

## 1.0 Introduction

---

North American Natural Resources, Inc. (NANR) operates gas-fired reciprocating internal combustion engine (RICE) and electricity generator sets at the Central Generating Facility in Pierson, Montcalm County, Michigan. The RICE are fueled by landfill gas (LFG) that is recovered from the Central Landfill. The recovered gas is transferred to the NANR facility where it is treated and used as fuel.

The Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy – Air Quality Division (EGLE-AQD) has issued to NANR a Renewable Operating Permit (MI-ROP-N2804-2020) for operation of the renewable electricity generation facility, which consists of:

- One (1) Caterpillar (CAT®) Model No. 3516 RICE-generator set identified as emission unit EUENGINE2 (Flexible Group ID: FGRICEENG); and
- Two (2) Caterpillar (CAT®) Model No. 3520C RICE-generator set identified as emission units EUENGINE1 and EUENGINE3 (Flexible Group ID: FGRICEENG).

Air emission compliance testing was performed pursuant to MI-ROP-N2804-2020. Conditions of the ROP for FGRICEENG state:

1. *Within 180 days after initial startup of each engine in FGRICEENG and within every 5 years from the date of completion of the most recent stack test, the permittee shall verify NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and SO<sub>2</sub> emission rates from each engine in FGRICEENG, by testing at owner's expense, in accordance with Department requirements.*
2. *Within 180 days after initial startup of any engine and within every 5 years from the date of completion of the most recent stack test, thereafter, the permittee shall verify formaldehyde emission rates from each engine in FGRICEENG at maximum routine operating conditions, by testing at owner's expense, in accordance with Department requirements.*
3. *Within 180 days after initial startup of EUENGINE3 and within every 5 years from the date of completion of the most recent stack test, the permittee shall verify total VOC emission rates from EUENGINE3 in FGRICEENG, by testing at owner's expense, in accordance with Department requirements.*

Conditions of MI-ROP-N2804-2020 for FGRICENSPS (EUENGINE3 only) state:

1. *Except as provided in 40 CFR 60.4243(b), the permittee shall conduct an initial performance test for each engine in FGRICENSPS within one year after startup of the engine and every 8760 hours of operation (as determined through the use of a non-resettable hour meter) or three years, whichever occurs first, to demonstrate compliance with the emission limits in 40 CFR 60.4233(e)...*

EUENGINE1 and 2 most recently completed the required testing September 11, 2018. EUENGINE3 was installed on August 7, 2020. This test program satisfied the initial testing required for EUENGINE3.

The compliance testing presented in this report was performed by Impact Compliance and Testing, Inc. (ICT), a Michigan-based environmental consulting and testing company. ICT representatives Clay Gaffey and Andrew Rusnak performed the field sampling and measurements January 19, 2021.

The engine emission performance tests consisted of triplicate, one-hour sampling periods for nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), formaldehyde (CHOH) and volatile organic compounds (VOC, as non-methane hydrocarbons). Exhaust gas velocity, moisture, oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) content, and carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) content were determined for each test period to calculate pollutant mass emission rates.

The exhaust gas sampling and analysis was performed using procedures specified in the Test Plan dated September 4, 2020 that was reviewed and approved by the EGLE-AQD.

Questions regarding this emission test report should be directed to:

Andy Rusnak, QSTI  
Technical Manager  
Impact Compliance and Testing, Inc.  
4180 Keller Rd., Ste. B  
Holt, MI 48842  
Ph: (517) 268-0043  
andy.rusnak@impactcandt.com

Mr. Richard Spranger  
Director of Operations  
North American Natural Resources  
300 North 5th Street, Suite 100  
Ann Arbor, MI 48104  
(517) 719-1322

## 2.0 Summary of Test Results and Operating Conditions

---

### 2.1 Purpose and Objective of the Tests

Conditions of MI-ROP-N2804-2020 and the SI-RICE NSPS require NANR to test each engine for CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CHOH and VOC emissions.

### 2.2 Operating Conditions During the Compliance Tests

The testing was performed while the NANR engine/generator set was operated at maximum operating conditions (within 10% of rated capacity). The rated capacity for the CAT® Model G3520 engine generator sets (EUENGINE3) is 1,600 kW electricity output. NANR representatives provided kW output in 15-minute increments for each test period. The EUENGINE3 generator kW output ranged between 1,600 and 1,603 kW.

