# **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Consumers Energy Regulatory Compliance Testing Section (RCTS) conducted nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compound (VOC) testing at the exhaust location of a natural gas-fired stationary, spark-ignition (SI) internal combustion engine (ICE) identified as EUENGINE3-2, installed and operating at the St. Clair Compressor Station, in Ira, Michigan. EUENGINE3-2 is a four-stroke, lean burn (4SLB), 4,835 brake horsepower (BHP) engine that provides mechanical shaft power to a compressor for maintaining natural gas pipeline pressure for movement in and out of storage reservoirs and along the pipeline system. The engine is listed within Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE) Renewable Operating Permit (ROP) No. MI-ROP-B6637-2015a, FGENGINES-P3, and is subject to state and federal air emission regulations.

The test program was conducted on December 15, 2020 to evaluate compliance with emission limits in 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, *Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines*, (NSPS) and in the facility ROP. A test protocol was submitted to EGLE on August 16, 2020 and subsequently approved by Ms. Lindsey Wells, Environmental Quality Analyst, in her letter dated August 28, 2020.

Three, 60-minute test runs were conducted at the engine exhaust following the procedures in United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Reference Methods (RM) 1, 3A, 4/ALT-008, 7E, 10, 18, 19, and 25A/ALT-096 in 40 CFR 60, Appendix A. Please note that while ALT-096 is not named in 40 CFR 60, Appendix A; ALT-096 incorporates relevant Appendix A, Method 25A procedures and requirements specific to operating a Thermo-Electron Model (TECO) 55I for methane and non-methane organic compounds (NMOC) measurement at 40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ sources.

There were no deviations from the approved stack test protocol or associated USEPA Reference Methods. Please note however that mechanical issues delayed this EUENGINE3-2 test event relative to the September 29 – 30, 2020 testing at EUENGINE3-1, EUENGINE3-3 and EUENGINE3-4, as described in the RCTS *40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ Continuous Compliance Demonstration Test Report* dated November 21, 2020.

During testing, EUENGINE3-2 operated at horsepower and torque conditions within plus or minus  $(\pm)$  10 percent of 100 percent peak (or the highest achievable) load, as specified in 40 CFR 60.4244(a). The test results are summarized in Table E-1.

#### Table E-1 Summary of Average Test Results

|   |                             | Test Result         | Emission Limit                          |   |  |  |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Parameter   | Unit                        |                     | 40 CFR 60,<br>Subpart JJJJ <sup>1</sup> | ROP Flexible Group<br>Conditions:<br>FGENGINES-P3 |  |  |
|   |                             | EUENGIN             | E3-2                                    | -   |  |  |
| NO  | g/HP-hr                     | 0.4                 | 1.0                                     | 0.6   |  |  |
| NO <sub>x</sub>   | ppmvd at 15% $O_2$          | 38                  | 82                                      |   |  |  |
| со  | g/HP-hr                     | 0.03                | 2.0                                     | 0.36  |  |  |
| 0   | ppmvd at 15% $O_2$          | 5                   | 270                                     |   |  |  |
| VOC <sup>2,3</sup>  | g/HP-hr                     | <0.04               | 0.7                                     | 0.2   |  |  |
| VOC   | ppmvd at 15% O <sub>2</sub> | <3                  | 60                                      |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li>NOx nitrogen oxides</li> <li>CO carbon monoxide</li> <li>VOC volatile organic compounds (non-methane, non-ethane organic compounds), as propane</li> <li>g/HP-hr grams per horsepower hour</li> <li><sup>1</sup> Owners and operators of stationary non-certified SI engines may choose to comply with emission standards in units of either g/HP-hr or ppmvd at 15 percent O<sub>2</sub>.</li> <li><sup>2</sup> 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ refers to volatile organic compounds as defined in 40 CFR, Part 51.100(s)(1)</li> <li>which defines VOC as "any compound of carbonother than the following, which have been determined to have negligible photochemical reactivity: methane, ethane Therefore, Subpart JJJJ exhaust gas measurements of VOC include only the total non-methane, non-ethane organic compounds (NMNEOC).</li> <li><sup>3</sup> Subtracting the laboratory reported ethane (as propane) from field measured NMOC concentrations yielded a negative NMNEOC value, therefore, to calculate the g/Hp-hr VOC emission rate, a non-detect value of &lt;4.0 ppm was applied, which represented 2% of the 200 ppm instrument span per the manufacturer's accuracy</li> </ul> |                             |                     |   |   |  |  |
| was applied, whi<br>specifications.   | ch represented 2% of th     | ne 200 ppm instrume | nt span per the manufact                | turer's accuracy                                  |  |  |

The NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and VOC test results indicate compliance with ROP and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ limits. Detailed results are presented in Appendix Table 1. Sample calculations, field data sheets, and laboratory data are presented in Appendices A, B, and C. Engine operating data and supporting documentation are provided in Appendices D and E.

# 1.0 **INTRODUCTION**

This report summarizes the results of compliance air emission tests on EUENGINE3-2, installed and operating at the Consumers Energy St. Clair Compressor Station (SCCS) in Ira, Michigan. This document follows the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE) format described in the November 2019, *Format for Submittal of Source Emission Test Plans and Reports*. Reproducing only a portion of this report may omit critical substantiating documentation or cause information to be taken out of context. If any portion of this report is reproduced, please exercise due care in this regard.

