Air Emission Testing of EUBOILER3

Lansing Board of Water & Light Eckert Station 601 Island Avenue Lansing, Michigan

RECEIVED

JUN 2 3 2014

AIR QUALITY DIV.

State Registration No. B2647 MI-ROP-B2647-2012

Prepared for Lansing Board of Water & Light Lansing, Michigan

> Bureau Veritas Project No. 11013-000258.00 June 19, 2014



Move Forward with Confidence

Bureau Veritas North America, Inc. 22345 Roethel Drive Novi, Michigan 48375 248.344.2661 www.us.bureauveritas.com/hse



RECEIVED

JUN 2 3 2014

AH QUALITY DIV.

Executive Summary

Lansing Board of Water & Light (BWL) retained Bureau Veritas North America, Inc. to measure emissions of particulate matter (PM) for the EUBOILER3 source located at the BWL Eckert Station facility in Lansing, Michigan. The purpose of the testing was to evaluate compliance with the PM emission limit of 0.20 pounds per 1,000 pounds of exhaust gases, corrected to 50% excess air within Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) Renewable Operating Permit (ROP) MI-ROP-B2647-2012.

The testing was conducted on May 13, 2014, and followed United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Reference Methods outlined in 40 CFR 60, Appendix A: 1, 2, 3A, 4, and 5. Bureau Veritas measured emissions as summarized in the table below at high load conditions.

Parameter	EUBOILER3 High Load Condition	USEPA Reference Method
Gas flowrate	•	1, 2, 3A, and 4
Oxygen (O ₂) Carbon dioxide (CO ₂)	•	3A
Particulate matter (PM)	•	5

Summary of Emissions Testing

† Gas flowrate procedures include measurement of sampling location, volumetric flowrate, molecular weight, and moisture content.

EUBOILER3 –**High-Load Conditions.** Three 60-minute test runs were conducted at the outlet of the EUBOILER3 stack during high-load conditions to measure PM emissions. The permit limit for particulate matter is 0.20 pounds per 1,000 pounds of exhaust gases, corrected to 50% excess air.



Executive Summary

The results of the testing compared to the permit limits are summarized in the following table.

Parameter	Units	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average	Limit
РМ	lb/1,000 lb exhaust gas at 50% EA	0.013	0.029	0.046	0.029	0.20

EUBOILER3 PM Emissions Results

PM: Particulate matter

1b/1,000 lb exhaust gas at 50% EA: pound per 1,000 pounds of exhaust gases, corrected to 50% excess air

The average results of the particulate matter emission testing indicate that EUBOILER3 complied with the applicable permit limit of 0.20 pound per 1,000 pounds of exhaust gases, corrected to 50% excess air.



1.0 Introduction

Lansing Board of Water & Light (BWL) retained Bureau Veritas North America, Inc. to measure emissions of particulate matter (PM) for the EUBOILER3 source located at the BWL Eckert Station facility in Lansing, Michigan. The purpose of the testing was to evaluate compliance with the PM emission limit of 0.20 pound per 1,000 pounds of exhaust gases, corrected to 50% excess air within Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) Renewable Operating Permit (ROP) MI-ROP-B2647-2012.

The testing was conducted on May 13, 2014, and followed United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) Reference Methods outlined in 40 CFR 60, Appendix A: 1, 2, 3A, 4, and 5.

1.1 Summary of Test Program

BWL operates six boilers at its Eckert Station in Lansing, Michigan. The boilers are referred to as EUBOILER1, EUBOILER2, EUBOILER3, EUBOILER4, EUBOILER5, and EUBOILER6. Air emissions from the EUBOILER3 source were monitored during the high-load condition.

Testing consisted of three 60-minute test runs that were conducted at the outlet of the EUBOILER3 during the high-load condition. The permit limit for particulate matter is 0.20 pounds per 1,000 pounds of exhaust gases, corrected to 50% excess air.

1.2 Purpose of Testing

The purpose of the testing is to measure emissions of PM and evaluate compliance with the PM emission limit of 0.20 pounds per 1,000 pounds of exhaust gases, corrected to 50% excess air within MDEQ ROP MI-ROP-B2647-2012.

