

DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AIR QUALITY DIVISION

ACTIVITY REPORT: Scheduled Inspection

R. I.	รร	۵,	13	~	Ā	۵	4

FACILITY: Custom Crushing & F	Recycle, Inc.	SRN / ID: N6664		
LOCATION: 978-64th STREET	SW, BYRON CENTER	DISTRICT: Grand Rapids		
CITY: BYRON CENTER		COUNTY: KENT		
CONTACT: Mark Fennema, Op	eration Manager	ACTIVITY DATE: 05/29/2014		
STAFF: April Lazzaro	COMPLIANCE STATUS: Non Compliance	SOURCE CLASS: MINOR		
SUBJECT: Unannounced, sched	duled inspection.			
RESOLVED COMPLAINTS:				

Staff, April Lazzaro arrived at the facility to conduct an unannounced, scheduled inspection and met with Mark Fennema. Mr. Fennema was presented with the DEQ Environmental Inspections: Rights and Responsibilities brochure and its contents were discussed. Next I asked why the MAERS has not been submitted. I stated that I was about to send another letter, and that it was not good that it has not been submitted. Mr. Fennema stated that he thought that Chad Arp had already submitted it and I told him that the database has not even been opened. He stated he would fix this immediately.

We proceeded to do an inspection of the facility which was overall in good physical shape. All conveyors and equipment were easily identified by the number on the side. However, the Eagle impact crusher dated 2005 is not permitted. A file review indicates that a previous AQD inspector asked for this to be permitted in 2009 and it never was. It appears as though the unit was visible emissions (VE) tested.

A Violation Notice (VN) will be issued for the Eagle impact crusher (serial # 3233) installation without modifying the General Permit to Install No. 374-99.

Records of tonnage are being kept on site per company policy- daily totals are taken from the scale. A copy of the permit was provided and place in the trailer. The jaw was a bit dusty and it was mentioned to Mr. Fennema that it could be better controlled, but was something to keep an eye on.

Staff made contact with Julie Fennema as a follow-up to the inspection to discuss permitting. She also remembered permitting being an issue with a former AQD inspector, but thought it had been addressed. I informed her that I could not find evidence or paperwork that the permitting issue had been corrected. Therefore, she was informed that a VN will be sent. I stated that she can call for help with permitting any time. I also recommended that the company conduct an inventory so if there is any other equipment in need of permitting, it can be added now as well.

Ms. Fennema provided throughput tonnage year-to-date for concrete 74,258 and RAP 42,842. These values indicate compliance with the permitted limits.

The facility was in non-compliance at the time of the inspection.

NAME (Selfangran)

DATE (1-14)

SUPERVISOR_