Relative Accuracy Test Audit Test Report for the Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems at the Central Power Plant

Prepared for: University of Michigan Central Power Plant SRN M0675

ICT Project No.: 2300079 May 2, 2023



Executive Summary

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN - CENTRAL POWER PLANT RELATIVE ACCURACY TEST AUDIT RESULTS

The University of Michigan (University) contracted Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. (ICT) to conduct a Relative Accuracy Test Audit (RATA) of nitrogen oxides (NOx) and oxygen (O₂) continuous emission monitoring systems (CEMS) associated with the exhausts of three (3) dual fuel (natural gas or No. 2 fuel oil) fired boilers (EUB0260-03, EUB0260-04 and EUB0260-06) and one (1) dual fuel fired turbine with an associated heat recovery steam generator (EUCPP-CHPHRSG) at the Central Power Plant located in Ann Arbor, Washtenaw County, Michigan.

The compliance emission testing was performed pursuant to conditions of Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy – Air Quality Division (EGLE-AQD) Renewable Operating Permit (ROP) No. MI-ROP-M0675-2021b, 40 CFR Part 60 and 40 CFR Part 75. The following tables present the emissions results and operating data from the performance demonstration.

Unit ID	Steam Load klb/hr	Fuel Use kscfh	NO _x ppmvd at 15% O ₂ RATA %	O2 %, dry RATA [d']
EUCPP-CHPHRSG	90.5	119.6	3	0.1
Allowable Standard	-	-	10	1.0

klb=1,000 pounds, hr=hour, kscfh=1,000 cubic feet per hour, [d']=average mean difference

Unit ID	Steam Load klb/hr	Fuel Use kscfh	NO _x Ib/MMBtu RATA %	O2 %, dry RATA [d']
EUB0260-03	109.2	133.8	1.3	0.1
EUB0260-04	112.6	136.9	2.5	0.0
EUB0260-06	125.2	163.9	3.4	0.1
Allowable Standard	-	-	7.5	0.7

klb=1,000 pounds, hr=hour, kscfh=1,000 cubic feet per hour, [d']=average mean difference

The data above indicate that the NO_x and O₂ RATAs demonstrated compliance with the 40 CFR Part 60 or 40 CFR Part 75 allowable standards for each emission unit. The results of the RATAs for the emission units subject to 40 CFR Part 75 demonstrated compliance with the emission standards that allow for repeat testing to be performed on an annual basis.



Last Updated: May 2, 2023

Report Certification

Relative Accuracy Test Audit Test Report for the Continuous Emission Monitoring Systems at the Central Power Plant

University of Michigan Central Power Plant Ann Arbor, MI

Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. is an Air Emissions Testing Body (AETB) that conforms with ASTM D7036–12.

Andy Rusnak is a Qualified Individual (QI) as defined by ASTM D7036-12 and oversaw all portions of the emissions testing.

The material and data in this document were prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.

Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc.

Andy Rusnak, QSTI, QI Technical Manager



Last Updated: May 2, 2023

Table of Contents

1.0	INTRODUCTION	6
2.0	SUMMARY OF TEST RESULTS AND OPERATING CONDITIONS2.1 Purpose and Objective of the Tests2.2 Operating Conditions During the RATAs2.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results	7 7 8
3.0	SOURCE AND SAMPLING LOCATION DESCRIPTION.3.1 General Process Description3.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls3.3 Sampling Locations	10 10 10 10
4.0	 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES. 4.1 Measurement of O₂ Content (USEPA Method 3A)	11 12 12 12 13
5.0	QA/QC ACTIVITIES.5.1 NOx Converter Efficiency Test5.2 Gas Divider Certification (USEPA Method 205).5.3 Instrumental Analyzer Interference Check5.4 Instrument Calibration and System Bias Checks5.5 Determination of Exhaust Gas Stratification5.6 System Response Time	14 14 14 14 14 15
6.0	 RESULTS 6.1 Test Results and Allowable Standards 6.2 Variations from Normal Sampling Procedures or Operating Conditions 	16 16 16