1.3 Contact Information

Contact information is listed in Table 1-1. Mr. Thomas Schmelter, Senior Project Manager with Bureau Veritas, led the emission testing program. Ms. Angie Goodman, Environmental Compliance Specialist with BWL, provided process coordination and arranged for facility operating parameters to be recorded. The testing was witnessed by Mr. David Patterson, Environmental Quality Analyst with the MDEQ.



Table 1-1 Contact Persons

Permitee	Emission Testing Company	
Lansing Board of Water & Light	Bureau Veritas North America, Inc.	
1201 South Washington Avenue	22345 Roethel Drive	
Lansing, Michigan 48910	Novi, Michigan 48375	
Telephone 517.702.6000	Telephone 248.344.1770	
	Facsimile 248.344.2656	
Angie Goodman	Thomas Schmelter, QSTI	
Environmental Compliance Specialist	Senior Project Manager	
Telephone 517.702.7059	Telephone 248.344.3003	
ame1@LBWL.com	thomas.schmelter@us.bureauveritas.com	
Michigan Department of Environmental Quality		
MDEQ – Air Quality Division		
Technical Programs Unit		
525 West Allegan Street		
Lansing, Michigan 48909-7760		
Telephone 517.335.3082		
Facsimile 517.241.3571		
David Patterson		
Environmental Quality Analyst		
Telephone 517.284.6782		
pattersond2@michigan.gov		



2.0 Source and Sampling Locations

2.1 **Process Description**

BWL operates six boilers at its Eckert Station in Lansing, Michigan. The boilers are referred to as EUBOILER1, EUBOILER2, EUBOILER3, EUBOILER4, EUBOILER5, and EUBOILER6.

EUBOILER3 is a Combustion Engineering pulverized coal-fired boiler, which uses No. 2 fuel oil for startup and flame stabilization. The boiler is rated at 522 MMBtu/hr and equipped with low-nitrogen-oxide-(NO_x) burners and overfire air. Particulate matter emissions are controlled by two electrostatic precipitators. The steam generated by the boiler is used for electrical power generation and to provide backup steam for sale to customers for building heating, cooling, and process operations. The basic processing steps for steam and electrical production are presented below:

- Bituminous coal is conveyed from storage to coal bunkers.
- Pulverized coal and air are introduced into the boiler furnace and combusted to generate heat.
- The coal combustion heats water wall tubes and the steam drum. The steam drum captures steam and directs it to a header pipe where the steam is used to rotate a turbine for power generation or is distributed to the service area.
- Flue gas from the furnace is exhausted through an electrostatic precipitator (ESP) prior to discharge to the atmosphere.

2.2 Control Equipment

As part of the steam and electrical production process, emissions are generated from coal and No. 2 fuel oil combustion. BWL operates pollution control equipment to control the discharge of pollutants to the atmosphere. The EUBOILER3 incorporates the use of low NO_x burners, overfire air, and electrostatic precipitators to control air emissions.

The low-NO_x burners installed in EUBOILER3 reduces NO_x emissions. Low-NO_x burners reduce emissions by staging the combustion process, which delays ignition and results in a lower combustion temperature. The lower combustion temperature reduces thermal NO_x formation.

Overfire air involves diverting a portion of the combustion air from the primary combustion zone. Because the off-stoichiometric air-to-fuel ratio results in a lower combustion temperature, thermal NO_x formation is reduced in the primary oxidization zone. Non-combusted fuel from the primary zone is oxidized in the overfire air. The staged combustion provides more complete combustion of the fuel at lower temperatures.



The ESPs are designed to remove particulate matter from the flue gas prior to discharge to the atmosphere. The ESPs are powered by high-voltage transformers and solid state rectifiers with spark attenuation. As particles enter an ESP, a negative charge is imparted on them. The negatively charged particles migrate towards grounded collector plates having a positive charge. As the particles collect on the plates, a dust layer is created. The accumulated dust layer is removed by rapping the plates. The ESPs have variable intensity controls for the collecting plate rappers and discharge electrode vibrators.

