RECEIVED SEP 11 2017 AIR QUALITY DIVISION

Mercury and Air Toxics Standards Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

We Energies Presque Isle Power Plant Flue 8 Stack Marquette, Michigan Project No. M173103A August 1, 2017





Mercury and Air Toxics Standards Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

We Energies Presque Isle Power Plant Flue 8 Stack Marquette, Michigan August 1, 2017

Report Submittal Date August 30, 2017

> © Copyright 2017 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M173103A

888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 630-993-2100

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | 1 |
|---|----------------------------|
| 2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY Method 1 Traverse Point Determination Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination Method 3A Oxygen (O ₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO ₂) Determination Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Determination | 2 2 2 2 2 2 |
| 3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES | 4 |
| 4.0 CERTIFICATION | 6 |
| APPENDICES Appendix A – Plant Operating Data Appendix B - Test Section Diagrams Appendix C - Sample Train Diagrams Appendix D - Calculation Nomenclature and Formulas Appendix E- Laboratory Sample Analysis Appendix F - Reference Method Test Data (Computerized Sheets) Appendix G - Field Data Sheets Appendix H - Calibration Data | |
| Appendix I - Gas Cylinder Certifications | 82 |

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) Filterable Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride emissions test program for the We Energies at the Presque Isle Power Plant on the Flue 8 Stack in Marquette, Michigan on August 1, 2017. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test date, and test parameters are summarized below.

| TEST INFORMATION | | | | | |
|------------------|----------------|--|--|--|--|
| Test Location | Test Date | Test Parameters | | | |
| Flue 8 Stack | August 1, 2017 | Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) | | | |

The purpose of the test program was to document the FPM and HCI emissions to qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

| TEST RESULTS | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| Test Location Test Parameter Emission Limit Emission | | | | | |
| Flue 8 Stack | FPM | ≤0.030 lb/mmBtu | 0.0021 lb/mmBtu | | |
| | HCI | ≤0.002 lb/mmBtu | 0.0005 lb/mmBtu | | |

Emissions on lb/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard F_d -Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Plant operating data as provided by We Energies is included in Appendix A. Run #1 was excluded from the run average due to expected contamination. The average emission rates are determined from Runs 2 through 4.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and analyzed by Mostardi Platt. The results of the audit sample was compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

The identifications of individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

| TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Location | Address | Contact | | |
| Test Coordinator | We Energies 333 West Everett Street Environmental Department A231 Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53203 | Mr. Rob Bregger (414) 221-2772 (phone) rob.bregger@we-energies.com | | |
| Test Facility | We Energies Presque Isle Power Plant 2701 Lakeshore Boulevard, North Marquette, Michigan 49885 | Ms. Cindy Brandt, P.E. (920) 433-1830 (phone) chbrandt@integrysgroup.com | | |
| Testing Company Representative | Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 | Mr. Martin Platt Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) mplatt@mp-mail.com | | |

The test crew consisted of Messrs. J. Aksamitowski, J. Gross, S. McGough, S. Saiz, and M. Platt of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

| TEST POINT INFORMATION | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|-----|----|--|--|
| UpstreamDownstreamNumberLocationDiametersDiametersTest Parameter | | | | | | |
| Flue 8 Stack | >2.0 | >8.0 | FPM | 24 | | |
| Fille o Stack | >2.0 | >8.0 | HCI | 1 | | |

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Oxygen (O₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. An ECOM analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas FPM concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 5, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Filter and probe temperatures were set to temperatures between 248 and 273° Fahrenheit in accordance with the USEPA letter which is appended. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Determination

Stack gas HCI concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A in conjunction with the USEPA Method 5 sampling. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method utilizing Pallflex TX40HI45 filters. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted by Mostardi Platt in the Elmhurst laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

| Client: | We Energies |
|----------------|--------------------------|
| Facility: | Presque Isle Power Plant |
| Test Location: | Flue 8 Stack |
| Test Method: | 5/26A |