## 1.1 IDENTIFICATION, LOCATION, AND DATES OF TESTS

On December 15, 2020, Consumers Energy Regulatory Compliance Testing Section (RCTS) conducted nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compound (VOC) testing at the exhaust location of a stationary, spark-ignition (SI), internal combustion engine (ICE), identified as EUENGINE3-2, installed and operating at SCCS.

A test protocol was submitted to EGLE on August 16, 2020 and subsequently approved by Ms. Lindsey Wells, Environmental Quality Analyst, in her letter dated August 28, 2020. The protocol detailed the proposed test program for all four (4) Plant 3 engines within flexible group (FG) FGENGINES-P3; however due to mechanical issues, EUENGINE3-2 was unavailable. The EUENGINE3-2 performance test was rescheduled and conducted on December 15, 2020

## **1.2 PURPOSE OF TESTING**

The purpose of the test program was to evaluate compliance with emission limits in USEPA 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, *Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines* and the facility's Renewable Operating Permit (ROP) for the FGENGINE-P3 sources. The applicable emission limits are presented in Table 1-1.

| Parameter        | Emission<br>Limit | Units                   | Applicable Requirement                      |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|---|
|                  | 0.6               | g/HP-hr                 | ROP Flexible Group Conditions: FGENGINES-P3 |
| NO <sub>x</sub>  | 1.0<br>or<br>82   | g/HP-hr<br>ppmvd@15% O₂ | 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, Table 1       |
|                  | 0.36              | g/HP-hr                 | ROP Flexible Group Conditions: FGENGINES-P3 |
| СО               | 2.0<br>or<br>270  | g/HP-hr<br>ppmvd@15% O₂ | 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, Table 1       |
| VOC <sup>†</sup> | 0.2               | g/HP-hr                 | ROP Flexible Group Conditions: FGENGINES-P3 |

#### Table 1-1 Emission Limits

<sup>†</sup> 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ refers to volatile organic compounds as defined in 40 CFR §51.100(s)(1), which specifies a VOC definition including "any compound of carbon…other than the following, which have been determined to have negligible photochemical reactivity: methane, ethane..." Therefore, exhaust gas VOC measurements include the total non-methane, non-ethane organic compounds.

# **1.3 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF SOURCE**

EUENGINE3-2 is a 4,835 brake horsepower, four-stroke lean burn (4SLB), SI ICE located at an area source of hazardous air pollutant (HAP) emissions. The engine operates as needed to provide mechanical shaft power to compressors to maintain natural gas pipeline pressure for movement in and out of storage reservoirs and along the pipeline system.

### **1.4 CONTACT INFORMATION**

Table 1-2 presents the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of the contacts for information regarding the test and the test report, and names and affiliation of personnel involved in conducting the testing.

| Program<br>Role                                | Contact  | Address   |  |  |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Regulatory<br>Agency<br>Representative         | Ms. Karen Kajiya-Mills<br>Technical Programs Unit Manager<br>517-335-4874<br><u>kajiya-millsk@michigan.gov</u>             | EGLE - Technical Programs Unit<br>525 W. Allegan, Constitution Hall, 2nd Floor S<br>Lansing, Michigan 48933                         |  |  |
| State Regulatory<br>Inspector                  | Mr. Sebastian Kallumkal<br>Sr. Environmental Engineer<br>586-753-3738<br><u>kallumkals@michigan.gov</u>                    | EGLE – Air Quality Division<br>SE Michigan District<br>27700 Donald Court<br>Warren, Michigan 48092                                 |  |  |
| State Technical<br>Programs Field<br>Inspector | Mr. Matthew Karl<br>Technical Programs Unit<br>517-282-2126<br><u>karlm@michigan.gov</u>                                   | EGLE – Air Quality Division<br>Technical Programs Unit<br>525 W. Allegan, Constitution Hall, 2nd Floor S<br>Lansing, Michigan 48933 |  |  |
| Responsible<br>Official                        | Mr. Avelock Robinson<br>Director of Gas Compression<br>Operations<br>586-716-3326<br><u>avelock.robinson@cmsenergy.com</u> | Consumers Energy Company<br>St. Clair Compressor Station<br>10021 Marine City Highway<br>Ira, Michigan 48023                        |  |  |
| Corporate Air<br>Quality Contact               | Ms. Amy Kapuga<br>Senior Engineer<br>517-788-2201<br><u>amy.kapuga@cmsenergy.com</u>                                       | Consumers Energy Company<br>Environmental Services Department<br>1945 West Parnall Road<br>Jackson, Michigan 49201                  |  |  |
| Field<br>Environmental<br>Coordinator          | Mr. Thomas Fox<br>Senior Engineer<br>989-667-5153<br><u>thomas.fox@cmsenergy.com</u>                                       | Consumers Energy Company<br>Bay City Customer Service Center<br>4141 E. Wilder Road<br>Bay City, MI 48706                           |  |  |
| Test Facility                                  | Mr. Robert McLaren<br>Sr. Planner/Scheduler<br>586-716-3328<br><u>Robert.McLaren@cmsenergy.com</u>                         | Consumers Energy Company<br>St. Clair Compressor Station<br>10021 Marine City Highway<br>Ira, Michigan 48023                        |  |  |
| Test Team<br>Representative                    | Mr. Thomas Schmelter, QSTI<br>Sr. Engineering Technical Analyst<br>616-738-3234<br>thomas.schmelter@cmsenergy.com          | Consumers Energy Company<br>L & D Training Center<br>17010 Croswell Street<br>West Olive, Michigan 49460                            |  |  |