Fuel flowrate (scfm) and fuel methane content (%) were also recorded by NANR representatives in 15-minute increments for each test period. The EUENGINE3 fuel consumption rate ranged between 530 and 538 scfm and the fuel methane content ranged between 54.3 and 55.2%. LFG H<sub>2</sub>S content ranged between 575 ppm to 600 ppm.

The facility records fuel use rate in units of pounds per hour. To convert to units of standard cubic feet of gas consumed per minute (scfm) the following equation was used:

$$\text{Fuel Use (scfm)} = \text{Fuel Use (pph)} / \text{LFG MW (lb/lb-mol)} * 385 \text{ scf LFG/lb-mol} / 60 \text{ min/hr}$$

A default LFG MW (based on default USEPA AP-42 LFG compositions values of 55% CH<sub>4</sub>, 40% CO<sub>2</sub> and 5% N<sub>2</sub>) of 27.8 lb/lb-mol was used.

Appendix 2 provides operating records provided by NANR representatives for the test periods.

Table 2.1 presents a summary of the average engine operating conditions during the test periods.

### 2.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results

The gases exhausted from the sampled LFG fueled RICE (EUENGINE3) were sampled for three (3) one-hour test periods during the compliance testing performed January 19, 2021.

Table 2.2 presents the average measured CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, CHOH and VOC emission rates for the engine (average of the three test periods).

Test results for each one-hour sampling period and comparison to the permitted emission rates are presented in Section 6.0 of this report.

**Table 2.1 Average engine operating conditions during the test periods**

Engine Parameter	EUENGINE3 CAT® G3520
Generator output (kW)	1,601
Engine LFG fuel use (scfm)	534
LFG methane content (%)	54.8
LFG H <sub>2</sub> S content (ppm)	583

**Table 2.2 Average measured emission rates the engine (three-test average)**

Emission Unit	CO		NO <sub>x</sub>		SO <sub>2</sub>	CHOH	VOC	
	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(lb/hr)	(g/bhp-hr)	(lb/hr)	(lb/hr)	(lb/hr) <sup>1</sup>	(g/bhp-hr) <sup>2</sup>
EUENGINE3	11.7	2.4	1.92	0.4	2.1	1.8	2.42	0.1
<b>Permit Limit</b>	<b>16.3</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>4.94</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>7.04</b>	<b>1.0</b>

Notes for Table 2.2:

1. Includes formaldehyde.
2. Does not include formaldehyde.

## 3.0 Source and Sampling Location Description

---

### 3.1 General Process Description

NANR is permitted to operate three (3) RICE-generator sets at its facility; two (2) CAT® Model No. G3520 RICE and one (1) CAT® Model No. G3516 RICE. The units are fired exclusively with LFG that is recovered from the Central Landfill solid waste disposal facility and treated prior to use.

### 3.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls

The CAT® G3520 engine generator set has a rated design capacity of:

- Engine Power: 2,242 bhp
- Electricity Generation: 1,600 kW

Each engine is equipped with an electronic air-to-fuel ratio (AFR) controller that blends the appropriate ratio of combustion air and treated LFG fuel.

The RICE are not equipped with add-on emission control devices. The AFR controller maintains efficient fuel combustion, which minimizes air pollutant emissions. Exhaust gas is exhausted directly to atmosphere through a noise muffler and vertical exhaust stack.

### 3.3 Sampling Locations

The EUENGINE3 exhaust gas is directed through a muffler and is released to the atmosphere through a dedicated vertical exhaust stack with a vertical release point.

The EUENGINE3 exhaust stack sampling ports are located after the muffler in a horizontal portion of the stack with an inner diameter of 12.5 inches. The stack is equipped with two (2) sample ports, opposed 90°, that provide a sampling location 96.0 inches (7.7 duct diameters) upstream and 88.0 inches (7.0 duct diameters) downstream from any flow disturbance.

All sample port locations satisfy the USEPA Method 1 criteria for a representative sample location. Individual traverse points were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 1.

Appendix 1 provides diagrams of the emission test sampling locations.

## 4.0 Sampling and Analytical Procedures

---

A test protocol for the air emission testing was reviewed and approved by the EGLE-AQD. This section provides a summary of the sampling and analytical procedures that were used during the testing periods.