2.3 Flue Gas Sampling Location

The EUBOILER3 exhausts to atmosphere through stack SVSTACK3. At the sampling location, the ports are positioned within 72-inch-wide by 90-inch-deep rectangular ductwork:

- Approximately 180 inches (2.25 duct diameters) from the nearest upstream disturbance, a bend in the ductwork.
- Approximately 180 inches (2.25 duct diameters) from the nearest downstream disturbance (i.e., duct confluence).

The ports are accessible by elevator to the 10th floor of the building and stairs to the sampling location.

Figure 1 in the Appendix depicts the EUBOILER3 sampling port and traverse point locations. Figure 2-1 is a photograph of the EUBOILER3 sampling location.





Figure 2-1. EUBOILER3 Sampling Location



3.0 Summary and Discussion of Results

3.1 Objectives

The testing was performed to evaluate compliance with certain limits of the facility's Renewable Operating Permit MDEQ ROP MI-ROP-B2647-2012, effective May 17, 2012. The specific objectives are to:

• Measure particulate matter emissions at high load conditions from the EUBOILER3 source to evaluate compliance with the particulate matter permit limit. The permit limit for particulate matter is 0.20 pounds per 1,000 pounds of exhaust gases, corrected to 50% excess air.

3.2 Test Matrix

The emission testing was conducted to evaluate the objectives in Section 3.1. Table 3-1 presents the sampling and analytical test matrix.

Date (2014)	Source	Condition	Sample / Type of Pollutant	USEPA Sampling Method	Run	Sampling Time [†]	Test Duration (min)
May 13	EUBOILER3	High Load	РМ	1, 2, 3A, 4, 5	1 2 3	7:45-8:55 9:20-10:33 11:00-12:09	60

Table 3-1 Test Matrix

[†] Times are for particulate matter emission measurements and include sampling port changes.

3.3 Field Test Changes and Issues

Field test changes were not required to complete the emission testing. Testing was paused during Run 2 from 9:35 to 9:41 to ensure the boiler was providing the minimum electricity output required. No other field test changes or issues were encountered.



3.4 Results

The results of the testing compared to the permit limits are summarized in Table 3-2. Detailed results are presented in Tables 1 and 2 after the Tables Tab of this report. Graphs of the oxygen (O_2) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) concentrations are presented after the Graphs Tab of this report. Sample calculations are presented in Appendix B.

Units Run 1 Run 3 Limit Parameter Run 2 Average lb/1000 lb 0.013 0.029 0.046 0.029 0.20 PM exhaust gas at 50% EA

Table 3-2EUBOILER3 PM Emissions Results

PM: Particulate matter

lb/1000 lb exhaust gas at 50% EA: pound per 1,000 pounds of exhaust gases, corrected to 50% excess air

The average results of the particulate matter emission testing indicate that EUBOILER3 complied with the applicable permit limit of 0.20 pound per 1,000 pounds of exhaust gases, corrected to 50% excess air.



4.0 Sampling and Analytical Procedures

Bureau Veritas measured emissions in accordance with the procedures specified in 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, "Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources," and State of Michigan Part 10 Rules, Intermittent Testing and Sampling." The sampling and analytical methods used during this test program are listed in the following table.

USEPA Sampling Method	Parameter	Analysis
1 and 2	Gas stream volumetric flowrate	Field measurement, S-type Pitot tube differential pressure
3A	Oxygen (O_2), carbon dioxide (CO_2), molecular weight	Paramagnetic and single wavelength infrared technology gas analyzers
4	Moisture content	Gravimetric
5	Particulate matter (PM)	Gravimetric

Table 4-1Sampling and Analytical Test Methods

4.1 Test Methods

4.1.1 Flowrate (USEPA Methods 1 and 2)

Method 1, "Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources," from 40 CFR 60, Appendix A, was used to evaluate the sampling location and the number of traverse points for sampling and the measurement of velocity profiles. Details of the sampling location and number of velocity traverse points are presented in the Table 4-2.