#### Table 1-2 Contact Information

# 2.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

## 2.1 OPERATING DATA

During the test program, pursuant to §60.4244(a), the engine operated within 10% of 100 percent peak (or the highest achievable) load. The average engine load was >96.8% torque and >95.4% horsepower for each test run, based on the maximum manufacturer's design capacity at engine and compressor site conditions. Refer to Attachment D for detailed operating data.

## 2.2 APPLICABLE PERMIT INFORMATION

SCCS is assigned State of Michigan Registration Number (SRN) B6637 and operates Plant 3 in accordance with MI-ROP-B6637-2015a, with source EUENGINE3-2 collectively grouped with EUEGINE3-1, EUENGINE3-3, and EUENGINE3-4 as FGENGINES-P3 and associated with the applicable federal requirements of 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ.

### 2.3 RESULTS

The engine test results indicate the measured  $NO_x$ , CO, and VOC emissions comply with ROP and NSPS SI ICE limits. Refer to Table 2-1 for the summary of test results.

#### Table 2-1

#### Summary of Test Results

|  | Unit                        | Test Result | Emission Limit                               |   |  |  |
|--|-----------------------------|-------------|--|---|--|--|
| Parameter  |                             |             | 40 CFR Part 60,<br>Subpart JJJJ <sup>1</sup> | ROP Flexible Group<br>Conditions:<br>FGENGINES-P3 |  |  |
|  |                             | EUENGIN     | E3-2   |   |  |  |
| NO   | g/HP-hr                     | 0.4         | 1.0  | 0.6   |  |  |
| NOx  | ppmvd at 15% O <sub>2</sub> | 38          | 82   |   |  |  |
| <u>^</u>   | g/HP-hr                     | 0.03        | 2.0  | 0.36  |  |  |
| CO   | ppmvd at 15% O <sub>2</sub> | 5           | 270  |   |  |  |
| VOC <sup>2,3</sup>   | g/HP-hr                     | <0.04       | 0.7  | 0.2   |  |  |
| VUC <sup>2,8</sup>   | ppmvd at 15% O <sub>2</sub> | <3          | 60   |   |  |  |
| NO <sub>x</sub> nitrogen oxides<br>CO carbon monoxide<br>VOC volatile organic compounds (non-methane, non-ethane organic compounds), as propane<br>g/HP-hr grams per horsepower hour   |                             |             |  |   |  |  |
| <ul> <li><sup>1</sup> Owners and operators of stationary non-certified SI engines may choose to comply with emission standards in units of either g/HP-hr or ppmvd at 15 percent O<sub>2</sub>.</li> <li><sup>2</sup> 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ refers to volatile organic compounds as defined in 40 CFR, Part 51.100(s)(1) which defines VOC as "any compound of carbonother than the following, which have been determined to have negligible photochemical reactivity: methane, ethane Therefore, Subpart JJJJ exhaust gas measurements of VOC include only the total non-methane, non-ethane organic compounds.</li> <li><sup>3</sup> Subtracting the laboratory reported ethane (as propane) from field measured NMOC concentrations yielded a</li> </ul> |                             |             |  |   |  |  |

<sup>3</sup> Subtracting the laboratory reported ethane (as propane) from field measured NMOC concentrations yielded a negative NMNEOC value, therefore, to calculate the g/Hp-hr VOC emission rate, a non-detect value of <4.0 ppm was applied, which represented 2% of the 200 ppm instrument span per the manufacturer's accuracy specifications.

Detailed results are presented in Appendix Table 1. A discussion of the results is presented in Section 5.0. Sample calculations, field data sheets, and laboratory results are presented in Appendices A, B, and C. Engine operating data and supporting information are provided in Appendices D and E.

# 3.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION

EUENGINE3-2 provides mechanical shaft power to compressors to maintain natural gas pipeline pressure for movement in and out of storage reservoirs and along the pipeline system. Significant maintenance has not been performed on the engine within the past three months. A summary of the engine specifications is provided in Table 3-1.

# Table 3-1

| Engine Specifications |              |           |                |                    |                           |  |  |  |
|-----------------------|--------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--|--|--|
| Engine ID             | Engine Des   | scription | Site-<br>Rated | Heat Input,<br>LHV | Exhaust Gas<br>Temp. (°F) |  |  |  |
|                       | Manufacturer | Model     | HP             | (mmBtu/hr)         |                           |  |  |  |
| EUENGINE3-2           | Waukesha     | 16V275GL+ | 4,835          | 27                 | 828                       |  |  |  |

# 3.1 PROCESS

The engine utilizes the four-stroke engine cycle which starts with the downward air intake piston stroke which aspirates air through intake valves into the combustion chamber (cylinder). When the piston nears the bottom of the cylinder, fuel is injected and the intake valves close. As the piston travels upward, the air/fuel mixture is compressed and ignited, thus forcing the piston downward into the power stroke. At the bottom of the power stroke, exhaust valves open and the piston traveling upward expels the combustion by-products. Refer to Figure 3-1 for a four-stroke engine process diagram.