### 4.1 Summary of Sampling Methods

USEPA Method 1	Exhaust gas velocity measurement locations were determined based on the physical stack arrangement and requirements in USEPA Method 1
USEPA Method 2	Exhaust gas velocity pressure was determined using a Type-S Pitot tube connected to a red oil incline manometer; temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple connected to the Pitot tube.
USEPA Method 3A	Exhaust gas O <sub>2</sub> and CO <sub>2</sub> content was determined using paramagnetic and infrared instrumental analyzers, respectively.
USEPA Method 7E	Exhaust gas NO <sub>x</sub> concentration was determined using chemiluminescence instrumental analyzers.
USEPA Method 10	Exhaust gas CO concentration was measured using an infrared instrumental analyzer
USEPA Method 25A / ALT-096	Exhaust gas VOC (as NMHC) concentration was determined using a flame ionization analyzer equipped with methane separation column
ASTM Method D6348	Exhaust gas CHOH, SO <sub>2</sub> and moisture content was determined using a FTIR analyzer

## 4.2 Exhaust Gas Velocity Determination (USEPA Method 2)

The RICE exhaust stack gas velocities and volumetric flow rates were determined using USEPA Method 2 prior to and after each test period. An S-type Pitot tube connected to a red-oil manometer was used to determine velocity pressure at each traverse point across the stack cross section. Gas temperature was measured using a K-type thermocouple mounted to the Pitot tube. The Pitot tube and connective tubing were leak-checked periodically throughout the test periods to verify the integrity of the measurement system.

The absence of significant cyclonic flow at the sampling location was verified using an S-type Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at each velocity traverse point with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack cross-sectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

Appendix 3 provides exhaust gas flowrate calculations and field data sheets.

## 4.3 Exhaust Gas Molecular Weight Determination (USEPA Method 3A)

CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> content in the RICE exhaust gas stream was measured continuously throughout each test period in accordance with USEPA Method 3A. The CO<sub>2</sub> content of the exhaust was monitored using a Servomex 4900 infrared gas analyzer. The O<sub>2</sub> content of the exhaust was monitored using a Servomex 4900 gas analyzer that uses a paramagnetic sensor.

During each sampling period, a continuous sample of the RICE exhaust gas stream was extracted from the stack using a stainless steel probe connected to a Teflon® heated sample line. The sampled gas was conditioned by removing moisture prior to being introduced to the analyzers; therefore, measurement of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations correspond to standard dry gas conditions. Instrument response data were recorded using an ESC Model 8816 data acquisition system that monitored the analog output of the instrumental analyzers continuously and logged data as one-minute averages.

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document). Sampling times were recorded on field data sheets.

Appendix 4 provides O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

## 4.4 NO<sub>x</sub> and CO Concentration Measurements (USEPA Methods 7E and 10)

NO<sub>x</sub> and CO pollutant concentrations in the RICE exhaust gas streams were determined using a Thermo Environmental Instruments, Inc. (TEI) Model 42i High Level chemiluminescence NO<sub>x</sub> analyzer and a Fuji ZRF infrared CO analyzer.

Throughout each test period, a continuous sample of the engine exhaust gas was extracted from the stack using the Teflon® heated sample line and gas conditioning system and delivered to the instrumental analyzers. Instrument response for each analyzer was recorded on an ESC Model 8816 data acquisition system that logged data as one-minute averages. Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instruments were calibrated using upscale calibration and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias.

Appendix 4 provides CO and NO<sub>x</sub> calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

#### **4.5 Measurement of Volatile Organic Compounds (USEPA Method 25A/ALT-096)**

The VOC emission rate was determined by measuring the nonmethane hydrocarbon (NMHC) concentration in the engine exhaust gas. NMHC pollutant concentration was determined using a TEI Model 55i Methane / Nonmethane hydrocarbon analyzer. The TEI 55i analyzer contains an internal gas chromatograph column that separates methane from non-methane components. The concentration of NMHC in the sampled gas stream, after separation from methane, is determined relative to a propane standard using a flame ionization detector in accordance with USEPA Method 25A.

The USEPA Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards (OAQPS) has issued an alternate test method approving the use of the TEI 55i-series analyzer as an effective instrument for measuring NMOC from gas-fueled RICE (ALT-096).

