



US Steel No. 2 Argon Stir Station Emissions Test Summary Report

Prepared for:

United States Steel Corporation

Ecorse, Michigan

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2111h
AIR QUALITY DIV.

United States Steel Corporation
Great Lakes Works
No. 1 Quality Drive
Ecorse, Michigan 48229

Project No. 14-4627.00
January 27, 2015

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc.
4949 Fernlee Avenue
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073
(248) 548-8070



MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY
AIR QUALITY DIVISION

**RENEWABLE OPERATING PERMIT
REPORT CERTIFICATION**

Authorized by 1994 P.A. 451, as amended. Failure to provide this information may result in civil and/or criminal penalties.

Reports submitted pursuant to R 336.1213 (Rule 213), subrules (3)(c) and/or (4)(c), of Michigan's Renewable Operating (RO) Permit program must be certified by a responsible official. Additional information regarding the reports and documentation listed below must be kept on file for at least 5 years, as described in General Condition No. 22 in the RO Permit and be made available to the Department of Environmental Quality, Air Quality Division upon request.

Source Name United States Steel Corporation Great Lakes Works County Wayne
Source Address #1 Quality Drive City Ecorse
AQD Source ID (SRN) A7809 RO Permit No. 199600132d RO Permit Section No. 1 & 5

Please check the appropriate box(es):

Annual Compliance Certification (General Condition No. 28 and No. 29 of the RO Permit)

Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From _____ To _____

1. During the entire reporting period, this source was in compliance with ALL terms and conditions contained in the RO Permit, each term and condition of which is identified and included by this reference. The method(s) used to determine compliance is/are the method(s) specified in the RO Permit.

2. During the entire reporting period this source was in compliance with all terms and conditions contained in the RO Permit, each term and condition of which is identified and included by this reference, EXCEPT for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s). The method used to determine compliance for each term and condition is the method specified in the RO Permit, unless otherwise indicated and described on the enclosed deviation report(s).

Semi-Annual (or More Frequent) Report Certification (General Condition No. 23 of the RO Permit)

Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From _____ To _____

1. During the entire reporting period, ALL monitoring and associated recordkeeping requirements in the RO Permit were met and no deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred.


2. During the entire reporting period, all monitoring and associated recordkeeping requirements in the RO Permit were met and no deviations from these requirements or any other terms or conditions occurred, EXCEPT for the deviations identified on the enclosed deviation report(s).

Other Report Certification

Reporting period (provide inclusive dates): From Dec. 9, 2014 To Dec. 9, 2014

Additional monitoring reports or other applicable documents required by the RO Permit are attached as described:
Submittal of No. 2 Argon Baghouse Stack Test Results from the December 9, 2014 Test.

I certify that, based on information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, the statements and information in this report and the supporting enclosures are true, accurate and complete.

James R. Gray General Manager 313-749-2210
Name of Responsible Official (print or type) Title Phone Number
 30 Jan 15
Signature of Responsible Official Date

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc. (BTEC) was retained by United States Steel Corporation, Great Lakes Works (U. S. Steel) to evaluate Particulate Matter (PM) from the No. 2 Argon Stir Station / Ladle Metallurgical Furnace (No. 2 Argon/LMF) common Baghouse at the U. S. Steel facility located at No. 1 Quality Drive in Ecorse, Michigan. The testing is being performed as a compliance demonstration for permit No. 199600132d. The particulate testing program was conducted on December 9, 2014.

The No. 2 Argon/LMF Baghouse controls emissions from both the No. 2 Argon Stir Station and the LMF station. The testing consisted of triplicate 67.5-minute test runs. The results of the emission test program are summarized by Table I.

**Table I
Executive Summary Table PM Emission Rate Summary**

| Source | Emission Rate | Permit Limit |
|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|
| No. 2 Argon/LMF | 0.04 lb/hr | * |
| | 0.0001 gr/dscf | 0.005 gr/dscf |
| | 0.04 lb/heat | 0.180 lb/heat |

gr/dscf: Grains (particulate) per dry standard cubic foot

*: See section 1.b.

1. Introduction

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc. (BTEC) was retained by United States Steel Corporation (U. S. Steel) to evaluate Particulate Matter (PM) emission rates from the EGARGON-STIR baghouse serving the No. 2 Argon Stir Station operations located at the U. S. Steel Great Lakes Works facility in Ecorse, Michigan. The testing is being performed as a compliance demonstration for permit No. 199600132d. The compliance test program was conducted on December 9, 2014. The purpose of this report is to document the results of the test program.