List of Tables

2.1	Average Boiler Operating Conditions During the Test Periods	9
2.2	40 CFR Part 60 RATA Results (Nine-Test Average)	9
2.3	40 CFR Part 75 RATA Results (Nine-Test Average)	9
6.1	Acceptable RATA Criteria	. 16
6.2	NOx Corrected Concentration RATA for Boiler No. 5 Exhaust	. 18
6.3	O ₂ RATA for Boiler No. 5 Exhaust	. 19
6.4	NOx Emission Rate RATA for Boiler No. 6 Exhaust	. 20
6.5	O ₂ RATA for Boiler No. 6 Exhaust	. 21
6.6	NOx Emission Rate RATA for Boiler No. 4 Exhaust	. 22
6.7	O ₂ RATA for Boiler No. 4 Exhaust	. 23
6.8	NOx Emission Rate RATA for Boiler No. 3 Exhaust	. 24
6.9	O ₂ RATA for Boiler No. 3 Exhaust	. 25

List of Appendices

APPENDIX 1	APPROVED TEST PROTOCOL AND EGLE REPORT
	GUIDELINES
APPENDIX 2	OPERATING RECORDS
APPENDIX 3	SAMPLING LOCATION PHOTOGRAPHS
APPENDIX 4	POLLUTANT CALCULATIONS
APPENDIX 5	INSTRUMENTAL ANALYZER RAW DATA
APPENDIX 6	QA/QC RECORDS



1.0 Introduction

The University operates a three (3) dual fuel (natural gas or No. 2 fuel oil) fired boilers (EUB0260-03, EUB0260-04 and EUB0260-06) and one (1) dual fuel fired turbine with an associated heat recovery steam generator (EUCPP-CHPHRSG) that are required to have CEMS installed on the respective exhaust gas streams at the Central Power Plant located in Ann Arbor, Washtenaw County, Michigan.

The University has been issued ROP No. MI-ROP-M6075-2021b by the EGLE-AQD for the operation of equipment installed at the source. The three (3) referenced boilers have NOx and oxygen O₂ CEMS installed in their respective exhaust gas streams. Conditions of the ROP require the University to conduct RATAs on the installed CEMS in accordance with 40 CFR Part 75. The referenced turbine and heat recovery steam generator (HRSG) has NOx and O2 CEMS installed on the exhaust gas stream. Conditions of the ROP require the University to conduct RATAs in accordance with 40 CFR Part 75.

The compliance testing presented in this report was performed by ICT, a Michigan-based Air Emissions Testing Body (AETB). ICT representatives Andy Rusnak and Tyler Wilson performed the field sampling and measurements March 21 - 24, 2023. Mr. Daniel J. Droste and Ms. Diane Kavanaugh of EGLE-AQD were on-site to observe portions of the emissions testing.

Each RATA consisted of nine, 21-minute sampling periods for nitrogen oxides (NOx), and oxygen (O₂) content to calculate pollutant mass emission rates.

The exhaust gas sampling and analysis was performed using procedures specified in the Stack Test Protocol dated February 14, 2023 and the EGLE response letter dated February 28, 2023. The following items provide information required in EGLE-AQD "Format for Submittal of Source Emission Test Plans and Reports," dated November 2019.

Appendix 1 contains a copy of the test protocol approval letter and the test protocol and a copy of the EGLE format for Test Plans and Report.

Questions regarding this air emission test report should be directed to:

Andy Rusnak, QSTI, QI Technical Manager Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc. 4180 Keller Road, Suite B Holt, MI 48842 (517) 481-3283 andy.rusnak@impactcandt.com Mr. Stephen O'Rielly Manager, Environmental Protection & Permitting Program Environment, Health & Safety University of Michigan 1239 Kipke Drive Ann Arbor, MI 48109-1010 (734) 763-4642 sorielly@umich.edu



2.0 Summary of Test Results and Operating Conditions

2.1 Purpose and Objective of the Tests

Conditions of MI-ROP-M6075-2021b and 40 CFR Part 60, Appendix A require the University to perform a RATA on the NOx and O_2 CEMS installed on the exhaust of EUCPP-CHPHRSG. EUCPP-CHPHRSG is also referred to as Boiler No. 5 in this report and by University representatives.

Conditions of MI-ROP-M6075-2021b and 40 CFR Part 75, Appendix A and B require the University to perform a RATA on the NOx and O_2 CEMS installed on the exhausts of EUB0260-03, EUB0260-04 and EUB0260-06. EUB0260-03, EUB0260-04 and EUB0260-06 are also referred to as Boiler Nos. 3, 4 and 6, respectively, in this report and by University representatives.

