Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) Plan Particulate Matter Controlled Using an Electrostatic Precipitator

National Energy of Lincoln, LLC 509 W State St. Lincoln, Michigan 48742

Renewable Operating Permit No. MI-ROP-N0890-2020

September 1, 2020

Compliance Assurance Monitoring (CAM) Plan National Energy of Lincoln

I. Background

A. Emission Unit

Description: Wood and alternate fuel-fired boiler

Source ID: EUBOILER

Facility: National Energy of Lincoln

509 W. State St.

Lincoln, Michigan 48742

B. Applicable Regulation, Emissions Limit and Monitoring Requirements

Permit No. MI-ROP-N0890-2020

Applicable Regulation: 40 CFR 60 Subpart Db

Emission Limit: $PM_{10} = 0.10 \text{ lb/MMBTU}$

Opacity: 20% opacity on six minute average, up to

27% on six minute average once per hour

Monitoring Requirements: Continuous opacity monitoring with certified

COMS system

C. Control Technology:

Multiple cyclonic collector (DVMCC) and 3-field electrostatic precipitator (DVESP). Pre-control emissions of PM_{10} are more than 100 tons per year. Post-control emissions are limited to 98.9 tons per year. Results from Stack Testing of Post-control PM10 emissions are less than 10 TPY.

II. Monitoring Approach

The key elements of the monitoring approach for PM, including parameters to be monitored, parameter ranges and performance criteria are presented in Table I. The primary performance indicators will be opacity, which is currently being monitoring with a continuous opacity monitoring system (COMS). The secondary criteria to be examined in the event of a COMS excursion will be the secondary voltage for the precipitator.

Table 1 Monitoring Approach

	Primary Indicator	Secondary Indicator
I. Indicator	Opacity	ESP Voltage and Current
Measurement	The opacity is continuously	These parameters are monitored
Approach	monitored using a COMS	and recorded for each field.
II. Indicator Range	An excursion is defined as two	If the opacity threshold is
	consecutive 1-hour block	exceeded, voltage and current
	average opacity values greater	will be examined and
	than 15%. Excursions trigger an	corrections made. Corrections
	evaluation of the secondary	will be made if not in normal
	indicators, which is secondary	operating range.
	voltage.	
QIP Threshold	A QIP will be required if the	A QIP will be required if the
	total duration of opacity	total duration of opacity
	excursions is greater than 5% of	excursions is greater than 5% of
	the total boiler operating time	the total boiler operating time
	during the reporting period	during the reporting period
	(excluding startup and	(excluding startup and
	shutdown).	shutdown).
III. Performance Criteria		
A. Data	The COMS is located on the	The secondary voltage is
Representativeness	exhaust stack downstream of the	monitored using equipment
	ESP.	provided with the ESP.
B. Verification of	The COMS is installed and	The gauges are installed and
Operational Status	operational.	operational.
C. QA/QC Practices	The COMS has been certified in	Facility personnel verify daily
	accordance with 40 CFR 60, PS-	that the readings drop to zero
	1. Accuracy is verified during	during calibration cycling on
	daily calibration checks and	the COMS.
	during annual audits conducted	
	in accordance with the permit	
	conditions.	
D. Monitoring	The COMS provides continuous	Voltage and current readings
Frequency	opacity readings.	are recorded.
Data Collection	The COMS shall be used to	Voltage and current reading are
Procedures	assure compliance with the PM	recorded and saved.
	limit. An excursion for PM shall	
	be 2 consecutive 1-hour block	
	average opacity values greater	
	than 15% opacity.	
Averaging Period	6-minute	NA

IV. Justification

The pollutant-specific emission unit is a 230 mmBtu/hr wood and alternate fuel fired boiler. The exhaust from the boiler passes through a multiple cyclonic collector to remove larger-size particulate, then through a 3-field electrostatic precipitator (ESP). The boiler is not a "large" CAM source (post-control PM emissions are less than 100 tons per year) so continuous monitoring is not required. However, the opacity is currently monitored using a COMS, so the monitoring approach in this CAM Plan expands the existing COMS monitoring approach to evaluate ESP parameters in the event of an opacity excursion. The facility also maintains an Emissions Minimization Plan that describes the pollution control equipment and provides procedures for minimizing the pollutants addressed in the air permit.

A. Rationale for Selection of Performance Indicators

Opacity from a fuel burning device is an indicator of PM control device performance. Additionally, the language in 40 CFR 64 (CAM rules) presumes the use of an opacity monitor as indicative of PM emissions rates. The vacated boiler MACT standard also used opacity as the performance indicator of continuous compliance for ESPs. The use of a COMS represents presumptively acceptable monitoring for PM limits.

The other parameter that is most indicative of the performance of an ESP is the voltage and current ranges. When the voltage drops, less particulate is charged and collected and more work is required of the following fields, thus decreasing the secondary voltage in those fields. Ensuring that the voltage and current do not deviate from the normal operating range will provide a reasonable assurance that the ESP is functioning properly. The Emissions Minimization Plan will also specify operator actions to minimize emissions during startup/shutdown and malfunction modes. Operator actions to minimize emissions during normal operation include basic actions such as responding to opacity alarms and taking corrective action to minimize emissions.

B. Rationale for Selection of Indicator Ranges

The facility already has internal criteria in place that trigger an evaluation of ESP control parameters when two consecutive 1-hour block average opacity reading of 15% is observed. If an excursion above 15% opacity is observed, an evaluation of the ESP voltage and current will be conducted and corrections will be made.

The selected QIP threshold for Opacity excursions is 5% of the operating time during the reporting period, exempting startups and shutdowns. If this QIP threshold is exceeded in a semiannual reporting period, a QIP will be developed and implemented.

C. Performance Test

Performance testing was done on July 28, 2020.

Test data results for PM-10: 0.0084 Lbs/MMBTU. Well below the limit of 0.1 Lbs/MMBTU

Review and updates on CAM Plan

Date	Updated Info	Signature
January 18, 2013	Per Gloria Torello: changed Data Collectic Procedures from (The COMS collects opac Readings continuously and complies this d Averaged into 6 minute average values. C Compliance with the PM limit. An excursi PM shall be 2 consecutive 1-hour blocks	city lata COMS shall be used to assure
May 2014	No changes	
May 13, 2015	No changes	
March 30, 2016	Updated ROP number from 2008 to 2013 Updated Performance test date to Sept 201 results	5 and
March 16, 2017	No changes	
February 20, 2018	No changes	
Aug. 19, 2019	No Changes	
September 1, 2024	Change facility name, ROP#, update stack	test results