Sampling	Equivalent	Distance	Distance	Number	Traverse	Total
Locations	Duct	from Ports	from Ports	of Ports	Points	Points
	Diameter	to	to		per Port	
		Upstream	Downstream		_	
		Flow	Flow			
		Disturbance	Disturbances			
	(inch)	(diameter)	(diameter)			
EUBOILER3	80	2.25	2.25	4	6	24

Table 4-2Sampling Location and Number of Traverse Points

Figure 1 in the Appendix depicts the EUBOILER3 sampling location and traverse points.

Method 2, "Determination of Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate (Type S Pitot Tube)," was used to measure flue gas velocity and calculate volumetric flowrate. An S-type Pitot tubes and thermocouple assembly, calibrated in accordance with Method 2, Section 10.0, was used during testing. The default S-Type Pitot tube coefficient of 0.84 (dimensionless) was used in flow rate calculations. Refer to Appendix A for the calibration and inspection sheets.

Cyclonic Flow Check. Bureau Veritas evaluated whether cyclonic flow was present at the sampling location. Cyclonic flow is defined as a flow condition with an average null angle greater than 20 degrees. The direction of flow can be determined by aligning the Pitot tube to obtain zero (null) velocity head reading—the direction would be parallel to the Pitot tube face openings or perpendicular to the null position. By measuring the angle of the Pitot tube face openings in relation to the stack walls when a null angle is obtained, the direction of flow is measured. If the absolute average of the flow direction angles is greater than 20 degrees, the flue gas is considered to be cyclonic at that sampling location and an alternative location should be found.

The average of the measured traverse point flue gas velocity null angles for EUBOILER3 indicated the absence of cyclonic flow at this sampling location. The average null angle was 5° measured on November 18, 2013.

4.1.2 O₂ and CO₂ Concentrations (USEPA Method 3A)

USEPA Method 3A, "Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrument Analyzer Procedure)," was used to measure the oxygen (O_2) and carbon dioxide (CO_2) concentrations of the flue gas. The flue gas oxygen (O_2) concentration was measured in order to calculate an emission rate in pounds per 1,000 pounds of exhaust gases, corrected to 50% excess air.



Figure 2 depicts the USEPA Method 3A sampling train.

Sampling for O₂ and CO₂ consisted of extracting the flue gas from the stack through:

- A stainless-steel probe.
- Heated Teflon® sample line to prevent condensation.
- A chilled Teflon condenser with peristaltic pump to remove moisture from the sampled gas stream prior to entering the analyzer.
- A Teledyne® paramagnetic O₂ and CO₂ gas analyzer

Data were recorded at 1-second intervals on a computer equipped with data acquisition software. Recorded concentrations are reported in 1-minute averages over the duration of each test run and included in Appendix D Computer-Generated Data Sheets.

A calibration error check was performed on each analyzer by introducing zero-, mid-, and highlevel calibration gases directly into the analyzer. The calibration error check was performed to evaluate if an analyzers respond to within $\pm 2\%$ of the calibration span. Prior to each test run, a system-bias test was performed where known concentrations of calibration gases were introduced at the probe tip to measure if the response is within $\pm 5\%$ of the analyzer calibration span.

Prior to testing, a three-point stratification test was conducted with the sampling probe located along a traverse line passing through the stack cross section's centroid and at points corresponding to 17, 50, and 83% of the stack diameter. The stack gas was sampled for at least twice the response time. If the concentration at each traverse point differs from the mean for all traverse points by no more than $\pm 0.3\%$ of the mean concentration, the gas stream can be considered unstratified. Based on the measurements, the gas stream was considered to be unstratified and a single sampling point located near the centroid of the duct was used (all points had a percent difference less than 0.3%). The stratification test results are presented in Table 4-3.