2.2 Operating Conditions During the RATAs

Fuel flowrate (kscfh) and steam output (klb/hr) were recorded by University representatives on 1-minute increments for each test period. Heat input (MMBtu/hr) was calculated using the fuel flowrate and 40 CFR Part 98 Table C-1 default higher heating value (HHV) for natural gas of 1,028 Btu/scf and the following equation:

Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) = Fuel Use (kscfh) * Fuel HHV (Btu/scf) / 10³

The RATA performed on the CEM system for Boiler No. 5 was performed while the boiler was operated at greater than 50% of maximum load. The boiler combusted an average of 119.6 kscfh and produced approximately 90.5 klb/hr of steam. The calculated heat input is 122.9 MMBtu/hr.

The RATA performed on the CEM system for Boiler No. 3 was performed while the boiler was operated at normal Part 75 boiler load. The University has determined that the normal operating load for the boiler is between 97 and 150 klb/hr of steam output. The boiler combusted an average of 133.8 kscfh and produced approximately 109.2 klb/hr of steam. The calculated heat input is 137.5 MMBtu/hr.

The RATA performed on the CEM system for Boiler No. 4 was performed while the boiler was operated at normal Part 75 boiler load. The University has determined that the normal operating load for the boiler is between 97 and 150 klb/hr of steam output. The boiler combusted an average of 136.9 kscfh and produced approximately 112.6 klb/hr of steam. The calculated heat input is 140.7 MMBtu/hr.

The RATA performed on the CEM system for Boiler No. 6 was performed while the boiler was operated at normal Part 75 boiler load. The University has determined that the normal operating load for the boiler is between 115 and 178 klb/hr of steam output. The boiler combusted an average of 163.9 kscfh and produced approximately 125.2 klb/hr of steam. The calculated heat input is 168.5 MMBtu/hr.

Appendix 2 provides operating records provided by University representatives for the test periods.



Last Updated: May 2, 2023

Table 2.1 presents a summary of the average boiler operating conditions during the test periods.

2.3 Summary of Air Pollutant Sampling Results

The gases exhausted from Boiler No. 5 were sampled for nine (9) twenty-one minute test periods during the compliance testing performed March 21, 2023. The gases exhausted from Boiler No. 6 were sampled for nine (9) twenty-one minute test periods during the compliance testing performed March 22, 2023. The gases exhausted from Boiler No. 4 were sampled for nine (9) twenty-one minute test periods during the compliance testing performed March 23, 2023. The gases exhausted from Boiler No. 3 were sampled for nine (9) twenty-one minute test periods during the compliance testing performed March 23, 2023. The gases exhausted from Boiler No. 3 were sampled for nine (9) twenty-one minute test periods during the compliance testing performed March 24, 2023.

Table 2.2 presents a summary of the results of the NO_X and O_2 RATA performed on Boiler No. 5.

Table 2.3 presents a summary of the results of the NO_X and O_2 RATAs performed on Boiler Nos. 3, 4 and 6.

Appendix 2 provides the CEMS output, provided by University representatives, for the test periods.

Test results for each twenty-one minute sampling period are presented in Section 6.0 of this report.



Table 2.1 Average Boiler Operating Conditions During the Test Periods

Boiler Parameter	EUCPP- CHPHRSG (Boiler No. 5)	EUB0260-03 (Boiler No. 3)	EUB0260-04 (Boiler No. 4)	EUB0260-06 (Boiler No. 6)
Natural Gas Flow (kscfh)	119.6	133.8	136.9	163.9
Steam Flow (klb/hr)	90.5	109.2	112.6	125.2
Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)	122.9	137.5	140.7	168.5

Table 2.2 40 CFR Part 60 RATA Results (Nine-Test Average)

	N	lO _x – ppmvd _@ ∕	15% O2	O2 - %dry			
Emission Unit	CEM	Reference Method	Relative Accuracy	CEM	Reference Method	Relative Accuracy	
EUCPP-CHPHRSG	5.06	5.51	3%	13.3	13.4	0.1 ^A	
Permit Limit	25	25	10%	-	-	1.0 ^A	

Notes for Table 2.2:

A – Mean difference.

Table 2.3 40 CFR Part 75 RATA Results (Nine-Test Average)

	NO _x – Ib/MMBtu			O2 - %dry			
Emission Unit	CEM	Reference Method	Relative Accuracy	CEM	Reference Method	Relative Accuracy	
EUB0260-03	0.215	0.212	1.3%	4.30	4.42	0.1 ^A	
EUB0260-04	0.149	0.149	2.5%	3.84	3.83	0.0 ^A	
EUB0260-06	0.043	0.044	3.4%	4.56	4.63	0.1 ^A	
Permit Limit	В	В	7.5%	0.7 ⁴			

Notes for Table 2.2:

A - Mean difference.