## Figure 3-1. Four-Stroke Engine Process Diagram



The flue gas generated by natural gas combustion is controlled through parametric controls (i.e., timing and air-to-fuel ratio), lean burn combustion technology, and oxidation catalysts. The Waukesha engine includes a control module that monitors and adjusts engine parameters for optimal performance. The NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are minimized using lean-burn combustion technology which is defined as a high level of excess air (generally 50% to 100% relative to the stoichiometric amount) in the combustion chamber. The excess air absorbs heat during the combustion process, thereby reducing the combustion temperature and pressure and resulting in lower NO<sub>x</sub> emissions.

Four catalyst modules installed on the engine use propriety materials to lower the oxidation temperature of CO and other organic compounds within the range of exhaust gas temperatures generated by the engine. The catalyst also provides control of formaldehyde, as well as non-methane and non-ethane hydrocarbons. Detailed operating data recorded during testing are provided in Appendix D.

# 3.2 PROCESS FLOW

Located in southern St. Clair County, the St. Clair Compressor Station helps maintain natural gas pipeline pressures in southeast Michigan. The Hessen, Puttygut, Swan Creek, Four Corners, Ira, and Lenox gas storage fields within the Niagaran geologic formation are used to store approximately 45.6 billion cubic feet of natural gas. The station connects to these six underground storage fields, which provide enough natural gas to serve up to 20 percent of Consumers Energy's 1.7 million gas customers in winter.

The facility is divided into three plants: natural gas reciprocating compressor engines, combustion turbines, and associated equipment for maintaining pressure and moving natural gas in and out of the storage reservoirs. The Plant 3 natural gas compressor engines were the focus of this test program. The green lines in Figure 3-2 represent gas into the engine compression system, while the blue lines represent discharged gas. The gas can be routed through the plant, into underground storage reservoirs, or out to the distribution pipelines.





# 3.3 MATERIALS PROCESSED

The fuel utilized in FGENGINES-P3 is exclusively natural gas, as defined in 40 CFR 72.2. During testing the natural gas combusted within the engines was comprised of approximately 92% methane, 7% ethane, 0.4% nitrogen, and 0.2% carbon dioxide. The daily natural gas chromatograph analysis results are provided in Appendix D. The gas composition and Btu content were used to calculate site-specific F factors in accordance with United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Method 19 and used in emission rate calculations.

# 3.4 RATED CAPACITY

The maximum engine power output is approximately 4,835 horsepower with a rated heat input of 27 million British thermal units per hour (mmBtu/hour). The normal rated engine capacities are governed by the connected compression equipment operated as a function of facility and gas transmission demand. The engine operating parameters shown in Appendix D were recorded and averaged for each test run.

# 3.5 PROCESS INSTRUMENTATION

Process instrumentation were continuously monitored by GE Power engine controllers for the Waukesha engines, data acquisition systems, and by Consumers Energy operations personnel during testing. Data were collected for the following parameters at 1-minute intervals during each test:

- Fuel use (cfm)
- Engine speed (rpm)
- Power (BHP)
- Torque (% max)
- Catalyst input temperature (°F)
- Catalyst differential pressure (in. H<sub>2</sub>O)
- Engine hours

Refer to Appendix D for operating data.

# 4.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Consumers Energy RCTS tested for  $NO_x$ , CO, VOC, and oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) concentrations using the test methods presented in Table 4-1. The sampling and analytical procedures associated with each parameter are described in the following sections.

| Deremeter                             | Mathad         | USEPA   |  |  |  |  |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Parameter                             | Method         | Title   |  |  |  |  |
| Sample<br>traverses                   | 1              | Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources  |  |  |  |  |
| Oxygen                                | 3A             | Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)  |  |  |  |  |
| Moisture<br>content                   | 4<br>(ALT-008) | Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gases<br>Alternative Moisture Measurement Method – Midget Impingers  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen oxides<br>(NO <sub>x</sub> ) | 7E             | Determination of Nitrogen Oxides Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)  |  |  |  |  |
| Carbon<br>monoxide (CO)               | 10             | Determination of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)  |  |  |  |  |
| Ethane                                | 18             | Measurement of Gaseous Organic Compound Emissions by Gas<br>Chromatography  |  |  |  |  |
| Emission rates                        | 19             | Sulfur Dioxide Removal and Particulate, Sulfur Dioxide and Nitrogen Oxides from Electric Utility Steam Generators   |  |  |  |  |
| Volatile organic compounds            | 25A<br>Alt-096 | Measurement of Gaseous Organic Compound Emissions by Gas<br>Chromatography and Determination of Total Gaseous Organic Concentration<br>Using A Flame Ionization Analyzer via TECO-551 for NSPS SI ICE |  |  |  |  |

#### Table 4-1 Test Methods

# 4.1 DESCRIPTION OF SAMPLING TRAIN AND FIELD PROCEDURES

The Table 4-2 test matrix below summarizes the sample parameters and analytical methods employed.