Point	Distance From Stack Wall (inch)	Average O ₂ Concentration (%)†	Difference from Mean (%)†	% Difference from Mean
3	12.0	7.7	0.1	1.9%
2	36.0	7.8	0.04	0.6%
1	60.0	8.1	0.2	2.5%
	Mean	7.9	All points < 0.3%	

Table 4-3O2 Three-Point Stratification Test Results



At the conclusion of the each test run, an additional system-bias check was performed to evaluate the analyzer drift from pre- and post-test system-bias checks. The acceptable analyzer drift tolerance is $\pm 3\%$ of the calibration span. The results of the pre- and post-test system bias checks were used to correct the measured pollutant concentrations for analyzer drift.

Calibration data, along with the USEPA Protocol 1 certification sheets for the calibration gases used are included in Appendix A.

4.1.3 Moisture Content (USEPA Method 4)

The moisture content at the outlet of the flue gas was measured using USEPA Method 4, "Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gases," in conjunction with the performance of USEPA Method 5.

4.1.4 Particulate Matter (USEPA Method 5)

USEPA Method 5, "Determination of Particulate Emissions from Stationary Sources," was used to measure the filterable "front-half" particulate matter emissions. The "front half" refers to the filterable particulate mass collected from the nozzle, probe, and filter. Triplicate 60-minute test runs were performed at the outlet of the EUBOILER3. Bureau Veritas' modular isokinetic stack sampling system consists of the following:

- A stainless steel button-hook nozzle.
- A heated (248±25°F) stainless steel probe.
- A desiccated and pre-weighed 110-millimeter-diameter quartz fiber filter (manufactured to at least 99.95% efficiency (<0.05 % penetration) for 0.3-micron dioctyl phthalate smoke particles) in a heated (248±25°F) filter box.
- A set of four pre-cleaned GS impingers with the configuration shown in Table 4-4.
- A sample line.
- An Environmental Supply® control case equipped with a pump, dry-gas meter, and calibrated orifice.



Table 4-4Method 5 Impinger Configuration

Impinger Order	Impinger Type	Impinger Contents	Amount of
(Upstream to			Contents
Downstream)			
1	Modified	Water	100 grams
2	Greenburg Smith	Water	100 grams
3	Modified	Empty	0 grams
4	Modified	Silica desiccant	~300 grams

Before testing, a preliminary velocity traverse was performed and a nozzle size was calculated that would allow isokinetic sampling at an average rate of 0.75 cfm. Bureau Veritas selected a pre-cleaned stainless steel nozzle that had an inner diameter that approximates the calculated ideal value. The nozzle was measured with calipers across three cross-sectional chords to evaluate the inside diameter; rinsed and brushed with acetone; and connected to the stainless steel-lined sample probe.

The impact and static pressure openings of the Pitot tube were leak-checked at or above a velocity head of three inches of water for more than 15 seconds. The sampling train was leak-checked by capping the nozzle tip and applying a vacuum of approximately 15 inches of mercury to the sampling train. The dry-gas meter was then monitored for approximately 1 minute to measure that the sample train leak rate was less than 0.02 cfm. The sample probe was inserted into the sampling port to begin sampling.

Ice was placed around the impingers and the probe and filter temperatures were allowed to stabilize at 248 ± 25 °F before each sample run. After the desired operating conditions were coordinated with the facility, testing was initiated.

Stack parameters (e.g., flue velocity, temperature) were monitored to establish the isokinetic sampling rate within ± 10 % for the duration of the test. Data were recorded at each of the traverse points.

At the conclusion of a test run and the post-test leak check, the sampling train was disassembled and the impingers and filter were transported to the recovery area. The filter was recovered using tweezers and placed in a Petri dish. The Petri dish was immediately labeled and sealed with Teflon tape. The nozzle, probe, and the front half of the filter holder assembly were brushed and, at a minimum, triple-rinsed with acetone to recover particulate matter. The acetone rinses were collected in pre-cleaned sample containers.

At the end of a test run, the mass of liquid collected in each impinger was measured using a scale to within ± 0.5 grams; these masses were used to calculate moisture content of the flue gas. The contents of the impinger train were discarded after the mass is measured.



Bureau Veritas labeled each container with the test number, test location, and test date, and marked the level of liquid on the outside of the container. Immediately after recovery, the sample containers were stored. Bureau Veritas personnel transported the samples to Bureau Veritas' laboratory in Novi, Michigan, for analysis. Figure 3 in the Appendix depicts the USEPA Method 5 sampling train.