B - Permit Limit for Boiler Nos. 3 and 4 is 0.55 lb/MMBtu (on a 24-hr average) and Boiler No. 6 is 0.10 lb/MMBtu (on

a 24-hr rolling time period).



3.0 Source and Sampling Location Description

3.1 General Process Description

The University operates a three (3) dual fuel (natural gas or No. 2 fuel oil) fired boilers (EUB0260-03, EUB0260-04 and EUB0260-06) and one (1) dual fuel fired turbine with an associated heat recovery steam generator (EUCPP-CHPHRSG).

EUB0260-03, EUB0260-04 and EUB0260-06 and EUCPP-CHPHRSG generate steam and electricity for use by various University campus buildings. Steam is routed to the University's underground steam delivery system and to various campus buildings to provide thermal energy to heat the buildings during winter and air conditioning in the summer.

3.2 Rated Capacities and Air Emission Controls

EUB0260-03 and EUB0260-04 both have rated heat inputs of 300 MMBtu/hr. The boilers are not equipped with add-on control equipment. Exhaust gas is exhausted to the atmosphere through a combined exhaust stack (SV-B0260-01 or SV-B0260-02).

EUB0260-06 has a rated heat input of 376 MMBtu/hr. The boiler utilizes low-NO_x burners and flue gas recirculation to reduce NO_x emissions. Exhaust gas is exhausted to the atmosphere through a combined exhaust stack (SV-B0260-02).

The EUCPP-CHPHRSG turbine has a rated heat input of 190.1 MMBtu/hr when firing natural gas and 173.4 MMBtu/hr when firing No. 2 fuel oil. The associated electricity generator has a rated output of 15.8 MW. The EUCPP-CHPHRSG duct burner (Boiler No. 5) has a rated heat input of 112 MMBtu/hr. The turbine and boiler are equipped with dry low-NO_x combustion technology and a selective catalytic reduction (SCR) system to reduce NO_x emissions. Exhaust gas is exhausted to the atmosphere through a combined exhaust stack (SV-B0260-01 or SV-B0260-02).

3.3 Sampling Locations

The exhaust gas from each boiler is released to the atmosphere through combined exhaust stacks, SV-B0260-01 or SV-B0260-02. The exhaust stacks have vertical release points. The RATAs were performed in the dedicated exhaust duct for each boiler, prior to tying into a common header duct. The reference analyzer sample probe was installed in a sample port that was accessible and immediately adjacent to the CEM system sample probe. The sample locations are greater than 2 diameters downstream from the point of pollutant generation and greater than 0.5 diameters upstream from the effluent exhaust.

The exhaust ducts for Boiler Nos. 3 and 4 are identical and have approximate dimensions of 64.5" x 135". The calculated equivalent diameter is 87.3".

The exhaust duct for Boiler Nos. 5 has an approximate diameter of 76".

The exhaust duct for Boiler No. 6 has approximate dimensions of 64" x 128". The calculated equivalent diameter is 85.3".

Appendix 3 provides photographs of the reference analyzer and CEM sampling locations.



4.0 Sampling and Analytical Procedures

A test protocol for the air emission testing was reviewed and approved by the EGLE-AQD. This section provides a summary of the sampling and analytical procedures that were used during the testing periods.

The exhaust gas from the emission units was sampled and analyzed to determine the concentration of NO_x in parts per million by volume dry basis (ppmvd) and O_2 in percent (%) by volume, dry basis.

For the 40 CFR Part 75 RATA the NO_x emission rate was calculated in units of pounds per million British thermal units (lb/MMBtu) using procedures specified in USEPA Reference Test Method 19, the measured natural gas use rate, exhaust gas oxygen concentration and default F-factor value and heat content for natural gas.

For the 40 CFR Part 60 RATA the measured NOx concentration (ppmvd) was corrected to ppmvd at 15% oxygen (ppmvd @ 15% O₂).

The following table presents test methods that were used to measure the specified pollutant emissions and exhaust parameters.