#### Table 4-2 Test Matrix

| Date<br>(2020) | Run | Sample<br>Type  | Start<br>Time<br>(EDT) | Stop<br>Time<br>(EDT) | Test<br>Duration<br>(min) | EPA Test<br>Method             | Comment                              |  |
|----------------|-----|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|
| EUENGINE3-2    |     |                 |                        |                       |                           |                                |                                      |  |
| December<br>15 | 1   | O2<br>NOx<br>CO | 09:25                  | 10:24                 | 60                        | 1, 4/ALT-008<br>3A/7E/10<br>19 | Flexible bags for<br>ethane analysis |  |
|                | 2   |                 | 10:55                  | 11:54                 | 60                        |                                |                                      |  |
|                | 3   | VOC             | 12:21                  | 13:20                 | 60                        | 25A/18<br>Alt-096              | collected.                           |  |

# 4.2 SAMPLE LOCATION AND TRAVERSE POINTS (USEPA METHOD 1)

The number and location of traverse points was evaluated according to the requirements in USEPA Method 1, *Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources.* Two 4-inch diameter test ports protrude approximately 4-inches beyond a 36-inch diameter vertical exhaust stack exiting the engine. The exhaust stack is designated as SVENGINE3-2 within the ROP. The sampling ports are located:

- Approximately 117 inches or 3.25 duct diameters downstream from the oxidation catalyst exhaust confluence to the vertical exhaust stack, and
- Approximately 286 inches or 7.9 duct diameters upstream of the stack exit to atmosphere approximately 65 feet above the ground surface.

Because the duct is >12 inches in diameter and the sampling port location meets the two and one-half diameter criterion of Section 11.1.1 of Method 1 of 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A-1, the exhaust duct was sampled at 3 traverse points located at 16.7, 50.0, and 83.3% of the measurement line ('3-point long line') at approximately equal intervals during Run 1.

A three traverse point stratification test was performed using parameter concentrations from Run 1 in accordance with USEPA Method 7E, §8.1.2. The individual point and mean parameter concentrations were calculated, and the gas stream was considered unstratified; therefore, parameter concentrations were measured from a single point near the centroid of the stack for Runs 2 and 3. A representation of an engine exhaust stack sampling location is presented as Figure 4-1.

### Figure 4-1. Exhaust Stack Sampling Port Locations



# 4.3 MOISTURE CONTENT (USEPA METHOD 4/ALT-008)

Exhaust gas moisture content was determined in accordance with USEPA ALT-008, *Alternative Moisture Measurement Method Midget Impingers*, an alternative method for correcting pollutant concentration data to appropriate moisture conditions (e.g. pollutant and/or air flow data on a dry or wet basis) validated May 19, 1993 by the USEPA Emission

Measurement Branch. The procedure, incorporated into Method 6A of 40 CFR Part 60, is based on field validation tests described in *An Alternative Method for Stack Gas Moisture Determination* (Jon Stanley, Peter Westlin, 1978, USEPA Emissions Measurement Branch). The sample apparatus follows the general guidelines found in Figure 4-2 and § 8.2 of USEPA Method 4, *Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gases*, and ALT-008 Figure 1 or 2.

The flue gas is withdrawn at a constant rate from the stack through a sample probe, Teflon tubing, four midget impingers, and a metered pump console. Gas stream moisture is condensed in ice-bath chilled impingers and determined gravimetrically. The condensate mass collected, and moisture sample volume are used to calculate moisture content. Refer to Figure 4-2 for a depiction of the Alternative Method 008 Moisture Sample Apparatus.



Figure 4-2. Alternative Method 008 Moisture Sample Apparatus

\*The silica gel tube depicted in the figure above was replaced with a midget impinger (bubbler) with a straight tube insert, as allowed in ALT-008, §1.

# 4.4 O2, NO<sub>x</sub>, and CO Concentrations (USEPA Methods 3A, 7E, and 10)

Oxygen, nitrogen oxides, and carbon monoxide concentrations were measured using the following sampling and analytical procedures:

- USEPA Method 3A, Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure),
- USEPA Method 7E, Determination of Nitrogen Oxides Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure), and
- USEPA Method 10, Determination of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure).

The sampling procedures of the methods are similar, except for the analyzers and analytical technique used to quantify the parameters of interest. The measured oxygen concentrations were used to adjust the pollutant concentrations to 15% O<sub>2</sub> and calculate pollutant emission rates.

Engine exhaust gas was extracted from the stack through a stainless-steel probe, heated Teflon® sample line, and through a gas conditioning system to remove water and dry the sample before entering a sample pump, flow control manifold, and gas analyzers. Figure 4-3 depicts a drawing of the Methods 3A, 7E, and 10 sampling system.





Prior to sampling engine exhaust gas, the analyzers were calibrated by performing a calibration error test where zero-, mid-, and high-level calibration gases were introduced directly to the back of the analyzers. The calibration error check was performed to evaluate if the analyzers response was within  $\pm 2.0\%$  of the calibration gas span or high calibration gas concentration. An initial system-bias test was performed where the zero- and mid- or high- calibration gases were introduced at the sample probe to measure the ability of the system to respond accurately to within  $\pm 5.0\%$  of span.

