

Volatile Organic Compound Emission Test Report September 12, 2019

Vapor Recovery Unit

Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals, LP 500 S Dix Street Detroit, Michigan 48217

Zeeco Project No. 41322

Zeeco Inc. 11505 Commonwealth Drive Suite 104 Louisville, Kentucky 40299

Meter ID:	/2019 GTX-8 14X420031 10/2/2019		Flow=B	$S \times \Delta p + C$		furbine Meter C $\frac{\mu_{std}}{\mu_{wet-air}} \right) \times \left(\frac{T_{std}}{T_f}\right)$	Calibration $\times \left(\frac{P_f}{P_{std}}\right) \times$	$\left(\frac{\rho_{wet}}{\rho_{dry}}\right)$		$\langle$	5	Blu Teo	ue Ho chno	eaven logie:	1 B
	where:	B=	126.6644			1.010 0.9834	0.9859	0.9895 <b>0.969043</b>	-						
6" LFE:	Z50MCZ-6	C=	-0.171207								0			ouisville, KY	
BHT Test	# 19-229-1	Δp=	4		Averag	e Meter Calibration		0.999422		Tel	: (502) 35	7-0132		Fax (502) 26	87-8379
		Flow =	503.9182				(must b	e between ().95 - 1.05)	1						
			Baro (mm Hg)	Meter-P (mm Hg)	Meter-T (Deg C)	VE (m3)	VES (m3)	Trailer Computer (CFM)	Desk Baro (inch Hg)	Meriem (inch WC)	Meriem (CFM)	Meriem Temp	Meriem RH	Ym	Error
Low	25%		749.1	2.3	20.81	40.578	40.009	282.58	29.50	2.224	280.881	74,500	67.600		
2011	2.570		748.7	2.3	21.02	41.003	40.378	285.19	25.50	2.216	279.8736	71.800	73.200		
			748.8	2.3	21.26	41.031	40.378	285.19		2.221	280.5032	71.700	76.000		
			748.87	2.30	21.03	40.87	40.26	284.32			280.4193	22.59		0.9884878	-1.390854
Mid	60%		748.4	5.92	21.67	82.01	80.9383	571.66	29.47	4.603	579.521	72.200	75.100		
			748.1	5.91	21.63	82.12	81.02454	572.27		4.627	582.5242	72.600	74.800		
			748.2	5.91	22.35	81.50	80.22756	566.64		4.626	582.3991	73.400	75.800		
			748.23	5.91	21.88	81.88	80.73	570.19			581.4814	22.63		1.01436442	1.941564
High	90%		748.1	11.06	25.17	128.79	126.422	892.91	29.46	7.205	904.0044	74,400	74.500		
			748.1	11.02	26.49	128.93	125.9953	889.90		7.197	903.0102	75.700	73.100		
			748	10.97	28.16	128.42	124.7768	881.29		7.194	902.6373	78.400	71.100		
			748.07	11.02	26.61	128.71	125.73	888.03	<b>7</b> 0 40		903.2173	24.54		0.99541431	1.681209
									29.48			73.86	73.47 e Error:	0.99942	0.743973
											1	Averag	Max	1.01436442	0.745975
													Min	0.9884878	
													Difference:	0.02587662	*Must be less than 0.03

#### **DECLARATION OF ACCURACY**

Certification of sampling procedures by the team leader of the personnel conducting the sampling procedures and compiling the test report:

"I certify that the sampling procedures were performed in accordance with the approved test plan and that the data presented in this report are, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. All exceptions are listed and explained below."

Signature:

Title: Environmental Test Technician

Name of Person Signing: Troy Hardin

Date: <u>9/30/19</u>

# Certification of test report by the senior staff person at the company who is responsible for checking the test report:

"I certify that this test report and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the test information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who performed sampling and analysis relating to the performance test, the information submitted in this test report is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete. All exceptions are listed and explained below."

ames Starrow Signature:

Name of Person Signing: James Stamm, P.E.

Title: Sr. Environmental Engineer

Date: <u>9/30/19</u>

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Sectio	on		Page(s)
1.0	1.1 1.2	Description of source	1 1 1 2
2.0		<b>IARY OF RESULTS</b> 2-1 Summary of Results	2 2
3.0	3.1	RCE DESCRIPTION Description of process Typical Layout of Source Type and quantity of materials processed during test	3 3 4 4
4.0	4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4	PLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES Description of sampling and field procedures Typical Layout of Test Equipment Description of Analytical Procedures Sampling procedures and operational variances	5 5 7 7 7
APPE		S	

#### Α.

- Truck Monitoring Data Sheets Gas Cylinder Certifications Field Test Data Sheets Β.
- C.
- Method 21 Documentation D.
- Ε. Example Calculations
- F. Turbine Meter Calibration Documentation

## 1.0 INTRODUCTION

## 1.1 Identification, Location and Dates of Test

Zeeco, Inc. was contracted by Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals, LP (Sunoco) to complete a performance test of their vapor processing system at their River Rouge, Michigan truck loading terminal. The facility is a petroleum bulk terminal for loading gasoline as well as other petroleum products onto tanker trucks. The products are bottom loaded into tanker trucks and the displaced hydrocarbon vapors are balanced to a carbon adsorption/absorption vapor recovery unit (VRU). The facility was source tested for air emissions on September 12, 2019. Troy Hardin and Steven Hubbard of Zeeco, Inc. performed the field portion of the emission test.

