

IN MATES

- J. Howe

LANING COPY

Report of ...

# MATS Emission Testing

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2019  
AIR QUALITY DIVISION

Performed for ...

Grand Haven Board of Light and Power  
J.B. Sims Generating Station

Grand Haven, Michigan

On

Boiler #3 FGD Exhaust

December 11 and 12, 2018

Project #: 245.14

By...

Network Environmental, Inc.  
Grand Rapids, MI

B1976 TEST 20181211

performed for the

Grand Haven Board of Light and Power  
J.B. Sims Generating Station  
1700 Eaton Drive  
Grand Haven, MI, 49417  
Contact: Paul Cederquist  
Telephone: (231) 740-5830  
e-mail: pcederquist@ghblp.org

performed by

Network Environmental, Inc.  
2629 Remico Street, SW  
Suite B  
Grand Rapids, MI 49519  
Contact: Scott Cargill  
Telephone: (616) 530-6330  
Fax: (616) 530-0001  
e-mail: netenviro@aol.com

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. Introduction	1
II. Presentation of Results	2-3
II.1 Table 1 – Particulate Emission Results	2
II.2 Table 2 – Hydrochloric Acid (HCl) Emission Results Summary	3
III. Discussion of Results	4
IV. Sampling and Analytical Protocol	4-7
Figure 1 – Particulate Sampling Train	6
Figure 7 – HCl Sampling Train	7

RECEIVED  
JAN 22 2019  
AIR QUALITY DIVISION

## **Appendices**

Particulate Emission Results, Sampling Train Data & Exhaust Gas Parameters	A
Source Operating Data	B
Field Data	C
Analytical Data	D
Calculations	E
Raw Data	F

## **I. INTRODUCTION**

Network Environmental, Inc. was retained by Grand Haven Board Of Light and Power of Grand Haven, Michigan to conduct an emission study at the Sims Generating Station. The purpose of the study was to determine the particulate and HCL emissions from the boiler to document compliance with EPA MATS and Michigan ROP# MI-ROP-B1976-2018.

The pollutants monitored and test methods used were as follows:

- Particulate (Filterable) – U.S. EPA Reference Method 5 MATS
- Hydrogen Chloride (HCL) – U.S. EPA Reference Method 26A MATS
- Exhaust Gas Parameters (air flow rate, temperature, moisture & density) – U.S. EPA Methods 1-4

The emission limits for this source are:

Particulate – 0.03 Lbs/mmBTU

Hydrogen Chloride (HCL) – 0.0020 Lbs/mmBTU

The sampling was conducted over the period of December 11 and 12, 2018 by R. Scott Cargill and Richard D. Eerdmans of Network Environmental, Inc. Assisting in the study was Mr. Paul Cederquist of Grand Haven Board of Light and Power.

Mr. Jeremy Howe and Ms. Kaitlyn Devries of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality – Air Quality Division were present to observe the testing and source operation.

**II. PRESENTATION OF RESULTS**

**II.1 TABLE 1  
PARTICULATE EMISSION RESULTS SUMMARY  
BOILER 3  
GRAND HAVEN BLP  
GRAND HAVEN, MICHIGAN  
DECEMBER 11, 2018**

Compound	Sample	Time	Air Flow Rate DSCFM <sup>(1)</sup>	%CO <sub>2</sub> <sup>(2)</sup>	Lbs/Hr <sup>(3)</sup>	Lbs/mmBTU <sup>(4)</sup>
Particulate	1	8:43-10:59	198,425	9.4	3.394	0.0055
	2	11:38-13:55	204,725	9.5	3.578	0.0055
	3	14:29-16:41	202,251	9.6	3.539	0.0055
	<b>Average</b>			<b>201,800</b>	<b>9.5</b>	<b>3.503</b>

- (1) DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet Per Minute (Standard Temperature & Pressure = 68 °F & 29.92 in. Hg)  
 (2) %CO<sub>2</sub> = Percent Carbon Dioxide On A Dry Basis  
 (3) Lbs/Hr = Pounds of particulate per hour  
 (4) Lbs/MMBTU = Pounds Per Million BTU of Heat Input (Calculated using Equation 2.4 from EPA Method 19 with an F<sub>c</sub> of 1,800).

