



RECEIVED

JAN 12 2017

AIR QUALITY DIV.

**Mercury and Air Toxics Standards Particulate Matter and
Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report**

**We Energies
Presque Isle Power Plant
Flue 5 Stack
Marquette, Michigan
November 15, 2016**

**Report Submittal Date
January 6, 2017**

© Copyright 2017
All rights reserved in
Mostardi Platt

Project No. M164503C

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) filterable particulate matter and hydrogen chloride emissions test program for the We Energies at the Presque Isle Power Plant on the Flue 5 Stack in Marquette, Michigan on November 15, 2016. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test date, and test parameters are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION		
Test Location	Test Date	Test Parameters
Flue 5 Stack	November 15, 2016	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and Hydrogen Chloride (HCl)

The purpose of the test program was to document FPM and HCl emissions to qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

TEST RESULTS			
Test Location	Test Parameter	Emission Limits	Emission Rates
Flue 5 Stack	FPM	≤0.030 lb/mmBtu	0.0006 lb/mmBtu
	HCl	≤0.002 lb/mmBtu	0.0002 lb/mmBtu

Emissions on lb/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard F_d -Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Both the FPM and HCl emission test procedures followed the MATS sampling requirements and the emissions meet the LEE demonstration criteria of 0.015 lb/mmBtu for the FPM and 0.001 lb/mmBtu for the HCl which are 50% of the emission standard. Plant operating data as provided by We Energies is included in Appendix A.

One of the test ports was obstructed and Mostardi Platt sampled at two ports, six points each instead of the four ports, three points as outlined in the test protocol. Mostardi Platt does not believe that this impacted the data that was collected.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and submitted for analysis to Maxxam Analytical. The results of the audit sample was compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

The identifications of individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION		
Location	Address	Contact
Test Coordinator	We Energies Integrays Group 700 North Adams Street Green Bay, Wisconsin 53203	Ms. Cindy Brandt (920) 433-1830 (phone) chbrandt@integraysgroup.com
Test Facility	We Energies Presque Isle Power Plant 2701 Lakeshore Boulevard, North Marquette, Michigan 49885	Ms. Amanda Studinger (906) 226-5704 (phone) amanda.studinger@we-energies.com
Testing Company Representative	Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	Mr. Timothy Russ Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) truss@mp-mail.com

The test crew consisted of Messrs. J. Aksamitowski, K. Johnson, N. Colangelo, S. Cronin, and T. Russ of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

TEST POINT INFORMATION				
Location	Upstream Diameters	Downstream Diameters	Test Parameter	Number of Sampling Points
Flue 5 Stack	>2.0	>8.0	FPM, HCl	12

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Oxygen (O₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. A Servomex analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas filterable particulate concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 5 with filter and probe temperatures between 248 and 273 degrees Fahrenheit in accordance with the USEPA letter which is appended. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method utilizing Pallflex TX40HI45 filters. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone wash. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt personnel. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCl) Determination

Stack gas HCl concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A in conjunction with the USEPA Method 5 sampling. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method utilizing Pallflex TX40HI45 filters. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted by Maxxam Analytics, Inc. of Mississauga, Ontario. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

Client: We Energies
Facility: Presque Isle Power Plant
Test Location: Flue 5 Stack
Test Method: 5/26A

Source Condition	Normal	Normal	Normal	
Date	11/15/16	11/15/16	11/15/16	
Start Time	9:10	12:15	15:00	
End Time	11:15	14:20	17:05	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Stack Conditions				
Average Gas Temperature, °F	326.7	332.4	333.1	330.7
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	9.6%	10.2%	9.8%	9.9%
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.86	28.86	28.86	28.86
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	99.033	93.342	93.232	95.202
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	65.082	64.893	64.770	64.915
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	248,421	247,700	247,229	247,783
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	145,312	142,882	143,225	143,806
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	160,827	159,197	158,752	159,592
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	12.9	12.8	13.2	13.0
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	6.4	6.5	6.0	6.3
Isokinetic Variance	105.2	100.9	100.5	102.2
Fd Factor, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0
Particulate Matter (Method 5)				
grams collected	0.0022	0.0014	0.0014	0.0017
mg/dscm	0.785	0.530	0.530	0.6148
grains/acf	0.0002	0.0001	0.0001	0.0001
grains/dscf	0.0003	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002
lb/hr	0.427	0.283	0.284	0.331
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0007	0.0005	0.0005	0.0006

Client: We Energies
 Facility: Presque Isle Power Plant
 Test Location: Flue 5 Stack
 Test Method: 5/26A

Source Condition	Normal	Normal	Normal	
Date	11/15/16	11/15/16	11/15/16	
Start Time	9:10	12:15	15:00	
End Time	11:15	14:20	17:05	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Stack Conditions				
Average Gas Temperature, °F	326.7	332.4	333.1	330.7
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	9.6%	10.2%	9.8%	9.9%
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.86	28.86	28.86	28.86
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	99.033	93.342	93.232	95.202
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	65.082	64.893	64.770	64.915
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	248,421	247,700	247,229	247,783
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	145,312	142,882	143,225	143,806
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	160,827	159,197	158,752	159,592
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	12.9	12.8	13.2	13.0
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	6.4	6.5	6.0	6.3
Isokinetic Variance	105.2	100.9	100.5	102.2
Fd Factor, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0
Hydrogen Chloride (HCl) Emissions				
ug of sample collected	610.00	640.00	630.00	626.67
ppm	0.14	0.16	0.16	0.15
mg/dscm	0.22	0.24	0.24	0.23
lb/hr	0.118	0.130	0.128	0.125
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002	0.0002


4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to We Energies. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT



Timothy Russ

Program Manager



Scott W. Banach

Quality Assurance