Samples of the exhaust gas were delivered directly to the instrumental analyzer using the Teflon® heated sample line to prevent condensation. The sample to the NMHC analyzer was not conditioned to remove moisture. Therefore, VOC measurements correspond to standard conditions with no moisture correction (wet basis).

Prior to, and at the conclusion of each test, the instrument was calibrated using mid-range calibration (propane) and zero gas to determine analyzer calibration error and system bias (described in Section 5.0 of this document).

Appendix 4 provides VOC calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data for the NMHC analyzer is provided in Appendix 5.

#### **4.6 Measurement of CHOH, SO<sub>2</sub> and Moisture via FTIR (ASTM D6348)**

Formaldehyde, sulfur dioxide and moisture concentration in the exhaust gas streams was determined using an MKS Multi-Gas 2030 Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectrometer in accordance with test method ASTM D6348.

The USEPA New Source Performance Standard (NSPS) for landfill gas fired engines (Subpart JJJJ) specifies ASTM D6348 as an acceptable test method for moisture concentration determinations. Additionally, the USEPA National Emissions Standard for Hazardous Air Pollutants (NESHAP) for landfill gas fired engines (Subpart ZZZZ) specifies ASTM D6348 as an acceptable test method for moisture and formaldehyde concentration determinations.



Samples of the exhaust gas were delivered directly to the instrumental analyzer using a Teflon® heated sample line to prevent condensation. The sample to the FTIR analyzer was not conditioned to remove moisture. Therefore, measurements correspond to standard conditions with no moisture correction (wet basis).

A calibration transfer standard (CTS), ethylene standard, and nitrogen zero gas were analyzed before and after each test run. Analyte spiking, of each engine, with acetaldehyde and sulfur dioxide was performed to verify the ability of the sampling system to quantitatively deliver a sample containing the compound of interest from the base of the probe to the FTIR. Data was collected at 0.5 cm<sup>-1</sup> resolution. Instrument response was recorded using MG2000 data acquisition software.

Appendix 4 provides CHOH calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data for the FTIR analyzer is provided in Appendix 6.

## 5.0 QA/QC Activities

---

### 5.1 Flow Measurement Equipment

Prior to arriving onsite, the instruments used during the source test to measure exhaust gas properties and velocity (barometer and Pitot tube) were calibrated to specifications in the sampling methods.

The absence of cyclonic flow for each sampling location was verified using an S-type Pitot tube and oil manometer. The Pitot tube was positioned at each of the velocity traverse points with the planes of the face openings of the Pitot tube perpendicular to the stack cross-sectional plane. The Pitot tube was then rotated to determine the null angle (rotational angle as measured from the perpendicular, or reference, position at which the differential pressure is equal to zero).

### 5.2 NO<sub>x</sub> Converter Efficiency Test

The NO<sub>2</sub> – NO conversion efficiency of the Model 42c analyzer was verified prior to the testing program. A USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentration of NO<sub>2</sub> was injected directly into the analyzer, following the initial three-point calibration, to verify the analyzer's conversion efficiency. The analyzer's NO<sub>2</sub> – NO converter uses a catalyst at high temperatures to convert the NO<sub>2</sub> to NO for measurement. The conversion efficiency of the analyzer is deemed acceptable if the measured NO<sub>x</sub> concentration is within 90% of the expected value.

The NO<sub>2</sub> – NO conversion efficiency test satisfied the USEPA Method 7E criteria (measured NO<sub>x</sub> concentration was 92.4% of the expected value).

### 5.3 Gas Divider Certification (USEPA Method 205)

A STEC Model SGD-710C 10-step gas divider was used to obtain appropriate calibration span gases. The ten-step STEC gas divider was NIST certified (within the last 12 months) with a primary flow standard in accordance with Method 205. When cut with an appropriate zero gas, the ten-step STEC gas divider delivered calibration gas values ranging from 0% to 100% (in 10% step increments) of the USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gas that was introduced into the system. The field evaluation procedures presented in Section 3.2 of Method 205 were followed prior to use of gas divider. The field evaluation yielded no errors greater than 2% of the triplicate measured average and no errors greater than 2% from the expected values.

### 5.4 Instrumental Analyzer Interference Check

The instrumental analyzers used to measure NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> have had an interference response test performed prior to their use in the field, pursuant to the interference response test procedures specified in USEPA Method 7E. The appropriate interference test gases (i.e., gases that would be encountered in the exhaust gas stream) were introduced into each analyzer, separately and as a mixture with the analyte that each analyzer is designed to measure. All of analyzers exhibited a composite deviation of less than 2.5% of the span for all

measured interferent gases. No major analytical components of the analyzers have been replaced since performing the original interference tests.

