RECEIVED

DEC 20 2017

AIR QUALITY DIVISION



Mercury and Air Toxics Standard Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

We Energies Presque Isle Power Plant Flue 5 Stack Marquette, Michigan Project No. M174801A November 7, 2017



Mercury and Air Toxics Standard Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

We Energies
Presque Isle Power Plant
Flue 5 Stack
Marquette, Michigan
November 7, 2017

Report Submittal Date December 8, 2017

© Copyright 2017 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M174801A

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) filterable particulate matter and hydrogen chloride emissions test program for the We Energies at the Presque Isle Power Plant on the Flue 5 Stack in Marquette, Michigan on November 7, 2017. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test date, and test parameters are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION				
Test Location	Test Date	Test Parameters		
Flue 5 Stack	November 7, 2017	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and Hydrogen Chloride (HCl)		

The purpose of the test program was to document FPM and HCl emissions to qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

TEST RESULTS					
Test Location	Test Parameter	Emission Limits	Emission Rates		
Flue 5 Stack	FPM	≤0.030 lb/mmBtu	0.0009 lb/mmBtu		
	HCI	≤0.002 lb/mmBtu	0.0002 lb/mmBtu		

Emissions on lb/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard F_d -Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Plant operating data as provided by We Energies is included in Appendix A.

One of the test ports was obstructed and Mostardi Platt sampled at two ports, six points each instead of the four ports, three points as outlined in the test protocol. Mostardi Platt does not believe that this impacted the data that was collected.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and analyzed by Mostardi Platt. The results of the audit sample were compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

The identifications of the individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION				
Location	Address	Mr. Rob Bregger (414) 221-2772 (phone) rob.bregger@we-energies.com		
Test Coordinator	We Energies 333 West Everett Street Environmental Department A231 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203			
Test Facility	We Energies Presque Isle Power Plant 2701 Lakeshore Boulevard, North Marquette, Michigan 49885	Ms. Cindy Brandt, P.E. (920) 433-1830 (phone) chbrandt@integrysgroup.com		
Testing Company Representative	Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	Mr. Stuart Sands Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) ssands@mp-mail.com		

The test crew consisted of Messrs. C. Menet, E. Charatz, J. Rogers, T. Schmidt, and S. Sands of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

TEST POINT INFORMATION					
Location	Upstream Diameters	Downstream Diameters	Test Parameter	Number of Sampling Points	
Flue 5 Stack	>2.0	>8.0	FPM, HCI	12	

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Oxygen (O2)/Carbon Dioxide (CO2) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. An ECOM analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas filterable particulate concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 5 with filter and probe temperatures between 248 and 273 degrees Fahrenheit in accordance with the USEPA letter which is appended. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method utilizing Pallflex TX40HI45 filters. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone wash. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt personnel. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Determination

Stack gas HCl concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A in conjunction with the USEPA Method 5 sampling. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method utilizing Pallflex TX40Hl45 filters. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted by Mostardi Platt in the Elmhurst laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

RECEIVED

DEC 20 2017

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

AIR QUALITY DIVISION

Client:

We Energies

Facility:

Presque Isle Power Plant

Test Location: Flue 5

Test Method:

5/26A

est Metriou. 5/20A					
Source Condition	Normal	Normal	Normal		
Date	11/7/17	11/7/17	11/7/17		
Start Time	7:25	10:00	12:30		
End Time	9:30	12:05	14:35		
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average	
Stack Cond	itions				
Average Gas Temperature, °F	327.0	330.0	330.0	329.0	
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	11.0%	10.5%	11.3%	10.9%	
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	29.24	29.24	29.24	29.24	
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	107.616	107.702	100.996	105.438	
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	74.354	74.452	74.569	74.4 58	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	283,812	284,187	284,634	284,211	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	165,620	166,128	164,903	165,550	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	186,090	185,618	185,910	185,873	
Average %CO₂ by volume, dry basis	13.6	13.4	13.3	13.4	
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.7	6.0	6.1	5.9	
Isokinetic Variance	107.9	107.7	101.7	105.8	
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	
Filterable Particulate Matter (Method 5)					
grams collected	0.00264	0.00270	0.00368	0.00301	
mg/dscm	0.866	0.885	1.287	1.0128	
grains/acf	0.0002	0.0002	0.0003	0.0002	
grains/dscf	0.0004	0.0004	0.0006	0.0005	
lb/hr	0.537	0.551	0.795	0.628	
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0007	0.0008	0.0011	0.0009	

Client:

We Energies

Facility:

Presque Isle Power Plant

Test Location: Flue 5 Test Method: 5/26A

Source Condition	Normal	Normal	Normal	
Date	11/7/17	11/7/17	11/7/17	
Start Time	7:25	10:00	12:30	
End Time	9:30	12:05	14:35	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Sta	ck Conditions	5		
Average Gas Temperature, °F	327.0	330.0	330.0	329.0
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	11.0%	10.5%	11.3%	10.9%
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	29.24	29.24	29.24	29.24
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	107.616	107.702	100.996	105.438
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	74.354	74.452	74.569	74.458
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	283,812	284,187	28 4 ,634	284,211
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	165,620	166,128	164,903	165,550
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	186,090	185,618	185,910	185,873
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	13.6	13.4	13.3	13.4
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.7	6.0	6.1	5.9
Isokinetic Variance	107.9	107.7	101.7	105.8
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0
Hydrogen C	hloride (HCI) I	Emissions		
ug of sample collected	595.98	569.65	509.09	558.24
ppm	0.13	0.12	0.12	0.12
mg/dscm	0.20	0.19	0.18	0.19
lb/hr	0.121	0.116	0.110	0.116
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002

4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to We Energies. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT

Scott W. Banach

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

Stuart Sands

Program Manager

Stuart Sands

Quality Assurance