AQD has published a guidance document entitled "Format for Submittal of Source Emission Test Plans and Reports" (December 2013). The following is a summary of the emissions test report in the format suggested by the AQD test plan format guide.

1.a Identification, Location, and Dates of Test

Sampling and analysis for the emission test program was conducted on December 9, 2014 at the U.S. Steel facility in Ecorse, Michigan. The test program included evaluation of PM emissions from the No. 2 Argon/LMF Baghouse.

1.b Purpose of Testing

Permit No. ROP 199600132d, issued by State of Michigan Division of Environmental Quality, governs this process.

The allowable particulate emission rate by permit is:

EGLMF-OPERATIONS - No. 2 Argon Stir Station / LMF Baghouse

0.005 gr/dscf (LMF and No. 2 Argon Stir Station)

1.077 lbs/heat (LMF Operation)

0.180 lbs/heat (No. 2 Argon Stir Station)

0.856 lbs/hr (LMF Material Handling Operation)

1.c Source Description

The No. 2 Argon/LMF Baghouse is a nine compartment, shaker type, positive pressure baghouse. Each of the compartments exhaust through a short 36"x 36" curved vent. A diagram of the baghouse exhaust is presented as Figure 2.



1.d Test Program Contact

The contact for the source and test plan is:

Mr. Todd Wessel
Senior Project Manager
BT Environmental Consulting, Inc.
4949 Fernlee Avenue
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073
Phone (616) 885-4013

Mr. Brad Wargnier
U. S. Steel Environmental
United States Steel Corporation
Great Lakes Works
No. 1 Quality Drive
Ecorse, Michigan 48229
(313) 749-2744

1.e Testing Personnel

Names and affiliations for personnel who were present during the testing program are summarized by Table 2.

**Table 1
Test Personnel**

| Name and Title | Affiliation | Telephone |
|---|---|------------------|
| Mr. Brad Wargnier Environmental Department | U.S. Steel No. 1 Quality Drive Ecorse, Michigan 48229 | (313) 749-2744 |
| Mr. Todd Wessel Senior Project Manager | BTEC 4949 Fernlee Avenue Royal Oak, MI 48073 | (248) 548-8072 |
| Mr. Kenny Felder Environmental Technician | BTEC 4949 Fernlee Avenue Royal Oak, MI 48073 | (248) 548-8072 |

2. Summary of Results

Sections 2.a through 2.d summarize the results of the emissions compliance test program.



2.a Operating Data

Operating data monitored includes flow of argon gas to the ladle, approximate start and stop times, reference and sequence number and baghouse pressure drop.

2.b Applicable Permit

Michigan Renewable Operating Permit Number 199600132d.

2.c Results

The overall results of the emission test program are summarized by Table 2 (see Section 5.a). Detailed results for each run can be found in Table 3.

2.d Emission Regulation Comparison

The results are summarized by Table 3 (section 5.a). Emission limits are summarized by Table 1 (section 1.b) and also in Table 3 (section 5.a).

3. Source Description

Sections 3.a through 3.e provide a detailed description of the process.

3.a Process Description

U.S. Steel is a fully integrated steel maker. The No. 2 Basic Oxygen Processing (BOP) is a facility that converts liquid iron to liquid steel. The No. 2 BOP has two top-blown conversion vessels along with other ancillary equipment. The liquid iron and steel scrap is charged in the vessels and oxygen is blown into the mixture for mixing, removal of carbon and other impurities. The now liquid steel is sometimes further process in the No. 2 BOP which may include the Ladle Metallurgy Facility (LMF) and the #2 Argon Stir Station.

Ladle Metallurgy occurs after the conversion process, when it is necessary to re-heat the liquid steel or alloy addition to the liquid steel prior to Casting. The LMF produces a higher quality liquid steel product. LMF is also necessary to adjust the steel temperature when the Caster is not ready to process.

The LMF consists of a Ladle Metallurgy Furnace, Bulk Material Storage Bins, and associated emission control system and equipment. The LMF station can perform argon stirring, ladle re-heating and/or alloy additions. The ladle will be placed on a ladle transfer car and will move to the LMF. If required, the proper amounts of fluxes will be fed into a hopper located above the ladle furnace. A metal sample will be taken for temperature and chemistry. The flux material will be charged into the ladle and stirred with Argon for a period of time to insure good mixing. Results from the sample will be recorded and processed to deliver the proper amount of alloys to meet the steel chemistry composition requirements.