Parameter / Analyte	Sampling Methodology	Analytical Methodology
O ₂	USEPA Method 3A	Zirconia ion or paramagnetic detector
NO _x	USEPA Method 7E	Chemiluminescence instrumental analyzer
NO _x RATA	Performance Specification 2	Specifications for NO _x CEMS RATAs
O2 RATA	Performance Specification 3	Specifications for O ₂ CEMS RATAs
NO _x Emission Rate	USEPA Method 19	Specifications for determining NO _x emission rates

In addition to the sampling and analytical methods presented in the table above, USEPA Method 205, "Verification of Dilution Systems for Field Instrument Calibrations", was used to verify dilution system linearity for the gas divider that was used during the 40 CFR Part 60 RATA (gas divider was not used during the 40 CFR Part 75 RATAs).



4.1 Measurement of O₂ Content (USEPA Method 3A)

Exhaust O_2 content measurements was performed concurrently during each air pollutant sampling period using an instrumental analyzer in accordance with Method 3A. A Servomex Model 4900 gas analyzer that uses a paramagnetic sensor was used to measure the exhaust gas O_2 content.

A continuous sample of the exhaust gas was delivered to the instrumental analyzers using an extractive gas sampling system that prevents condensation or contamination of the sample. The exhaust gas samples were conditioned (i.e., dried) prior to being introduced to the instrumental analyzer.

The instrumental analyzer was calibrated using EPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of O_2 in nitrogen. For the 40 CFR Part 60 RATA the calibration gas was diluted (using a certified gas divider) with nitrogen to obtain intermediate O_2 concentrations and to demonstrate linearity of the instrumental analyzer.

Appendix 4 provides O₂ calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

4.2 Measurement of NOx Concentration (USEPA Method 7E)

Exhaust NOx concentrations were determined during each test run sample period using a NO-NO₂-NO_x analyzer that utilizes chemiluminescence technology (Thermo Environmental Instruments, Inc. (TEI) Model 42i) in accordance with USEPA Method 7E.

A continuous sample of the exhaust gas was delivered to the instrumental analyzer using an extractive gas sampling system that prevents condensation or contamination of the sample. The exhaust gas samples were conditioned (i.e., dried) prior to being introduced to the instrumental analyzer. Therefore, NOx measurements correspond to standard conditions with moisture correction (dry basis).

The instrumental analyzer was calibrated using certified concentrations of NOx in nitrogen. For the 40 CFR Part 60 RATA the calibration gas was diluted (using a certified gas divider) with nitrogen to obtain intermediate NOx concentrations and to demonstrate linearity of the instrumental analyzer.

Appendix 4 provides NO_X calculation sheets. Raw instrument response data are provided in Appendix 5.

4.3 Relative Accuracy Performance Specifications (PS2 and PS3)

The RA was calculated for each CEMS using the equations in Performance Specifications 2 and 3.



For the 40 CFR Part 75 RATA the performance of the CEMS satisfy the performance specification for repeat annual testing when the:

- Calculated O_2 RA is less than or equal to 7.5% of the Reference Method (RM) or within 0.7% O_2 .
- Calculated NOx RA is less than or equal to 7.5% of the RM or within 0.015 lb/MMBtu.

For the 40 CFR Part 60 RATA the performance of the CEMS satisfy the performance specification when the:

- Calculated O₂ RA is no greater than 1% O₂.
- Calculated NO_x RA is no greater than 20% of the RM or 10% if using the actual emission standard (AS) in the denominator of the RA calculation.

4.4 Mass Emission Rate Calculations (USEPA Method 19)

The Boiler Nos. 3, 4 and 6 NOx mass emission rate (lb/MMBtu) was calculated using the measured concentrations and appropriate fuel F factors (ratio of combustion gas volume to heat input) as described in USEPA Method 19.

The fuel used in the boilers was pipeline natural gas, which has a published default F-factor in USEPA Method 19, Table 19-2. Exhaust gas oxygen content and NO_x concentrations were measured on a dry gas basis. Therefore, the NOx emission factor, E (Ib/MMBtu) was calculated using Equation 19-1:

 $E = (C_d) \times (F_d) \times (20.9) / (20.9 - \%O_2)$

E	=	Calculated	emission	factor,	lb/MMBtu	
---	---	------------	----------	---------	----------	--

- C_d = Measured concentration, dry basis, lb/scf
- F_d = 8,710 dscf/MMBtu for natural gas
- $%O_2$ = Measured oxygen content, dry basis, %vol.