## **5.5 Instrument Calibration and System Bias Checks**

At the beginning of each day of the testing program, initial three-point instrument calibrations were performed for the NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, CO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> analyzers by injecting calibration gas directly into the inlet sample port for each instrument. System bias checks were performed prior to and at the conclusion of each sampling period by introducing the upscale calibration gas and zero gas into the sampling system (at the base of the stainless steel sampling probe prior to the particulate filter and Teflon® heated sample line) and determining the instrument response against the initial instrument calibration readings.

At the beginning of each test day, appropriate high-range, mid-range, and low-range span gases followed by a zero gas were introduced to the NMHC analyzer, in series at a tee connection, which is installed between the sample probe and the particulate filter, through a poppet check valve. After each one hour test period, mid-range and zero gases were re-introduced in series at the tee connection in the sampling system to check against the method's performance specifications for calibration drift and zero drift error.

The instruments were calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and CO in nitrogen and zeroed using hydrocarbon free nitrogen. The NMHC (VOC) instrument was calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of propane in air and zeroed using hydrocarbon-free air. A STEC Model SGD-710C ten-step gas divider was used to obtain intermediate calibration gas concentrations as needed.

## **5.6 Determination of Exhaust Gas Stratification**

A stratification test was performed for each RICE exhaust stack. The stainless steel sample probe was positioned at sample points correlating to 16.7, 50.0 (centroid) and 83.3% of the stack diameter. Pollutant concentration data were recorded at each sample point for a minimum of twice the maximum system response time.

The recorded concentration data for the RICE exhaust stacks indicated that the measured CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations did not vary by more than 5% of the mean across the stack diameter. Therefore, the RICE exhaust gas was considered to be unstratified and the compliance test sampling was performed at a single sampling location within each RICE exhaust stack.

## **5.7 FTIR QA/QC Activities**

At the beginning of each day a calibration transfer standard (CTS, ethylene gas), analyte of interest (acetaldehyde and sulfur dioxide) and nitrogen calibration gas was directly injected into the FTIR to evaluate the unit response.

Prior to and after each test run the CTS was analyzed. The ethylene was passed through the entire system (system purge) to verify the sampling system response and to ensure that the sampling system remained leak-free at the stack location. Nitrogen was also be passed through the sampling system to ensure the system is free of contaminants.

Analyte spiking, of the emission unit, with acetaldehyde and sulfur dioxide was performed to verify the ability of the sampling system to quantitatively deliver a sample containing the compound of interest from the base of the probe to the FTIR and assure the ability of the FTIR to quantify that compound in the presence of effluent gas.

As part of the data validation procedure, reference spectra were manually fit to that of the sample spectra (two spectra from each test period) and a concentration was determined. Concentration data was manually validated using the MKS MG2000 method analyzer software. The software used multi-point calibration curves to quantify each spectrum. The software-calculated results were compared with the measured concentrations to ensure the quality of the data.

Appendix 7 presents test equipment quality assurance data (NO<sub>2</sub> – NO conversion efficiency test data, instrument calibration and system bias check records, calibration gas and gas divider certifications, interference test results, FTIR QA/QC data, Pitot tube calibration records, and stratification checks).

## 6.0 Results

---

### 6.1 Test Results and Allowable Emission Limits

Engine operating data and air pollutant emission measurement results for each one-hour test period are presented in Table 6.1.

EUENGINE3 has the following allowable emission limits specified for each engine in MI-ROP-N2804-2020:

- 16.3 lb/hr and 5.0 g/bhp-hr for CO;
- 4.94 lb/hr and 2.0 g/bhp-hr for NO<sub>x</sub>;
- 5.8 lb/hr for SO<sub>2</sub>;
- 2.1 lb/hr for CHOH;
- 7.04 lb/hr for VOC (includes CHOH emissions); and
- 1.0 g/bhp-hr for VOC (does not include CHOH emissions).

The measured air pollutant concentrations and emission rates for EUENGINE3 are less than the allowable limits specified in MI-ROP-N2804-2020.

The average H<sub>2</sub>S content of the LFG (measured with Drager tubes) was 583 ppm.