Additional stirring and or heating will be required to insure proper alloy mixing and/or cast temperature. This cycle will vary with individual ladle metallurgy requirements. The approximate time of an entire cycle at the LMF and the No. 2 Argon Stir Station is 30 minutes.

After the process is completed, steel is conveyed to the Casters where the liquid steel is cast into a continuous solid steel slab.

3.b Process Instrumentation

The only process operating parameters relevant to the emissions test program is the baghouse pressure drop. Also note that one of the nine baghouse compartments was isolated for the testing event. This was done to represent the baghouse operating while maintenance or inspection was conducted on the isolated chamber.

4. Sampling and Analytical Procedures

Sections 4.a through 4.d provide a summary of the sampling and analytical procedures used.

4.a Sampling Train and Field Procedures

Measurement of exhaust gas velocity, molecular weight, and moisture content was conducted using the following reference test methods codified at Title 40, Part 60, Appendix A of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 60, Appendix A):

- Method 1 - *“Location of the Sampling Site and Sampling Points”*
- Method 2 - *“Determination of Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flowrate”*
- Method 3 - *“Determination of Molecular Weight of Dry Stack Gas”*
- Method 4 - *“Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gases”*
- Method 5D/17 - *“Determination of Particulate Emissions from Stationary Sources (In Stack Filtration)”*

Due to the majority of positive pressure Baghouses having low velocity pressure readings in each of the compartments it is necessary to perform a complete velocity traverse on the inlet duct leading to the Baghouse prior to each of the three tests. This was done to calculate the flow rate into and subsequently out of each compartment of the Baghouse. Subsequent to the velocity traverse BTEC calculated the average gas velocity at the measurement site (Baghouse compartment) utilizing equation 5D-1 of the 40 CFR part 60, Appendix A, Method 5D.

The inlet duct to the baghouse measures fifty one and a half (51.5) in diameter. Sixteen traverse points were determined as locations to measure the inlet volumetric flow in accordance with the provisions of the Method. Two (2) sample ports were utilized for the study, which resulted in the use of eight (8) traverse points for each port. A schematic of



the traverse points and number of diameters up-stream and down-stream is presented as Figure 1.

Molecular weight determinations were conducted according to Method 3. The equipment used for this evaluation consisted of a one-way squeeze bulb with connecting tubing and a set of Fyrite[®] combustion gas analyzers. Moisture content was determined from the condensate collected in the Method 5D/17 sampling train according to Method 4.

The sampling train for the baghouse exhaust followed the guidelines detailed in Method 5D/17. Once the gas velocity of each compartment was calculated, BTEC sampled each of the nine compartments (in sets of three) at nine (9) points per compartment. Each compartment was sampled for approximately twenty two and a half (22.5) minutes while either process (Argon Stirring or LMF) was active. A complete test consisted of sampling three of the nine compartments. During each test a compartment was isolated to simulate maintenance. Test run number one consisted on sampling compartments 9, 7 and 5. Test number two consisted of sampling compartments 8, 6 and 4. Test number three consisted of sampling compartments 1, 2 and 3.

Method 5D/17 was used to measure particulate concentrations and calculate particulate emission rates from the exhaust stack (see Figure 3 for sampling train schematic diagram) BTEC's Nutech[®] Model 2010 modular isokinetic stack sampling system consisted of (1) a stainless-steel button-hook nozzle, (2) a stainless steel in stack filter holder with a pre weighed glass fiber filter, (3) a steel sample probe with a tygon tubing transfer line, (4) a set of four Greenburg-Smith (GS) impingers with the first and third modified and second standard GS impingers each containing 100 ml of deionized water, and a fourth modified GS impinger containing approximately 300 g of silica gel desiccant, (5) a length of sample line, and (6) a Nutech[®] control case equipped with a pump, dry gas meter, and calibrated orifice.

BTEC's Nutech[®] Model 2010 modular isokinetic stack sampling system consisted of (1) a stainless-steel nozzle, (2) an in stack stainless-steel filter housing, (3) a steel probe, (4) a set of four Greenburg-Smith (GS) impingers with the first modified and second standard GS impingers each containing 100 ml of deionized water, and with a third dry modified GS impinger and a fourth modified GS impinger containing approximately 300 g of silica gel desiccant, (5) a length of sample line, and (6) a Nutech[®] control case equipped with a pump, dry gas meter, and calibrated orifice.