The heat input (MMBtu/hr) of the boiler was calculated using the measured natural gas use rate (kscfh) and the HHV of the fuel. The fuel used in the boilers is pipeline-quality natural gas which has a published heating value (40 CFR Part 98 Table C-1). The default heating value for natural gas is 1.028E-03 MMBtu/scf.

Boiler Heat Input (MMBtu/hr) = fuel use (kscfh) * (1.028E-3 MMBtu/scf) * (1,000 scf/kscf)



5.0 QA/QC Activities

5.1 NOx Converter Efficiency Test

The NO₂ – NO conversion efficiency of the Model 42i analyzer was verified prior to the RATAS. A USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentration of NO₂ was injected directly into the analyzer, following the initial three-point calibration, to verify the analyzer's conversion efficiency. The analyzer's NO₂ – NO converter uses a catalyst at high temperatures to convert the NO₂ to NO for measurement. The conversion efficiency of the analyzer is deemed acceptable if the measured NOx concentration is within 90% of the expected value.

The $NO_2 - NO$ conversion efficiency test satisfied the USEPA Method 7E criteria (measured NOx concentration was 95.2% of the expected value).

5.2 Gas Divider Certification (USEPA Method 205)

A STEC Model SGD-710C 10-step gas divider was used during the 40 CFR Part 60 RATA to obtain appropriate calibration span gases. The ten-step STEC gas divider was NIST certified (within the last 12 months) with a primary flow standard in accordance with Method 205. When cut with an appropriate zero gas, the ten-step STEC gas divider delivers calibration gas values ranging from 0% to 100% (in 10% step increments) of the USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gas introduced into the system. The field evaluation procedures presented in Section 3.2 of Method 205 were followed prior to use of gas divider.

5.3 Instrumental Analyzer Interference Check

The instrumental analyzers used to measure NOx and O₂ have had an interference response test preformed prior to their use in the field, pursuant to the interference response test procedures specified in USEPA Method 7E. The appropriate interference test gases (i.e., gases that would be encountered in the exhaust gas stream) were introduced into each analyzer, separately and as a mixture with the analyte that each analyzer is designed to measure. All of analyzers exhibited a composite deviation of less than 2.5% of the span for all measured interferent gases. No major analytical components of the analyzers have been replaced since performing the original interference tests.

5.4 Instrument Calibration and System Bias Checks

At the beginning of each day of the testing program, initial three-point instrument calibrations were performed for the NOx and O₂ analyzers by injecting calibration gas directly into the inlet sample port for each instrument. System bias checks were performed prior to and at the conclusion of each sampling period by introducing an appropriate upscale calibration gas and zero gas into the sampling system (at the base of the stainless-steel sampling probes prior to the particulate filter and Teflon® heated sample line) and verifying the instrument response against the initial instrument calibration readings.

The instruments were calibrated with USEPA Protocol 1 certified concentrations of O₂ and NOx in nitrogen and zeroed using nitrogen. A STEC Model SGD-710C 10-step gas divider was used for the 40 CFR Part 60 RATA to obtain intermediate calibration gas concentrations as needed.



5.5 Determination of Exhaust Gas Stratification

Sampling points were determined using the procedures specified in PS2 Section 8.1.3.2. Stratification across the ducts was not expected. Three (3) stainless-steel sample probes attached to a 3-way valve were positioned at three sample points located at 16.7%, 50.0%, and 83.3% of the duct diameter. Sampling occured for an equal time at each point during the sample periods.

The recorded concentration data for the boilers exhaust stacks indicated that the measured NOx and O₂ concentrations did not vary by more than 5% of the mean across the duct diameter. Therefore, each boiler exhaust gas stream was considered to be unstratified.

5.6 System Response Time

The response time of the sampling system was determined prior to the RATAs by introducing upscale gas and zero gas, in series, into the sampling system using a tee connection at the base of the sample probe. The elapsed time for the analyzer to display a reading of 95% of the expected concentration was determined using a stopwatch.

Sampling periods did not commence until the sampling probe had been in place for at least twice the greatest system response time.

Appendix 6 presents test equipment quality assurance data ($NO_2 - NO$ conversion efficiency test data, instrument calibration and system bias check records, calibration gas and gas divider certifications, interference test results and stratification checks).



6.1 Test Results and Allowable Standards

Emission measurement results for each RATA test period are presented in Table 6.2 through 6.9.