A NO<sub>2</sub> to NO conversion efficiency test was performed on the NO<sub>x</sub> analyzer prior to beginning the test program to evaluate the ability of the instrument to convert NO<sub>2</sub> to NO before analyzing for NO<sub>x</sub>. The test verified the analyzer response as NO<sub>x</sub> was  $\geq$ 90% of the certified NO<sub>2</sub> calibration gas concentration.

Upon successful completion of the calibration error and initial system bias tests, sample flow rate and component temperatures were verified, and the probe was inserted into the duct at the appropriate traverse point. After confirming the engine was operating at established conditions, the test run was initiated. Gas concentrations were recorded at 1-minute intervals throughout each 60-minute test run.

After the conclusion of each test run, a post-test system bias check was performed to evaluate analyzer bias and drift from the pre- and post-test system bias checks. The system-bias checks evaluated if analyzer bias was within  $\pm 5.0\%$  and drift was within  $\pm 3.0\%$  of span. The measured run concentrations were then corrected for any analyzer drift.

For the analyzer calibration error tests, bias tests and drift checks, these evaluations are also passed if the standard criteria are not achieved, but the absolute difference between the analyzer responses and calibration gas is less than or equal to 0.5 ppmv for NO<sub>x</sub> and CO or 0.5% for  $O_2$ .

# 4.5 ETHANE CONCENTRATIONS (USEPA METHOD 18)

USEPA Method 18, *Measurement of Gaseous Organic Compound Emissions by Gas Chromatography*, was used to quantify the ethane component of the measured organic compound emissions. Engine exhaust samples were collected in flexible bags and submitted to a laboratory for ethane analysis by gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector. The laboratory reported ethane concentrations converted to propane were subtracted from the measured non-methane VOC concentrations to derive the non-methane, non-ethane VOC emission rate. Refer to Appendix C for the USEPA Method 18 laboratory results.

# 4.6 EMISSION RATES (USEPA METHOD 19)

USEPA Method 19, Determination of Sulfur Dioxide Removal Efficiency and Particulate Matter, Sulfur Dioxide, and Nitrogen Oxide Emission Rates, was used to calculate a fuel specific  $F_c$  factor and exhaust gas flowrate pursuant to guidance by USEPA to not use default published F factors for such Subpart JJJJ test events.

The natural gas processed by the St. Clair Compressor Station is the same gas used for firing FGENGINE-P3. The facility collects a daily sample of this gas and analyzes it via gas chromatography (GC) for hydrocarbons, non-hydrocarbons, heating value, and other parameters. The test day GC results were obtained to calculate  $F_w$ ,  $F_d$ , and  $F_c$  factors (ratios of combustion gas volumes to heat inputs) using USEPA Method 19 Equations 19-13 ( $F_d$ ), 19-14 ( $F_w$ ), and 19-15 ( $F_c$ ). The  $F_d$  factor was used to calculate the exhaust gas flow rate using *Equation 19-1* presented in Figure 4-4, which was incorporated into 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ *Equations 1, 2, and 3* to calculate g/HP-hr emission rates.

#### Figure 4-4. USEPA Method 19 Exhaust Flow Rate Equation 19-1

 $Q_s = F_d H \frac{20.9}{20.9 - 0_2}$ Where:

- $Q_s$  = stack flow rate (dscf/min)  $F_d$  = fuel-specific oxygen-based F factor, dry basis, from Method 19 (dscf/mmBtu)
- H = fuel heat input rate, (mmBtu/min), at the higher heating value (HHV) measured at engine fuel feed line, calculated as (fuel feed rate in ft<sup>3</sup>/min) x (fuel heat content in mmBtu/ft<sup>3</sup>)
- O<sub>2</sub> = stack oxygen concentration, dry basis (%)

### Figure 4-5. 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ Equation 1, 2, 3

$$ER = \frac{C_d \ x \ K \ x \ Q \ x \ T}{HP - hr}$$

Where:

- ER = Emission rate of pollutant in g/HP-hr
- C<sub>d</sub> = Measured pollutant concentration in parts per million by volume, dry basis (ppmvd)
- K = Conversion constant for ppm pollutant to grams per standard cubic meter at  $20^{\circ}$ C:
  - $KNO_x = 1.912 \times 10^{-3}$  (Equation 1)

 $KCO = 1.164 \times 10^{-3}$  (Equation 2)

- $KVOC = 1.833 \times 10^{-3}$  (Equation 3)
- Q = Stack gas volumetric flow rate, in cubic meter per hour, dry basis
- T = Time of test run, in hours

# 4.7 VOLATILE ORGANIC COMPOUNDS (ALT-096: USEPA METHODS 18/25A)

VOC concentrations were measured from the engine using a Thermo Model 55i Direct Methane and Non-methane Analyzer as approved in alternative test method (ALT)-096 and following the procedures of USEPA Method 25A, *Determination of Total Gaseous Organic Concentration Using a Flame Ionization Analyzer (FIA)*. The instrument uses a flame ionization detector (FID) to measure the exhaust gas total hydrocarbon concentration in conjunction with a gas chromatography column that separates methane from other organic compounds.