## 1.2 Purpose of Testing

The purpose of this test was to measure and document emissions to the atmosphere from the VRU and demonstrate that emissions do not exceed emission limits established in the applicable regulations. The performance test was conducted in accordance with procedures and test methods established and referenced in the Code of Federal Regulations; CFR 40, Part 60, Subpart XX and CFR 40, Part 63, Subpart BBBBBB.

# 1.3 Description of Source

Sunoco owns and operates a bulk marketing terminal in River Rouge, Michigan where light petroleum products are bottom loaded at five loading bays. The terminal is equipped to load Regular, Midgrade, Premium Unleaded Gasoline fuel products as well as Diesel fuel and Transmix fuel products onto transports.

The truck loading rack is equipped with vapor recovery hoses positioned at the transport loading positions for hook up to the vapor collection system. All trucks that load must connect the vapor recovery hose before loading liquid product.

The vapor hoses have individual check valves that prevent unused hoses from leaking any vapors. The vapor pipe manifold connects the vapor hoses to the VRU. The vapor pipe system also employs a liquid knock-out tank and pressure/vacuum relief valve upstream from the VRU. The VRU is in place to minimize the emissions of VOC during the loading of trucks.

## 1.4 Contact Information

#### Sunoco Partners Marketing & Terminals

Jared M. Everitt Environmental Specialist 7155 Inkster Rd Taylor, MI 48180 Phone: (313) 292-9822 E-mail: jared.everitt@energytransfer.com

#### Zeeco, Inc.

Troy Hardin Environmental Test Technician 11505 Commonwealth Drive Suite 104 Louisville, KY 40299 Phone: (918) 607-7443 mobile E-mail: troy\_hardin@zeeco.com

#### 2.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The results of this performance test demonstrate that this source is in compliance with the applicable Federal and Local requirements. A summary of the data is presented below in Table 2-1.

The Method 21 Leak Test was performed on the day prior to testing. A portable LEL meter was calibrated using a 5000 PPM methane calibration gas. The meter was used to check for leaks around all fittings, flanges, valves as well as any other exposed potential leak source. No leaks were found in excess of 500 ppm.

Regulation	Measured Result	Applicable Limit		
40CFR60.503(b)	0ppm	500ppm Subpart BBBBBB		
40CFR63.11092(a)(1)(i)				
40CFR60.502(h)(i)	Highest Pressure: 11" H <sub>2</sub> 0	18" H <sub>2</sub> 0		
40CFR60.502 or Permit Limit				
(Accountable Products)	2.13 mg/L	20 mg/L		
No Regulatory Limit				
(Total Products)	2.08 mg/L	· NA		
	162,977 Gallons	>80,000 Gallons		
40CFR60.503(c)(1)	616,867.95 Liters	>300,000 Liters		
40CFR60.503(c)(1)	6 Hrs and 10 Mins	Minimum 6 Hrs		
Average Inlet Conc.	27.71%	NA		
Average Outlet Conc.	0.071%	NA		

## Table 2-1 - Summary of Results

# 3.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION

## 3.1 Description of Process

The vapor recovery unit is an engineered air pollution control device used to control emissions from the truck loading rack at the terminal in River Rouge, Michigan. It consists of two carbon adsorption beds, a product absorption column, one or more vacuum pumps and associated piping and controls that support the movement of recovered vapors through the system.

Inlet hydrocarbon vapors from the facility loading rack are fed into one of two carbon adsorption beds. These beds allow for the adsorption and collection of hydrocarbons on the effective surface area of the carbon particles. Once hydrocarbons have been adsorbed, clean (or treated) air is emitted from the unit to the atmosphere at or below the permitted limit.

While one bed is adsorbing hydrocarbons, the second bed is off line and being treated or regenerated using a vacuum pump. The vacuum recovery step returns the carbon to a relatively clean state that will allow it adsorb hydrocarbons again and again. The regeneration cycles typically occur on a 15-minute interval.

Hydrocarbon vapors desorbed from the carbon are routed to a counter current absorption column. This column uses gasoline as absorption media to collect the recovered hydrocarbons before returning them a recovery tank at the terminal. Hydrocarbons not absorbed in absorption column are returned to carbon bed that is online for additional recovery.



Gasoline Liquid Loading onto Transport Trucks

# 3.3 Type and quantity of materials processed during test

During the Emission Test on September 12, 2019 at the Sunoco River Rouge, Michigan terminal, a total of 162,977 gallons, or 616,867.95 liters of gasoline product was loaded. US EPA Title 40 CFR, Part 60, Subpart XX requires a minimum of 300,000 liters of gasoline during the six-hour test. The test was extended 10 minutes to allow tanker trucks to complete their loading operations.

