



COMPLIANCE TEST REPORT

for

CARBON MONOXIDE EMISSIONS (CO)

UNIT 11-3

SRN: B2807

**Putnam Substation
Mayville, Michigan**

October 14, 2021

**Prepared By:
Environmental Management & Safety
Ecology, Monitoring, & Remediation Group
DTE Corporate Services, LLC
7940 Livernois G4-S
Detroit, MI 48210**

CONTENTS

| <u>Section</u> | <u>Page</u> |
|--|-------------|
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY | III |
| 1.0 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 2.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION | 1 |
| 3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES | 2 |
| 3.1 OXYGEN AND CARBON MONOXIDE (USEPA METHODS 3A AND 10)..... | 2 |
| 3.1.1 Sampling Method | 2 |
| 3.1.2 O ₂ and CO Sampling Train | 2 |
| 3.1.3 Sampling Train Calibration | 3 |
| 3.1.4 Sampling Duration & Frequency..... | 3 |
| 3.1.5 Quality Control and Assurance (O ₂ and CO)..... | 3 |
| 3.1.6 Data Reduction | 3 |
| 4.0 OPERATING PARAMETERS | 3 |
| 5.0 RESULTS | 4 |
| 6.0 CERTIFICATION STATEMENT | 5 |

RESULTS TABLES


Table No. 1 Unit 11-3 Carbon Monoxide (CO) Emission Testing Results

FIGURES

- 1 Units 11-3 Stack Drawing & Sampling Location
- 2 USEPA Method 3A/10 Sampling Train

APPENDICES

- A EGLE Test Plan
- B Analyzer Data
- C Equipment and Analyzer Calibration Data
- D Example Calculations
- E Operational Data



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY


DTE Energy's Environmental Management & Safety (EM&S) Ecology, Monitoring, & Remediation Group, performed emissions testing on one (1) 3,600 Brake-HP diesel engine located at the Putnam Substation in Mayville, Michigan. The fieldwork, performed on October 14, 2021 was conducted to satisfy requirements of MI-ROP-B2807-2018, and 40CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ. Emission tests were performed on Unit 11-3 for carbon monoxide (CO) destruction efficiency.

The results of the emissions testing are highlighted below:

CO Emissions Test Results Putnam Substation October 14, 2021

| Date | Unit | Average CO Destruction Efficiency (%) |
|----------|------|---|
| 10-14-21 | 11-3 | 86.6 |

Subpart ZZZZ Limit: Limit the concentration of CO in the stationary RICE exhaust to 23 ppmvd or less at 15% O₂; or Reduce CO emissions by 70% or more



1.0 INTRODUCTION

DTE Energy's Environmental Management & Safety (EM&S) Ecology, Monitoring, & Remediation Group, performed emissions testing on one (1) 3,600 Brake-HP diesel engine located at the Putnam Substation in Mayville, Michigan. The fieldwork, performed on October 14, 2021 was conducted to satisfy requirements of MI-ROP-B2807-2018, and 40CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ. Emission tests were performed on Unit 11-3 for carbon monoxide (CO) destruction efficiency.

Testing was performed pursuant to Title 40, *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 60, Appendix A (40 CFR §60 App. A), Methods 3A and 10.

The fieldwork was performed in accordance with EPA Reference Methods, the requirements outlined in MI-ROP-B2807-2018, 40CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ, and EM&S's intent to test¹, test plan submittal, which was approved in a letter by Mr. Matt Karl from the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) dated November 17, 2020. The following EM&S personnel participated in the testing program: Mr. Jason Logan, Sr. Environmental Specialist, and Mr. Mark Westerberg, Sr. Environmental Specialist. Ms. Stefanie Ledesma, Environmental Engineer with DTE Electric, provided process coordination for the testing program.

2.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION

The Putnam Substation located at 5660 Mertz Rd, Mayville, Michigan, employs the use of five General Motors EM&D, Model 20-645-E4, 20 cylinder, 3,600 Horse Power diesel engines (Units 11-1 to 11-5) purchased in 1970 and installed November 21, 1970. The engines generate supplemental electrical power during peak electrical demand periods or when required for load stability. On site diesel generators produce the electrical power supply which is sent to the electrical grid. Each unit can produce approximately 2.5 GMW at full load conditions.

The emissions from the engines are exhausted through individual catalyst beds and to the atmosphere through individual exhaust stacks.

During the emissions testing the engines were operated at 100% load conditions (2.5 MW). Each unit is equipped with a current transformer (CT) and a potential transformer (PT), which is used to measure and calculate MW output of the generator. The MW meter in the switch

¹ EGLE, Test Plan, Submitted September 18, 2020. (Attached-Appendix A)

² EGLE, Approval Letter (Attached-Appendix A)

gear displays unit load output. When in operation, each unit is designed to run at 100% load only.

A schematic representation of the engines exhausts and sampling locations are presented in Figure 1. Sampling was performed in the duct prior to and downstream of the catalyst bed.

3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

DTE Energy obtained emissions measurements in accordance with procedures specified in the USEPA *Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources*. The sampling and analytical methods used in the testing program are indicated in the table below:

| Sampling Method | Parameter | Analysis |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|
| USEPA Method 3A | Oxygen | Instrumental Analyzer Method |
| USEPA Method 10 | Carbon Monoxide | NDIR Instrumental Analyzer Method |

3.1 OXYGEN AND CARBON MONOXIDE (USEPA METHODS 3A AND 10)

3.1.1 Sampling Method

Oxygen (O₂) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 3A, "Gas Analysis for Carbon Dioxide, Oxygen, Excess Air, and Dry Molecular Weight (Instrumental Analyzer Method)". The O₂ analyzer utilizes a paramagnetic sensor.

Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 10, "Determination of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Stationary Sources". The CO analyzer utilizes a NDIR detector.

3.1.2 O₂ and CO Sampling Train

The EPA Methods 3A and 10 sampling systems at the inlet and outlet (Figure 2) consisted of the following components:

- (1) Single-point stainless steel sampling probe with a cindered filter.
- (2) Heated PTFE™ sampling line.
- (3) Universal® and MAK® gas conditioners with a particulate filter.

- (4) Flexible unheated PTFE sampling line.
- (5) Servomex 1400 O₂/CO₂ gas analyzer and TECO 48i NDIR CO gas analyzer.
- (6) USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gases.
- (7) Data Acquisition System.

3.1.3 Sampling Train Calibration

The O₂ / CO sampling trains were calibrated per procedures outlined in USEPA Methods 3A & 10. Zero, span, and mid-range calibration gases were introduced directly into the CO and O₂ analyzers to determine the instruments linearity. A downscale and upscale gas were then introduced through the entire sampling system to determine sampling system bias and instrument drift for each analyzer. Additional system calibrations were performed at the completion of each test.

3.1.4 Sampling Duration & Frequency

The emissions testing of each engine consisted of triplicate 60-minute test periods at the inlet and outlet of the catalyst. Testing was conducted at three points across the diameter of the exhaust duct during each run. Sampling was performed simultaneously for O₂ and CO. Data was recorded as 1-minute averages.

3.1.5 Quality Control and Assurance (O₂ and CO)

All sampling and analytical equipment was calibrated per the guidelines referenced in Methods 3A and 10. Calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 gases and the concentrations were within the acceptable ranges (40-60% mid-range and span) specified in Method 7E. Calibration gas certification sheets are in Appendix C.

3.1.6 Data Reduction

The O₂ and CO emission readings in percent (%) and parts per million (ppm) were recorded at 4-second intervals and averaged to 1-minute increments. CO emissions were normalized to 15% O₂, and that number was used to determine CO % Destruction Efficiency (DE) or outlet emissions as required by 40CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ. Emission calculations are based upon calculations found in USEPA Methods 3A, 7E, and 10. Example calculations can be found in Appendix D.

The 1-minute O₂ and CO readings collected can be found in Appendix B.


4.0 OPERATING PARAMETERS

The test program included the collection of catalyst inlet temperature (°F), catalyst pressure drop ("H₂O), and crank case vacuum ("H₂O). Ambient temperature (°F), Relative Humidity (%), and Barometric Pressure (in) were also recorded during each test. Operational and atmospheric data collected during the testing is in Appendix E.



6.0 CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

"I certify that I believe the information provided in this document is true, accurate, and complete. Results of testing are based on the good faith application of sound professional judgment, using techniques, factors, or standards approved by the Local, State, or Federal Governing body, or generally accepted in the trade."



Jason Logan, QSTI

This report prepared by: _____


Mr. Jason Logan, QSTI
Sr. Environmental Specialist, Ecology, Monitoring, & Remediation
Environmental Management & Safety
DTE Energy Corporate Services, LLC



5.0 RESULTS

Table 1 presents the CO emissions test results from Unit 11-3. CO emissions are presented in parts per million by volume, dry (ppmvd) correct to 15% O₂. Outlet emissions were divided by inlet emissions to calculate percent destruction efficiency in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60 Subpart ZZZZ. The results of the testing indicate that Unit 11-3 complies with MI-ROP-B2807-2018 and 40CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ requirements.

DTE

RESULTS TABLES



TABLE NO. 1
CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) EMISSION TESTING RESULTS
Unit 11-3 Putnam Substation
October 14, 2021

| Test | Time | Load (MW) | Catalyst | | Oxygen ⁽¹⁾ | | CO Emissions @ 15% O ₂ ⁽¹⁾ | | Destruction Efficiency (%) |
|---------|-------------|--------------|---------------------------|--|-----------------------|---------------|--|-----------------|----------------------------------|
| | | | Inlet Temperature (°F) | Catalyst Pressure Drop ("H ₂ O) | Inlet (%) | Outlet (%) | Inlet (ppm) | Outlet (ppm) | |
| Run - 1 | 10:00-11:00 | 2.5 | 801 | 0.006 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 443.4 | 60.9 | 86.3 |
| Run - 2 | 11:12-12:12 | 2.5 | 807 | 0.004 | 10.5 | 10.5 | 446.0 | 59.8 | 86.6 |
| Run - 3 | 12:25-13:25 | <u>2.5</u> | <u>811</u> | <u>0.003</u> | <u>10.5</u> | <u>10.5</u> | <u>459.9</u> | <u>60.7</u> | <u>86.8</u> |
| | <i>Avg:</i> | <i>2.5</i> | <i>806</i> | <i>0.005</i> | <i>10.5</i> | <i>10.5</i> | <i>449.7</i> | <i>60.5</i> | <i>86.6</i> |


⁽¹⁾ Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E

40CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ Limit: 70% DE

DTE

FIGURES


Figure 1 - Stack Drawing & Sampling Location Putnam Peaker Diesel Generators



| Outlet | Distance |
|---------|----------|
| Point 1 | 3.67 in |
| Point 2 | 11.00 in |
| Point 3 | 18.33 in |

Diesel Generator

Figure 2 – EPA Methods 3A/10
Putnam Peaker Diesel Generators



DTE

APPENDIX A
EGLE TEST PLAN