A sampling train leak test was conducted before and after each test run. After completion of the final leak test for each test run, the filter was recovered, and the nozzle and the front half of the filter holder assembly were brushed and triple rinsed with acetone. The acetone rinses were collected in a pre-cleaned sample container.

BTEC labeled each container with the test number, test location, and test date, and marked the level of liquid on the outside of the container. In addition blank samples of the acetone and filter were collected. BTEC personnel carried all samples to BTEC's laboratory (for filter and acetone gravimetric analysis) in Royal Oak, Michigan.

4.b Recovery and Analytical Procedures

Recovery and analytical procedures were described in Section 4.a.

4.c Sampling Ports

Sampling port and traverse point locations for the No. 2 Argon/LMF Baghouse inlet and exhaust stack are illustrated by Figures 1 and 2.

4.d Traverse Points

Sampling port and traverse point locations for the No. 2 Argon/LMF Baghouse inlet and exhaust stack are illustrated by Figures 1 and 2.

5. Test Results and Discussion

Sections 5.a through 5.k provide a summary of the test results.

5.a Results Tabulation

The results of the emissions test program are summarized by Table 2.

Table 2
Test Program PM Emission Rate Summary

| Source | Emission Rate | Permit Limit |
|-----------------|----------------|---------------|
| No. 2 Argon/LMF | 0.04 lb/hr | * |
| | 0.0001 gr/dscf | 0.005 gr/dscf |
| | 0.04 lb/heat | 0.180 lb/heat |

gr/dscf: Grains (particulate) per dry standard cubic foot

*: See section 1.b.

Detailed data for each test run can be found in Table 3.

5.b Discussion of Results

Emission limitations for Permit No. 199600132d are summarized by section 1b. The results of the emissions test program are summarized by Table 2 (see section 5.a). Detailed results for each run are summarized by Table 3.



5.c Sampling Procedure Variations

There was not any sampling procedure variations used during the emission compliance test program.

5.d Process or Control Device Upsets

No upset conditions occurred during testing.

5.e Control Device Maintenance

No maintenance was performed during the test program.

5.f Audit Sample Analyses

No audit samples were collected as part of the test program.

5.g Calibration Sheets

Relevant equipment calibration documents are provided as Appendix B.

5.h Sample Calculations

Sample calculations are provided in Appendix C.

5.i Field Data Sheets

Field documents relevant to the emissions test program are presented in Appendix A.

5.j Laboratory Data

Laboratory results for this test program are provided in Appendix D.