### 6.2 Variations from Normal Sampling Procedures or Operating Conditions

The testing for all pollutants was performed in accordance with USEPA methods and the approved test protocol. The engine-generator set was operated within 10% of maximum output (1,600 kW generator output for CAT® G3520 RICE) and no variations from normal operating conditions occurred during the engine test periods.

**Table 6.1 Measured exhaust gas conditions and air pollutant emission rates for Engine No. 3 (EUENGINE3)**

Test No.	1	2	3	Three Test
Test date	1/19/21	1/19/21	1/19/21	Average
Test period (24-hr clock)	838-938	955-1055	1112-1212	
Fuel flowrate (scfm)	536	534	532	534
Generator output (kW)	1,601	1,601	1,601	1,601
Engine output (bhp-hr)	2,243	2,243	2,244	2,244
LFG methane content (%)	54.7	54.7	55.0	54.8
LFG H <sub>2</sub> S content (ppm)	575	575	600	583
<u>Exhaust Gas Composition</u>				
CO <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.6
O <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	9.38	9.38	9.40	9.39
Moisture (% vol)	11.2	11.1	11.1	11.1
Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm)	3,888	3,901	3,899	3,896
Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	4,377	4,389	4,387	4,384
<u>Nitrogen Oxides</u>				
NO <sub>x</sub> conc. (ppmvd)	70.1	68.3	67.0	68.5
NO <sub>x</sub> emissions (lb/hr)	1.95	1.93	1.89	1.92
<i>Permit Limit (lb/hr)</i>	-	-	-	4.94
NO <sub>x</sub> emissions (g/bhp-hr)	0.4	0.4	0.4	0.4
<i>Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)</i>	-	-	-	2.0
<u>Carbon Monoxide</u>				
CO conc. (ppmvd)	676	680	682	680
CO emissions (lb/hr)	11.6	11.7	11.7	11.7
<i>Permit Limit (lb/hr)</i>	-	-	-	16.3
CO emissions (g/bhp-hr)	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.4
<i>Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)</i>	-	-	-	5.0
<u>Sulfur Dioxide</u>				
SO <sub>2</sub> conc. (ppmvd)	49.0	48.5	49.0	48.8
SO <sub>2</sub> emissions (lb/hr)	2.1	2.1	2.1	2.1
<i>Permit Limit (lb/hr)</i>	-	-	-	5.8
<u>Formaldehyde</u>				
CHOH conc. (ppmvd)	86.6	86.1	85.9	86.2
CHOH emissions (lb/hr)	1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8
<i>Permit Limit (lb/hr)</i>	-	-	-	2.1

**Table 6.1 Continued**

<b>Test No.</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	
<b>Test date</b>	<b>1/19/21</b>	<b>1/19/21</b>	<b>1/19/21</b>	<b>Three Test</b>
<b>Test period (24-hr clock)</b>	<b>838-938</b>	<b>955-1055</b>	<b>1112-1212</b>	<b>Average</b>
Fuel flowrate (scfm)	536	534	532	534
Generator output (kW)	1,601	1,601	1,601	1,601
Engine output (bhp-hr)	2,243	2,243	2,244	2,244
LFG methane content (%)	54.7	54.7	55.0	54.8
LFG H <sub>2</sub> S content (ppm)	575	575	600	583
<u>Exhaust Gas Composition</u>				
CO <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.6
O <sub>2</sub> content (% vol)	9.38	9.38	9.40	9.39
Moisture (% vol)	11.2	11.1	11.1	11.1
Exhaust gas flowrate (dscfm)	3,888	3,901	3,899	3,896
Exhaust gas flowrate (scfm)	4,377	4,389	4,387	4,384
<u>Volatile Organic Compounds</u>				
NMHC conc. (ppmv)	21.5	21.5	21.0	21.4
VOC emissions (lb/hr) <sup>1</sup>	2.42	2.43	2.41	2.42
<i>Permit Limit (lb/hr)</i>	-	-	-	<i>7.04</i>
VOC emissions (g/bhp-hr) <sup>2</sup>	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
<i>Permit Limit (g/bhp-hr)</i>	-	-	-	<i>1.0</i>

Notes for Table 6.1:

1. Includes emissions of CHOH.
2. Does not include emissions of CHOH.





**APPENDIX 1**

- RICE Engine Sample Port Diagram