Table 6.1 presents the acceptable criteria for the RATAs performed on each individual boiler.

Table 6.1 Acceptable RATA Criteria

Pollutants and Limits	
Facility ID	Annual RATA Requirements
Boiler Nos. 3, 4 and 6	O ₂ (% _{dry}) - ≤7.5% RM or ± 0.7% NO _x (ppmvd) - ≤7.5 % RM or ± 12 ppmvd NO _x (lb/MMBtu) - ≤7.5% RM or ± 0.015 lb/MMBtu
Turbine and Boiler No. 5	O ₂ (% _{dry}) - ± 1.0% NO _x (ppmvd) - ≤20% RM or ≤10% AS

RM – Reference Method, AS – Actual Emission Standard

Each RATA demonstrated compliance with the respective allowable standards listed in Table 6.1. For the 40 CFR Part 60 RATA the actual emission standard (25 ppmvd @ 15% O₂) was used to calculate the relative accuracy. For the 40 CFR Part 75 RATAs the results met the criteria that specifies subsequent RATAs will be performed on an annual basis.

Based on the results of the RATA, bias adjustment factors were calculated for Boiler No. 3, 4 and 6 using Equation A-12 from 40 CFR Part 75, Appendix A:

Bias Adjustment Factor = 1 + [d'] / CEM_{avg}

Where: [d'] = mean difference during the RATA CEM_{avg} = mean of values recorded by CEMS during RATA

The bias adjustment factor for Boiler No. 3 is 1.012. The bias adjustment factor for Boiler No. 4 is 1.021. The bias adjustment factor for Boiler No. 6 is 1.031.

6.2 Variations from Normal Sampling Procedures or Operating Conditions

The testing for all pollutants was performed in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, 40 CFR Part 75 and the USEPA reference test methods and the approved Stack Test Protocol. The boilers were operated at the required loads and no variations from normal operating conditions occurred during the RATA test periods.

One of the NO_x calibration gases used during the Boiler No. 3 and 4 RATA was not EPA Protocol 1 certified at the time of the testing. Immediately following the test program the gas was sent back to the supplier and EPA Protocol 1 certified. The EPA Protocol 1 certified gas concentration was used in all calculations and all of the calibrations were



acceptable. This variation was discussed with and approved by Ms. Stacey Zintgraff of the USEPA Clean Air Markets Division.

The CEMS data acquisition software lists the incorrect units for the Boiler No. 5 steam flow. The software lists the units as klb/hr but the values recorded are actually lb/hr. This was verified with the data acquisition software supplier (Nexus Solutions, Inc.). The values listed on the CEMS data acquisition software output were divided by a factor of 1,000 in order to present the data in units of klb/hr for this report (and to be consistent with the reported values for the other boilers, which are correctly reported in units of klb/hr).



Run	Test			Ref. Method Result	CEMS Data	Difference
Number	Date	Begin	End	(ppmvd NO _x	@ 15% O ₂)	[d]
1	3/21/23	8:18	8:38	5.90	5.16	0.7
2	3/21/23	8:51	9:11	6.19	5.32	0.9
3	3/21/23	9:24	9:44	5.38	4.45	0.9
4	3/21/23	9:55	10:15	5.48	5.12	0.4
5	3/21/23	10:27	10:47	5.49	5.25	0.2
6	3/21/23	10:59	11:19	5.35	5.10	0.2
7	3/21/23	11:31	11:51	5.28	5.05	0.2
8	3/21/23	12:01	12:21	5.20	4.96	0.2
9	3/21/23	12:38	12:58	5.33	5.09	0.2
		100				
	Number of te	ests periods		[<i>n</i>]	9	
	Arithmetic M	lean Differer	nce:	[<i>d</i> ']	0.45	
	Standard Deviation:			$[S_d]$	0.301	
	97.5% Confidence T-Value: Confidence			[t _{0.975}]	2.306	
	Coefficient:			[CC]	0.23	
	Arithmetic Mean RM Values*:			[<i>RM</i>]	5.51	
	Relative Acc	curacy**:		[<i>RA</i>]	3%	
	Allowable Limit**:				10%	

Table 6.2 NOx Corrected Concentration RATA for Boiler No. 5 Exhaust

* If actual measured emissions are less than 50% of applicable standard, use the emission standard for RM'

Relative accuracy for the CEMS must be no greater than 20% (10% if the emission standard is used for RM').