4.2 **Procedures for Obtaining Process Data**

Process data were recorded by BWL personnel. Refer to Section 2.1 and 2.2 for discussions of process and control device data and Appendix E for the operating parameters recorded during testing.

4.3 Sampling Identification and Custody

Sample identification and chain of custody procedures were applicable to the sampling methods used in this test program. Applicable Chain of Custody procedures followed guidelines outlined within ASTM D4840-99 (Reapproved 2010), "Standard Guide for Sample Chain-of-Custody Procedures." Detailed sampling and recovery procedures are described in Section 4.0. For each sample collected (i.e. filter) sample identification and custody procedures were completed as follows:

- Containers were sealed with Teflon tape to prevent contamination.
- Containers were labeled with test number, location, and test date.
- The level of fluid was marked on outside of sample containers to identify if leakage had occurred before delivery of the samples to the laboratory.
- Containers were placed in a cooler for storage.
- Samples were logged using guidelines outlined in ASTM D4840-99 (Reapproved 2010), "Standard Guide for Sample Chain-of-Custody Procedures."
- Samples were delivered to the laboratory.

Chains of custody and laboratory analytical results are included in Appendix F.



RECEIVED

JUN 2 3 2014

AFR QUALITY DIV.

5.0 QA/QC Activities

Equipment used in this emissions test program passed quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) procedures. Refer to Appendix A for equipment calibration and inspection sheets. Field data sheets are presented in Appendix C. Computer-generated Data Sheets are presented within Appendix D.

5.1 Pretest QA/QC Activities

Before testing, the sampling equipment was cleaned, inspected, and calibrated according to procedures outlined in the applicable USEPA sampling methods and USEPA's "Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems, Volume III, Stationary Source Specific Methods."

5.2 QA/QC Audits

The results of select sampling and equipment QA/QC audits and the acceptable tolerance are presented in the following sections. Calibration and inspection sheets for dry-gas meters (DGM), thermocouples, nozzles, and Pitot tubes are presented in Appendix A.

5.2.1 Method 5 QA/QC Audits

The sampling trains described in Section 4.1 were audited for measurement accuracy and data reliability. The Table 5-1 summarizes the Method 5 QA/QC audits conducted on each sampling train.



Parameter	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Method Requirement	Comment
EUBOILER3		-			
Average velocity pressure head (in H ₂ O)	1.03	1.15	1.20	>0.05 in H ₂ O [†]	Valid
Sampling train leak check Post–test	0.000 ft ³ for 1 min at 5 in Hg	0.000 ft ³ for 1 min at 5 in Hg	0.000 ft ³ for 1 min at 5 in Hg	<0.020 ft ³ for 1 minute at \geq recorded during test	Valid
Sampling vacuum (in Hg)	1 to 2	2 to 3	1 to 3		

Table 5-1Method 5 Sampling Train QA/QC Audits

† Manometer capable of reading 0 to 10 in H₂O acceptable for measuring differential pressure head above 0.05 in H₂O

5.2.2 Isokinetic Sampling

Isokinetic sampling, which means collecting flue gas into the sampling nozzle at the velocity equal to that of the flue gas velocity, is a requirement of USEPA Method 5. Maintaining isokinetic sampling is important because under anisokinetic conditions, sample concentrations may be biased depending on the inertial effects of the particles.

When flue gas containing small and large particles are collected isokinetically, the small and large particle concentrations are consistent with the flue gas composition. However, in overisokinetic conditions (200% high sampling flowrate into nozzle) the particulate matter concentrations are biased low, because a greater number of smaller, lighter particles and fewer larger, heavier particles will be collected compared to isokinetic conditions. Under-isokinetic sampling (50% low sampling flowrate into nozzle) will bias the results high because a greater number of larger, heavy particles will be collected.

The USEPA Method 5 isokinetic sampling rate for each test run is presented in Table 5-2.