**Table 4
Particulate Matter Emission Rates**

| Company Source Designation Test Date | US Steel No.2 Argon / LMF | | | Average |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | 12/9/2014 | 12/9/2014 | 12/9/2014 | |
| Meter/Nozzle Information | | | | |
| Meter Temperature Tm (F) | 65.0 | 69.9 | 69.6 | 68.2 |
| Meter Pressure - Pm (in. Hg) | 29.7 | 29.6 | 29.7 | 29.7 |
| Measured Sample Volume (Vm) | 67.7 | 51.9 | 68.6 | 62.7 |
| Sample Volume (Vm-Std ft3) | 67.2 | 50.9 | 67.6 | 61.9 |
| Sample Volume (Vm-Std m3) | 1.90 | 1.44 | 1.91 | 1.75 |
| Condensate Volume (Vw-std) | 0.802 | 0.519 | 0.707 | 0.676 |
| Gas Density (Ps(std) lbs/ft3) (wet) | 0.0742 | 0.0742 | 0.0742 | 0.0742 |
| Gas Density (Ps(std) lbs/ft3) (dry) | 0.0745 | 0.0745 | 0.0745 | 0.0745 |
| Total weight of sampled gas (m g lbs) (wet) | 5.04 | 3.82 | 5.07 | 4.64 |
| Total weight of sampled gas (m g lbs) (dry) | 5.01 | 3.79 | 5.04 | 4.61 |
| Nozzle Size - An (sq. ft.) | 0.001363 | 0.001032 | 0.001363 | 0.001253 |
| Isokinetic Variation - I | 100.3 | 100.1 | 100.1 | 100.2 |
| Total Time Elapsed During Test Period (minutes) ¹ | 107.0 | 137.0 | 112.0 | 118.7 |
| Production Time During Test Period (minutes) ¹ | 77.5 | 76.0 | 74.5 | 76.0 |
| Non Production Time During Test Period (minutes) ¹ | 29.5 | 61.0 | 37.5 | 42.7 |
| Production Data | | | | |
| Heats / Run ² | 2.304 | 2.720 | 1.821 | 2.282 |
| Stack Data | | | | |
| Average Stack Temperature - Ts (F) | 91.4 | 90.9 | 87.5 | 90.0 |
| Molecular Weight Stack Gas- dry (Md) | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 | 28.8 |
| Molecular Weight Stack Gas-wet (Ms) | 28.7 | 28.7 | 28.7 | 28.7 |
| Stack Gas Specific Gravity (Gs) | 0.991 | 0.992 | 0.992 | 0.992 |
| Percent Moisture (Bws) | 1.18 | 1.01 | 1.04 | 1.07 |
| Water Vapor Volume (fraction) | 0.0118 | 0.0101 | 0.0104 | 0.0107 |
| Pressure - Ps ("Hg) | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 | 29.5 |
| Average Stack Velocity - Vs (ft/sec) | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| Area of Stack (ft2) | 72.0 | 72.0 | 72.0 | 72.0 |
| Exhaust Gas Flowrate | | | | |
| Flowrate ft ³ (Actual) | 56,164 | 56,195 | 56,124 | 56,161 |
| Flowrate ft ³ (Standard Wet) | 53,025 | 53,100 | 53,363 | 53,163 |
| Flowrate ft ³ (Standard Dry) | 52,400 | 52,565 | 52,811 | 52,592 |
| Flowrate m ³ (standard dry) | 1,484 | 1,488 | 1,495 | 1,489 |
| Total Particulate Weights (mg) | | | | |
| Nozzle/Probe/Filter | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.5 |
| Total Particulate Concentration | | | | |
| lb/1000 lb (wet) | 0.0000 | 0.0006 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| lb/1000 lb (dry) | 0.0000 | 0.0006 | 0.0003 | 0.0003 |
| mg/dscm (dry) | 0.0 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| lb/dscf (dry) | 0.00E+00 | 4.33E-08 | 1.96E-08 | 2.10E-08 |
| gr/dscf | 0.0000 | 0.0003 | 0.0001 | 0.0001 |
| Total Particulate Emission Rate | | | | |
| lb/ operating hr - during test | 0.00 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.07 |
| lb/ hr - Overall Including Non-operational Time | 0.00 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.04 |
| lb/ heat ³ | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.04 | 0.04 |

1: Test period = Time from initial time stamp to final time stamp and includes both sampling time and non sampling time

2: Please see Production data in Appendix E for a summary of Heats/Run

3: lb/ heat calculated using "lb / operating hr - during test"


$$(\text{lb/operating hr}) * (1 \text{ operating hr} / 60 \text{ minutes}) * (\text{Production time during test period}) / (\text{Heats/Run}) = \text{lb/heat}$$

Figures



diameter = 51.5 inches

| Points | Distance " |
|--------|------------|
| 1 | 1.6 |
| 2 | 5.4 |
| 3 | 9.9 |
| 4 | 16.5 |
| 5 | 34.5 |
| 6 | 41.1 |
| 7 | 45.7 |
| 8 | 49.4 |



Not to Scale




Figure No. 1



Site:
Inlet to the No. 2 Argon Strir / LMF BH
US Steel
Ecorse, Michigan

Sampling Date:
December 9, 2014

BT Environmental Consulting,
Inc.
4949 Fernlee Avenue
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073



Stack Dimensions: 36" X 36"



Not to Scale

| Points | Distance " |
|--------|------------|
| 1 | 6 |
| 2 | 18 |
| 3 | 30 |

Figure No. 2

Site:
No. 2 Argon Strir / LMF BH / Exhaust
US Steel
Ecorse, Michigan

Sampling Date:
December 9, 2014

BT Environmental Consulting,
Inc.
4949 Fernlee Avenue
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073

BTEC Inc.




Figure No. 3

Site:
USEPA Method 17
United States Steel Corp.
No. 2 Argon Stir Station / LMF Baghouse
Ecorse, Michigan

Sampling Date:
December 9, 2014

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc.
4949 Fernlee Avenue
Royal Oak, Michigan 48073