure	Frequency	Acceptance Criteria
M1: Sampling Location	Evaluates if the sampling location is suitable for sampling	Measure up- and downstream distance from ports to flow disturbances	Pre-test	≥2 diameters downstream; ≥0.5 diameter upstream.
M1: Duct diameter/ dimensions	Verifies area of stack is accurately measured	Review as-built drawings and field measurement	Pre-test	Field measurement agreement with as-built drawings
M3A: Calibration gas standards	Ensure accurate calibration standards	Traceability protocol of calibration gases	Pre-test	Calibration gas uncertainty ≤2.0%
M3A: Calibration Error	Evaluates operation of analyzers	Calibration gases introduced directly into analyzer	Pre-test	±2.0% of the calibration span
M3A: System bias and analyzer drift	Evaluates sample system stack gas delivery to analyzers	Calibration gases introduced through sample system	Pre- and Post-test	Bias: ±5.0% of analyzer span Drift: ±3.0% of analyzer span
M3A: Stratification Test	Evaluates stratification in duct	Traverse duct and calculate analyte concentration averages	Pre-test	Single Point: each point ±5.0% of the mean or ±0.3% difference
M320: Zero	Verify contaminant free system and detection limit	Calibration gas introduced directly into analyzer	Pre- and Post-test	<detection limit
M320: CTS Direct	Verify analytical stability	Calibration gas directly into analyzer	Pre-test	±5% of calibration value
M320: Analyte Direct	Verify FTIR calibration	Calibration gas directly into analyzer	Pre-test	Verify calibration value
M320: CTS Response	Verify sample recovery	Calibration gas through sample system	Pre- and Post-test	±5% of direct measurement
M320: Zero Response Spike	Verify leak free analytical system	Calibration gas through sample system	Pre- and Post-test	Bias correct data
M320: Analyte Spike	Evaluates operation of analyzer	Calibration gas into sampling system at ≤10.0% of sampling rate	Pre-test Post-test	average spiked concentration 0.7 to 1.3 times the expected concentration

5.8 CALIBRATION SHEETS

Calibration sheets, including gas protocol sheets and analyzer QA/QC data are presented in Appendix E.

5.9 SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Sample calculations and formulas used to compute emissions data are presented in Appendix A.

5.10 FIELD DATA SHEETS

Field data sheets are presented in Appendix B.

5.11 LABORATORY QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

The method specific QA/QC procedures employed during this test program were followed without deviation. There were no laboratory procedures employed.

5.12 QA/QC BLANKS

No reagent or media blanks were used.

Appendix Table