The components of the extractive sample interface apparatus are constructed of stainless steel and Teflon. Flue gas was collected from the stack via a sample probe and heated sample line and into the analyzer, which communicates with the data acquisition handling system (DAHS) via output signal cables. The analyzer uses a rotary valve and gas chromatograph column to separate methane from hydrocarbons in the sample and quantifies these components using a flame ionization detector.

Sample gas is injected into the column and due to methane's low molecular weight and high volatility moves through the column more quickly than other organic compounds that may be present and quantified by the FID. The column is then flushed with inert carrier gas and the remaining non-methane organic compounds are analyzed in the FID. This analytical technique allows separate measurements for methane and non-methane organic compounds via the use of a single FID. Refer to Figure 4-6 for a drawing of the USEPA Method 25A sampling apparatus.

The field VOC instrument was calibrated with a zero air and three propane and methane in air calibration gases following USEPA Method 25A procedures at the zero level, low (25 to 35 percent of calibration span), mid (45 to 55 percent of calibration span) and high (equivalent to 80 to 90 percent of instrument span). Prior to testing, the analyzer was calibrated using hydrocarbon free zero and high-level methane and propane calibration gases, with its signal output adjusted accordingly. A calibration error test was conducted by introducing low- and mid-level calibration gases to the sample system to ensure the analyzer's response was within  $\pm 5\%$  of certified concentration. During this procedure, the measurement system response time for each calibration gas introduced to the system, equivalent to 95% of the step change, is observed.

Immediately following each test run, zero and low-level calibration gases are introduced consecutively into the measurement system to ensure analyzer drift is within  $\pm 3\%$  of span, thereby validating each test run. As requested by EGLE, the NMOC run concentrations are also corrected for analyzer drift using USEPA Method 7E, *Equation 7E-5b*. The exhaust gas ALT-008 moisture content results were used to convert wet-basis VOC field concentrations to dry-basis and calculate VOC mass emission rates.

Upon receipt, laboratory reported ethane is subtracted from drift corrected NMOC concentrations and the wet-basis NMOC concentration is converted to dry-basis using the field measured exhaust gas moisture content. This non-methane, non-ethane organic compound (NMNEOC) concentration, combined with the calculated volumetric flowrate, is the basis for determining mass VOC emission rates and FGENGINES-P3 regulatory compliance.

# Figure 4-6. USEPA Method 25A NMOC Sample Apparatus



# 5.0 TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The test program was performed to evaluate compliance with emission limits in 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ and MI-ROP-B6637-2015a.

## 5.1 TABULATION OF RESULTS

The EUENGINE3-2 test results indicate the NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, and VOC exhaust emissions comply with 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ and MI-ROP-B6637-2015a limits as summarized in Table 2-1. Appendix Table 1 also contains a detailed tabulation of results, process operating conditions, and exhaust gas conditions.

Please note that the Thermo Scientific 55i VOC as NMOC measurements include ethane, which 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ indicates may be excluded from VOC measurement per 40 CFR Part 51, §51.100(s)(1) which defines VOC as any compound of carbon...other than the following, which have been determined to have negligible photochemical reactivity: methane, ethane..., and (2), Where such a method also measures compounds with negligible photochemical reactivity, these...compounds may be excluded as VOC if... accurately quantified, and such exclusion is approved by the enforcement authority. In the event of this occurrence, the CECo test protocol proposed collecting separate exhaust gas ethane samples (if needed) for Method 18 analysis at an outside contracted laboratory, which was accepted by EGLE.

With that said, a potential positive ethane bias at the exhaust of EUENGINE3-2 was observed on December 15 when field measured VOC as NMOC results were less than the 0.7 g/HP-hr Subpart JJJJ limit but greater than the 0.2 g/HP-hr ROP limit. Therefore, duplicate, representative, "as fired" engine exhaust ethane samples were collected for analysis, with the duplicate sample acting as backup in the event of in-transit damage or for further quality assurance.

Subtracting the laboratory reported ethane (as propane) from field measured NMOC concentrations yielded a negative NMNEOC value, therefore, to calculate the g/Hp-hr VOC emission rate, a non-detect value of <4.0 ppm was applied, which represented 2% of the 200 ppm instrument span per the manufacturer's accuracy specifications.

### 5.2 SIGNIFICANCE OF RESULTS

The test results indicate compliance with 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ and MI-ROP-B6637-2015a limits.

#### 5.3 VARIATIONS FROM SAMPLING OR OPERATING CONDITIONS

There were no sampling or operating condition variations observed.

### 5.4 PROCESS OR CONTROL EQUIPMENT UPSET CONDITIONS

The engine and gas compressor/pump equipment were operating under maximum routine conditions and no upsets were encountered during testing.

#### 5.5 AIR POLLUTION CONTROL DEVICE MAINTENANCE

Ongoing engine optimization is performed to ensure lean-burn combustion and continuous regulatory emission limit compliance.

### 5.6 **RE-TEST DISCUSSION**

An engine re-test is not required based on these test program results. Subsequent engine air emissions tests will be performed every 8,760 engine operating hours or 3 years,

whichever comes first thereafter to demonstrate compliance. The operating hours on EUENGINE3-2 at the end of Run 3 were 3,730.1.