| Source Condition | High | High | High | High | |
|--|-------------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Date | 8/1/17 | 8/1/17 | 8/1/17 | 8/1/17 | |
| Start Time | 6:55 | 9:50 | 12:35 | 15:08 | |
| End Time | 9:12 | 12:05 | 14:50 | 17:22 | |
| ······································ | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Run 4 | Average* |
| Stack | Condition | <u>s</u> | | | |
| Average Gas Temperature, °F | 318.5 | 332.7 | 332.0 | 331.7 | 332.1 |
| Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume | 12.0% | 13.0% | 15.2% | 12.5% | 13.6% |
| Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg | 29.29 | 29.29 | 29.29 | 29.29 | 29.29 |
| Gas Sample Volume, dscf | 110.034 | 109.009 | 108.422 | 108.824 | 108.752 |
| Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec | 76.393 | 77.135 | 77.101 | 76.889 | 77.042 |
| Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm | 324,894 | 328,051 | 327,904 | 327,004 | 327,653 |
| Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm | 189,763 | 186,178 | 181,570 | 186,741 | 184,830 |
| Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm | 215,732 | 213,923 | 213,996 | 213,510 | 213,810 |
| Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 14.6 |
| Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis | 5.5 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 |
| Isokinetic Variance | 102.2 | 103.2 | 105.2 | 102.7 | 103.7 |
| Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu | 9,820.0 | 9,820.0 | 9,820.0 | 9,820.0 | 9,820.0 |
| Filterable Partice | ulate Matte | r (Method 5) | | | |
| grams collected | 0.0331 | 0.0112 | 0.0054 | 0.0063 | 0.0076 |
| mg/dscm | 10.614 | 3.625 | 1.765 | 2.038 | 2.476 |
| grains/acf | 0.0027 | 0.0009 | 0.0004 | 0.0005 | 0.0006 |
| grains/dscf | 0.0046 | 0.0016 | 0.0008 | 0.0009 | 0.0011 |
| lb/hr | 7.543 | 2.528 | 1.200 | 1.425 | 1.718 |
| Ib/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor) | 0.0088 | 0.0031 | 0.0015 | 0.0017 | 0.0021 |
| * Average of Runs 2-4 due to suspective contamination in | Run 1 | | | | |

* Average of Runs 2-4 due to suspective contamination in Run 1

| Client:We EnergiesFacility:Presque Isle Power PlantTest Location:Flue 8 StackTest Method:5/26A | | | | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------|--|--|
| Source Condition | High | High | High | High | | | |
| Date | 8/1/17 | 8/1/17 | 8/1/17 | 8/1/17 | | | |
| Start Time | 6:55 | 9:50 | 12:35 | 15:08 | | | |
| End Time | 9:12 | 12:05 | 14:50 | 17:22 | | | |
| | Run 1 | Run 2 | Run 3 | Run 4 | Average* | | |
| L | Stack Co | nditions | | | | | |
| Average Gas Temperature, °F | 318.5 | 332.7 | 332.0 | 331.7 | 332.1 | | |
| Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume | 12.0% | 13.0% | 15.2% | 12.5% | 13.6% | | |
| Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg | 29.29 | 29.29 | 29.29 | 29.29 | 29.29 | | |
| Gas Sample Volume, dscf | 110.034 | 109.009 | 108.422 | 108.824 | 108.752 | | |
| Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec | 76.393 | 77.135 | 77.101 | 76.889 | 77.042 | | |
| Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm | 324,894 | 328,051 | 327,904 | 327,004 | 327,653 | | |
| Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm | 189,763 | 186,178 | 181,570 | 186,741 | 184,830 | | |
| Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm | 215,732 | 213,923 | 213,996 | 213,510 | 213,810 | | |
| Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.5 | 14.7 | 14.6 | | |
| Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis | 5,5 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 5.6 | | |
| Isokinetic Variance | 102.2 | 103.2 | 105.2 | 102.7 | 103.7 | | |
| Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu | 9,820.0 | 9,820.0 | 9,820.0 | 9,820.0 | 9,820.0 | | |
| Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Emissions | | | | | | | |
| ug of sample collected | 4361.00 | 2310.00 | 1549.00 | 1425.00 | 1761.33 | | |
| ppm | 0.92 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.31 | 0.38 | | |
| mg/dscm | 1.40 | 0.75 | 0.50 | 0.46 | 0.57 | | |
| lb/hr | 0.9948 | 0.5219 | 0.3431 | 0.3235 | 0.3962 | | |
| Ib/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor) | 0.0012 | 0.0006 | 0.0004 | 0.0004 | 0.0005 | | |

* Average of Runs 2-4 due to suspective contamination in Run 1

4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to We Energies. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

Marti E. Platt

Program Manager

Martin Platt

Acotter Banne

Quality Assurance

Scott W. Banach

RECEIVED SEP 11 2017 AIR QUALITY DIVISION

APPENDICES