**II.2 TABLE 2  
HCl EMISSION RESULTS SUMMARY  
BOILER 3  
GRAND HAVEN BLP  
GRAND HAVEN, MICHIGAN  
DECEMBER 12, 2018**

Compound	Sample	Time	Air Flow Rate DSCFM <sup>(1)</sup>	%CO <sub>2</sub> <sup>(2)</sup>	Lbs/Hr <sup>(3)</sup>	Lbs/mmBTU <sup>(4)</sup>
HCl	1	9:14-11:07	201,011	10.0	0.195	2.91E <sup>-4</sup>
	2	11:30-13:22	201,701	9.6	0.304	4.71E <sup>-4</sup>
	3	13:49-15:49	198,405	10.0	0.209	3.15E <sup>-4</sup>
	<b>Average</b>		<b>200,372</b>	<b>9.9</b>	<b>0.236</b>	<b>3.59E<sup>-4</sup></b>

(1) DSCFM = Dry Standard Cubic Feet Per Minute (Standard Temperature & Pressure = 68 °F & 29.92 in. Hg)

(2) %CO<sub>2</sub> = Percent Carbon Dioxide On A Dry Basis

(3) Lbs/Hr = Pounds of particulate per hour

(4) Lbs/MMBTU = Pounds Per Million BTU of Heat Input (Calculated using Equation 2.4 from EPA Method 19 with an F<sub>c</sub> of 1,800)

### **III. DISCUSSION OF RESULTS**

The results of the testing are summarized in Tables 1 through 2 (Sections II.1 through II.2) as follows:

Table 1 – Particulate Emission Results

- Air Flow Rate (DSCFM) – Dry Standard Cubic Feet Per Minute (STP = 68 °F & 29.92 in. Hg)
- % CO<sub>2</sub> – Percent Carbon Dioxide
- Mass Emission Rates (Lbs/MMBTU) – Pounds Per Million BTU Of Heat Input (Calculated Using Equation 2.4 From EPA Method 19 With An F<sub>c</sub> Of 1,800) and Pounds Per Hour (Lbs/Hr).

Table 2 – HCl Emission Results

- Air Flow Rate (DSCFM) – Dry Standard Cubic Feet Per Minute (STP = 68 °F & 29.92 in. Hg)
- % CO<sub>2</sub> – Percent Carbon Dioxide
- Mass Emission Rates (Lbs/MMBTU) – Pounds Per Million BTU Of Heat Input (Calculated Using Equation 2.4 From EPA Method 19 With An F<sub>c</sub> Of 1,800) and Pounds Per Hour (Lbs/Hr).

### **IV. SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROTOCOL**

The sampling location for the boiler exhaust was on the 160 inch diameter exhaust at a location that meets the minimum requirements of U.S. EPA Method 1. There were 4 sample ports and 24 sampling points (6 per port) used for the testing.

Prior to the sampling, a preliminary cyclonic/turbulent flow check was conducted on the exhaust stack. The sampling met the requirements of Method 1.

Twenty four (24) sampling points (6 per port) were used for the isokinetic sampling. The sampling point dimensions for the isokinetic sampling were as follows:

<u>Sample Point</u>	<u>Dimension (Inches)</u>
1	3.36
2	10.72
3	18.88
4	28.32
5	40.00
6	56.96

**IV.1 Particulate** – The particulate emission sampling was conducted by employing U.S. EPA Method 5 MATS. This is an out of stack filtration method, where the sampling probe and filter are heated at 320 °F (plus or minus 25 °F). Each sample was 120 minutes in duration with a minimum sample volume of 2.0 dry standard cubic meters collected. The samples were collected isokinetically on glass fiber filters.


The nozzle/probe rinses & filters were analyzed for particulate by gravimetric analysis. All the quality assurance and quality control procedures listed in the method were incorporated in the sampling and analysis. Figure 1 is a diagram of the sampling train.

**IV.2 HCl** – The HCL emission sampling was conducted in accordance with U.S. EPA Method 26A MATS. The sampling was performed isokinetically in accordance with the method. The HCL was collected in the first two impingers of the sampling train, which contained 100 mls of 0.1 normal sulfuric acid. The probe rinse and the impinger catch were combined and analyzed for HCL using Ion-chromatography as described in the methods. The filter was heated to between 248°F and 273°F


Three (3) samples were collected from the exhaust. Each sample was ninety-six (96) minutes in duration with a minimum sample volume of 1.5 dry standard cubic meters. All the quality assurance and quality control requirements specified in the methods were incorporated in the sampling and analysis. A diagram of the sampling train is shown in Figure 2.


**IV.3 Exhaust Gas Parameters** – The exhaust gas parameters (air flow rate, temperature, moisture and density) were determined in conjunction with the other sampling by employing U.S. EPA Methods 1 through 4. Air flow rates, temperatures and moistures were determined using the isokinetic sampling trains. Oxygen & carbon dioxide were determined by Orsat in order to determine gas density.

This report was prepared by:

  
R. Scott Cargill  
Project Manager

This report was reviewed by:

  
David D. Engelhardt  
Vice President



**FIGURE 1**  
**EPA METHOD 5**  
**SAMPLING TRAIN**




FIGURE 2  
EPA 26A SAMPLING TRAIN