Please note however that mechanical issues delayed this EUENGINE3-2 test event relative to the September 29 – 30, 2020 testing at EUENGINE3-1, EUENGINE3-3 and EUENGINE3-4, as described in the RCTS 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart JJJJ Continuous Compliance Demonstration Test Report dated November 21, 2020.

# 5.7 RESULTS OF AUDIT SAMPLES

Audit samples for the reference methods utilized during this test program are not available from USEPA Stationary Source Audit Sample Program providers. The USEPA reference methods performed state reliable results are obtained by persons equipped with a thorough knowledge of the techniques associated with each method. Factors with the potential to cause measurement errors are minimized by implementing quality control (QC) and assurance (QA) programs into the applicable components of field testing. QA/QC components included in this test program are summarized in Table 5-1. Refer to Appendix E for supporting documentation.

|   | QA/QC Procedures   |   |   |  |  |  |  |  |
|---|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| QA/QC<br>Activity                                   | Purpose  | Procedure   | Frequency                                     | Acceptance<br>Criteria   |  |  |  |  |
| M1: Sampling<br>Location                            | Evaluates sampling<br>location suitability for<br>sampling                             | Measure distance from<br>ports to downstream<br>and upstream flow<br>disturbances | Pre-test                                      | ≥2 diameters<br>downstream;<br>≥0.5 diameter<br>upstream.  |  |  |  |  |
| M1: Duct<br>diameter/<br>dimensions                 | Verifies area of stack<br>is accurately<br>measured                                    | Review as-built<br>drawings and field<br>measurement                              | Pre-test                                      | Field measurement<br>agreement with as-<br>built drawings  |  |  |  |  |
| M3A, M7E, M10:<br>Calibration gas<br>standards      | Ensures accurate calibration standards   | Traceability protocol of<br>calibration gases                                     | Pre-test                                      | Calibration gas<br>uncertainty ≤2.0%   |  |  |  |  |
| M3A, M7E, M10:<br>Calibration Error                 | Evaluates analyzer operation   | Calibration gases<br>introduced directly into<br>analyzers                        | Pre-test                                      | ±2.0% of calibration<br>span or 0.5 ppmv or<br>0.5% O <sub>2</sub> abs.<br>difference  |  |  |  |  |
| M3A, M7E, M10:<br>System Bias and<br>Analyzer Drift | Evaluates<br>analyzer/sample<br>system integrity and<br>accuracy over test<br>duration | Calibration gas<br>introduced at sample<br>probe tip, HSL, and into<br>analyzers  | Pre-test and<br>Post-test                     | Bias: ±5.0% of<br>calibration span<br>Drift: ±3.0% of<br>calibration span or ≤<br>0.5 ppmv or 0.5% O <sub>2</sub><br>abs. difference |  |  |  |  |
| M4 (ALT-008):<br>Field balance<br>calibration       | Verify moisture<br>measurement<br>accuracy   | Use Class 6 weight to check balance accuracy                                      | Daily before<br>use                           | Balance must<br>measure weight<br>within ±0.5 gram of<br>certified mass  |  |  |  |  |
| M7E: NO <sub>2</sub> -NO<br>converter<br>efficiency | Evaluates operation of NO <sub>2</sub> -NO converter                                   | NO <sub>2</sub> calibration gas<br>introduced directly into<br>analyzer           | Pre-test or<br>Post-test                      | NO <sub>x</sub> response ≥90%<br>of certified NO <sub>2</sub><br>calibration gas   |  |  |  |  |
| M18: Spike<br>Recovery Study                        | Demonstrate<br>selection of proper<br>sampling/analysis<br>procedures                  | Compare compound<br>mass collected against<br>spiked media                        | Once per test<br>for<br>compounds<br>analyzed | Spike recovery within<br>70≤R≤130% of the<br>spike mass  |  |  |  |  |
| M25A/ALT096:<br>Calibration Error                   | Evaluates operation<br>of analyzer and<br>sample system                                | Calibration gases<br>introduced through<br>sample system                          | Pre-test                                      | ±5.0% of calibration<br>gas value  |  |  |  |  |
| M25A/ALT096:<br>Zero and<br>Calibration Drift       | Evaluates<br>analyzer/sample<br>system integrity and<br>accuracy over test<br>duration | Calibration gases<br>introduced through<br>sample system                          | Pre and Post-<br>test                         | ±3.0% of analyzer span   |  |  |  |  |

# Table 5-1

### 5.8 CALIBRATION SHEETS

Calibration sheets, including gas protocol sheets and analyzer quality control and assurance checks are presented in Appendix E.

### 5.9 SAMPLE CALCULATIONS

Sample calculations and formulas used to compute emissions data are presented in Appendix A.

#### 5.10 FIELD DATA SHEETS

Field data sheets are presented in Appendix B.

#### 5.11 LABORATORY QUALITY ASSURANCE / QUALITY CONTROL PROCEDURES

The method specific QA/QC procedures in each method employed during this test program were followed, without deviation. Laboratory QA/QC data is contained in Appendix C.

#### 5.12 QA/QC BLANKS

Other than Method 18 QA/QC and calibration gases used for zero calibrations, no other reagent or media blanks were used.