Table 5-2Summary of Isokinetic Sampling Rates

Source	Run	Actual % Isokinetic Sampling Rate	Allowable % Isokinetic Sampling Rate
EUBOILER3		102	
	2	103	100±10%
	3	101	

The isokinetic sampling rates were within the isokinetic requirement of 100±10% percent.

5.2.3 Instrument Analyzer QA/QC Audits

The instrument analyzer sampling trains described in Section 4.1 were audited for measurement accuracy and data reliability. The analyzers passed the applicable calibration criteria. Calibration gas selection, error, bias, and drift checks are included in Appendix A.

5.2.4 Dry-Gas Meter QA/QC Audits

Table 5-3 summarizes the DGM calibration checks compared to the acceptable USEPA tolerance. Refer to Appendix A for complete DGM calibrations.

	v v		<u> </u>		
Meter Box	Pre-test DGM Calibration Factor (Y) (dimensionless)	Post-test DGM Calibration Check Value (Y _{qa}) (dimensionless)	Absolute Difference Between Pre- and Post-test DGM Calibrations	Acceptable Tolerance	Calibration Result
2	1.008 March 28, 2014	0.999 June 3, 2014	0.009	≤0.05	Valid

Table 5-3Dry-Gas Meter Calibration QA/QC Audit



5.2.5 Thermocouple QA/QC Audits

Temperature measurements using thermocouples and digital pyrometers were compared to reference temperatures (i.e., ice water bath, boiling water) to evaluate accuracy of the equipment. The thermocouples and pyrometers measured temperatures within $\pm 1.5\%$ (i.e., the USEPA acceptance criterion) of the reference temperatures. Thermocouple and pyrometer calibration results are presented in the Appendix A.

5.3 QA/QC Blanks

Field blanks were analyzed for the constituent of interest. The results of the blanks are presented in Table 5-4. The blank results do not indicate significant contamination occurred in the field. Blank corrections were not applied.

Sample Identification	Result (mg)	Comment
M5 Acetone Blank	<0.5	Reporting limit is 0.5 milligrams. Acetone blank corrections not applied.
M5 Filter Blank	<0.5	Reporting limit is 0.5 milligrams. Filter blank corrections not applied

Table 5-4 QA/QC Blanks

5.4 QA/QC Problems

No QA/QC problems were encountered during this test program.



Limitations

The information and opinions rendered in this report are exclusively for use by Lansing Board of Water & Light. Bureau Veritas North America, Inc. will not distribute or publish this report without Lansing Board of Water & Light's consent except as required by law or court order. The information and opinions are given in response to a limited assignment and should be implemented only in light of that assignment. Bureau Veritas North America, Inc. accepts responsibility for the competent performance of its duties in executing the assignment and preparing reports in accordance with the normal standards of the profession, but disclaims any responsibility for consequential damages.

This report prepared by:

Thomas R. Schmelter

Senior Project Manager Health, Safety, and Environmental Services

This report approved by: Derek R. Wong, Ph.D., P.E.

Director and Vice President Health, Safety, and Environmental Services



Tables



Table 1 - EUBOILER3 Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Results Lansing BWL Eckert Station Particulate Matter Testing

Lansing, Michigan Bureau Veritas Project No. 11013-000258.00 Sampling Date: May 13, 2014

Parameter	Units	Run I	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Sample Time	hr:min	7:45-8:55	9:20-10:33	11:00-12:09	
O ₂ Concentration (C _{avg})	%	6.4	6.4	6.7	6.5
Pre-test system calibration, zero gas (Co)	%	0.20	0.20	0.30	0.23
Post-test system calibration, zero gas (Co)	%	0.20	0.30	0.20	0.23
Certified low bracket gas concentration (C _{MA})	%	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
Pre-test system calibration, low bracket gas (C _M)	%	11.0	10.9	10.9	10.9
Post-test system calibration, low bracket gas (CM)	%	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.9
Average Corrected O ₂ Concentration (C _{gas})†	%	6.4	6.4	6.7	6.5
CO ₂ Concentration (Cave)	%	13.2	13.2	12.8	13.1
Pre-test system calibration, zero gas (Co)	%	0.00	0.20	0.20	0.13
Post-test system calibration, zero gas (Co)	%	0.20	0.20	0.10	0.17
Certified low bracket gas concentration (C _{MA})	%	11.0	11.0	11.0	11.0
Pre-test system calibration, low bracket gas (CM)	%	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.9
Post-test system calibration, low bracket gas (C _M)	%	10.9	10.9	10.9	10.9
Average Corrected CO ₂ Concentration (C _{gas})†	%	13.3	13.3	12.9	13.2