# 4.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

## 4.1 Description of sampling and field procedures

The following methods were completed as part of the emission test:

- Method 2A vapor volume measurement.
- Method 21 System leak detection
- Method 25B Inlet and Outlet Hydrocarbon concentration

Transport loading pressure was monitored as described in sub-section 60.503 (d) (i.e., 18" water column gauge test). All sampling procedures conformed to procedures outlined in New Source Performance Standards (NSPS), 40 CFR 60, Subpart XX – Section 60.503 – Test Methods and Procedures and Subpart BBBBBB. Specifically, in the field a Dwyer Magnehelic Pressure Gauge was connected to the transport vapor hose connection. Pressure readings were recorded on the truck loading data sheets. All loading bays were tested.

All vapor collection equipment, including fittings, vents and hoses were tested using the Method 21 test. This test is required by 40 CFR 63 Subpart BBBBBB requirements (prior to beginning the test). Any readings equal to or greater than 500 PPM as methane would have been considered a leak and noted and repaired prior to beginning the test.

Method 21 leak detection testing was conducted on any gasoline truck whose emissions showed obvious signs of leaks using sight, sound, and smell as an indication. In accordance with Subpart BBBBBB, Section 63.110902(a)(1)(i), any leak equal to or greater than 500 ppm vol. methane was considered a leak.

The Non-Dispersive Infrared (NDIR) analyzer, turbine flow meter, exhaust vapor thermistor and exhaust pressure transducer are connected to the VRU exhaust stack in order to acquire their respective data. A quad check valve assembly is employed to provide for proper VRU regeneration air flow and allow one turbine meter to satisfy both carbon vessel measurement requirements.

The barometric pressure transducer and ambient thermistor are located in close proximity to the VRU in order to acquire ambient atmospheric conditions for use in subsequent standardization equations.

Each transducer data channel is scaled and connected to the computer input board. Using an operations code program each input channel is read 25 times in a 5 second interval and mass, flow, concentration, temperature, and pressure values are averaged and stored in an array for subsequent use.

After 30 ten second intervals (5 minutes) the hard disk array is polled, and average values are determined for concentration, pressure, and temperature. These values along with the flow for the 5-minute period are used to compute the mass emitted for that 5 minute period. These averaged and summed values are then printed out as the 5-minute interval data and are again stored on hard disk until the six-hour test period is completed.

Upon completion of the test, the 5-minute interval data is polled to determine test averages for Inlet and Outlet VOC concentration, pressure and temperature data for all test intervals during which VRU exhaust flow was greater than zero and volume and milligram emission data is summed for all 5-minute periods to arrive at a final test period total.

This data acquisition methodology essentially represents a series of very short (5 second) intervals during which VRU operation is measured, averaged and standardized. This effectively removes all judgmental decisions from data reduction processes and provides a technically unbiased analysis of VRU operation.

Additionally, pretest and post test vapor analyzer calibrations are conducted, along with hourly analyzer calibration drift check verification. Following the conclusion of the six hour test the loading rack volumes are calculated and final mass emission values are determined. Copies of the transport loading rack sheets, hydrocarbon analyzer strip charts and computer print outs are attached as Appendices to this test report.

Quantity	Item	Range (if applicable)	Method or Purpose
	Thermistor		Turbine Meter Std.
2	Temperature Probes		Ambient Temp.
1	Allen Bradley PLC		Data Reduction Pkg.
	RKI Eagle LEL		Method 21 Leak
1	Monitor	5000 ppm	Testing
	Differential Pres.		
1	Transducer		Turbine Meter Std.
1	Digital Barometer		Turbine Meter Std.
	American Meter 8"		
1	Turbine Flow Meter	60,000 SCFH	Method 2A
	Yokogawa 6		
	Channel Strip		
1	Recorder		Data Recorder
1	VOC Gas Analyzer	0-2%	Exhaust TOC
1	VOC Gas Analyzer	0-100 %	Inlet TOC
	Dwyer Magnehelic		
2	Pressure Gauge		40 CFR 60.503 (d)

The analytical equipment used during the emission test is displayed in Table 4-1 below.



# 4.3 Description of Analytical Procedures

Both VOC non-dispersive analyzers were calibrated using propane and nitrogen mixtures of approximately 0%, 25%, 50%, and 85% of full scale. A full calibration was performed immediately prior to the start of the test. During the test, hourly drift checks were performed using the 0% and 50% span gas to document acceptable span and zero drift. All pertinent field calibration data was made available for local onsite test observers.

# 4.4 Sampling procedure or operational variances

Zeeco, Inc. conducted the performance test with no sampling or procedural variations. Sampling procedures for Exhaust TOC and Inlet TOC followed all quality control procedures specified in EPA Method 25B. As specified in US EPA Method 25A, sampling of the exhaust was conducted from the centrally located cross section of the stack. The VRU operated with no operational variances.

APPENDIX A

TRUCK MONITORING DATA SHEETS