[†]: corrected for analyzer drift

Co: average of the initial and final system calibration bias check responses from the low-level (or zero) calibration gas, ppmv

 $C_{\ensuremath{MA}\xspace}$ actual concentration of the upscale calibration gas, ppmv

C_M: Average of initial and final system calibration bias check responses for the upscale calibration gas, ppmv

Cgas: Average effluent gas concentration adjusted for bias, ppmv



	Table 2 - EUBOILER3	able 2 - EUBOILER3 Particulate Matter Results					
Facility States and States and	Lansing Board of Water and Light - Eckert Station EUBOILER3 May 13, 2014 May 13, 2014 May 13, 2014						
Source Designation Test Date							
Mater/Nozzla Information		Run 1	Pun 1	Dun 3	Average		
		ASU# 1	Kuu 4	ituli 5	77141.064		
Meter Temperature, Tm	°F	79	84	88	84		
Meter Pressure, Pm	in Hg	30.05	30.06	30.06	30.06		
Measured Sample Volume, Vm	ft ³	45.74	48.23	48.50	47.49		
Sample Volume, Vm	std ft ³	45.36	47.37	47.36	46.69		
Sample Volume, Vm	stđ m ³	1.28	1.34	1.34	1.32		
Condensate Volume, Vw	stđ ft ³	6.64	7.51	7.41	7.18		
Gas Density, ps	std lb/ft ³	0.0747	0.0745	0.0744	0.0745		
Total weight of sampled gas	16	3.887	4.086	3.624	3.866		
Nozzle Size, A _n	ft²	0.0003221	0.0003221	0.0003221	0.0003221		
Isokinetic Variation, I	%	102	103	101	102		
Stack Data							
Average Stack Temperature, T,	۴	420	439	447	435		
Molecular Weight Stack Gas-dry, Ma	lb/lb-mole	30,38	30.38	30.34	30.37		
Molecular Weight Stack Gas-wet, M,	lb/lb-mole	28.80	28,69	28.67	28.72		
Stack Gas Specific Gravity, G,		0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99		
Percent Moisture, B _{nx}	%	12.77	13.68	13.53	13.32		
Water Vapor Volume (fraction)		0.128	0.137	0.135	0.133		
Pressure, P ₅	in Hg	29.57	29.57	29.57	29.57		
Average Stack Velocity, Vs	ft/sec	73.86	79.12	81.18	78.05		
Area of Stack	ft²	45.00	45.00	45.00	45.00		
Exhaust Gas Flowrate							
Flowmfe	0 ³ /min_actual	100 /121	212 622	210 180	210 741		
Flowrate	Φ^3 /min standard wet	118 283	123.041	126 149	122 791		
Flourate	fr^{3} /min standard dry	10,285	106.088	100.086	106.418		
Flowrate	m ³ /min, standard dry	2,922	3,030	3,089	3,013		
Collected Mass			and the second				
Particulate Matter Acetone Wash	ma	23	25	40	20		
Particulate Matter Filter	me	22	26	40	29		
Total Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM)	mg	23	51	80	51		
Concentration							
Particulate Matter (FPM)	mg/dscf	0.51	1.1	1.7	1.1		
Particulate Matter (FPM)	grain/dscf	0.0078	0.017	0.026	0.017		
Particulate Matter (FPM)	lb/1000 lb exhaust gas at 50% EA	0.013	0.029	0.046	0.029		
Mass Emission Rate							
Particulate Matter (FPM)	lb/hr	6.9	15	24	16		



Figures





