EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Air Dynamics Testing, LLC. (Air Dynamics) has prepared this source test report on behalf of Aludyne Montague LLC. (Aludyne). Air Dynamics conducted source emissions testing on July 16th and 17th, 2020 at Aludyne's facility in Montague, MI in fulfillment of the submitted test plan for the EU-Dryer. Testing was performed per the February 3, 2020 letter request issued to Aludyne by the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE). On Thursday May 21, EGLE granted Aludyne a 60-day extension to the 180-day test requirement in accordance with COVID-19 enforcement discretion per Aludyne's request.

The test results are summarized below in Table ES-1 and ES-2.

Table ES-1. Emissions Results Summary of Test #1

Unit	Test Parameter	Emission Rate	Limit^
Chip Dryer with Afterburner Maintained at Ave Temp of 1400 Deg F	Filterable + Condensable PM2.5	1.04 lbs/hr	0.56 Filterable + Condensable PM lbs/hr
	Filterable + Condensable PM10	1.04 lbs/hr	

^Limits from Permit No. 41-00E

Table ES-2. Emissions Results Summary of Test #2

Unit	Test Parameter	Emission Rate	Limit^
Chip Dryer with Afterburner Maintained at Ave Temp of 1002 Deg F	Filterable + Condensable PM2.5	1.94 lbs/hr	0.56 Filterable + Condensable PM lbs/hr
	Filterable + Condensable PM10	1.94 lbs/hr	

^Limits from Permit No. 41-00E

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Air Dynamics Testing, LLC. (Air Dynamics) has prepared this source test report on behalf of Aludyne. Air Dynamics conducted source emissions testing on July 16th and 17th, 2020 at their facility in Montague, MI in accordance with the June 15, 2020 Aludyne test protocol approved by EGLE on July 1, 2020.

Table 1-1 below presents the emission unit(s) and parameters that were tested. The test was conducted in accordance with approved Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Registered Test Methods and the accepted EGLE Compliance Test Protocol Form included in Appendix E of this report.

Table 1-1. Emissions Sampling Summary

TEST LOCATION	PARAMETER	TEST METHOD	# OF TEST RUNS	SAMPLE DURATION (MIN)	ANALYTICAL APPROACH
EU-DRYER	EXHAUST FLOW	USEPA METHOD 1,2	3	60	PITOT TUBE
	EXHAUST TEMP	USEPA METHOD 1,2	3	60	THERMOCOUPLE
	O2/CO2	USEPA METHOD 3	3	60	FYRITE
	MOISTURE	USEPA METHOD 4	3	60	GRAVIMETRIC
	FILTERABLE PM	USEPA METHOD 5	3	60	GRAVIMETRIC
	CONDENSABLE PM	USEPA METHOD 202	3	60	GRAVIMETRIC

Table 1-2. Project Personnel

Firm	Contact	Title	Phone No.
Air Dynamics	Noah Dicen	Field Technician/Principal	855.839.8378
Air Dynamics	Marcus Allen	Field Technician	855.839.8378
Air Dynamics	Tyler Cooksey	Field Technician	855.839.8378
Aludyne	Mary Twa	EHS Manager	231.894.9051
Arcadis	Brad Saunders	Aludyne Consultant	517.974.4441
EGLE	Jeremy Howe	Environmental Quality Analyst	231.878.6687
EGLE	Eric Grinstern	Environmental Quality Specialist	616.558.0616

2.0 FACILITY DESCRIPTION AND SOURCE INFORMATION

2.1 Facility and Process Description

Aludyne Montague LLC., is located in Montague, Michigan, manufactures aluminum iron cast and machined chassis sub-frame automotive components. An aerial view of the facility is included below in Figure 2-1.

Figure 2-1. Aerial View of Facility

The source tested consist of:

Chip dryer consisting of an enclosed heated screw conveyor utilizing waste heat from the melting furnaces. The dryer has a fuel rating of 6.6 MMBtu/hr of natural gas. Exhaust gas is routed to a thermal oxidizer.

Aludyne Montague, LLC (B1925) January 3, 2020
Permit No. 41-00E Page 7 of 38

EU_Dryer
EMISSION UNIT CONDITIONS

DESCRIPTION

Chip dryer consisting of an enclosed heated screw conveyor utilizing waste heat from the melting furnaces. The dryer has a fuel rating of 6.6 MMBtu/hr of natural gas. Exhaust gas is routed to a thermal oxidizer.

Flexible Group ID: NA

POLLUTION CONTROL EQUIPMENT

Natural gas fired 7 MMBtu/hr Thermal Oxidizer

I. EMISSION LIMIT(S)

Pollutant	Limit	Time Period / Operating Scenario	Equipment	Monitoring / Testing Method	Underlying Applicable Requirements
1. Dioxins and furans	3.5×10^{-5} gr D/F TEQ per ton of feed/charge	Hourly	EU_Dryer	SC V.1	40 CFR 63.1503, 40 CFR 63.1505(c)(2)
2. PM10	0.56 pph	Hourly	EU_Dryer	SC V.1	R 336.1205, R 336.1224, R 336.1225
3. PM2.5	0.56 pph	Hourly	EU_Dryer	SC V.1	R 336.1205, R 336.1224, R 336.1225
4. VOC	0.80 lb/ton of feed/charge	Hourly	EU_Dryer	SC V.1	R 336.1205, R 336.1702

II. MATERIAL LIMIT(S)

1. The aluminum chips charge rate for EU_Dryer shall not exceed 60,000 pounds per day based on daily usage records. **(R 336.1205, R 336.1224, R 336.1225)**

2. The feedstock charged to EU_Dryer shall be only unpainted/uncoated aluminum chips. **(R 336.1224, R 336.1225, 40 CFR 63.1503, 40 CFR 63.1506(f)(3))**

III. PROCESS/OPERATIONAL RESTRICTION(S)

1. The permittee shall not operate EU_Dryer unless the thermal oxidizer is installed, maintained and operated in a satisfactory manner. Satisfactory operation of the thermal oxidizer includes a minimum temperature of 1400°F or the temperature established during the most recent acceptable stack test, and a minimum retention time of 0.5 seconds. **(R 336.1205, R 336.1224, R 336.1225, R 336.1702, R 336.1910)**

2. The permittee shall maintain and operate EU_Dryer and the associated thermal oxidizer according to the procedures outlined in the Operations, Malfunction, Maintenance and Monitoring (OM&M) Plan and Startup, Shutdown and Malfunction (SSM) Plan required in Subpart 40 requirements and as specified in Appendix A. DMI must comply with the OM&M and SSM Plans, and deviations from the plans will be considered deviations from permit requirements. **(40 CFR Part 63 Subpart RRR, R 336.1910, EPA-5-15-113(a)-MI-04)**

3.0 SUMMARY OF EVENTS AND RESULTS

3.1 Summary of Test Events

Air Dynamics arrived at Aludyne the afternoon of Wednesday July 15th, 2020 to setup equipment for testing on the EU-Dryer. On July 16th, Air Dynamics performed Test #1. Three (3) test runs for Particulate Matter/PM10/PM2.5 were conducted that day. The following day on July 17th, 2020, Test #2 was conducted for Particulate Matter/PM10/PM2.5 and three (3) runs were also conducted. Aludyne ran the thermal oxidizer / afterburner controlling emissions from EU Chip Dryer at different temperature settings on each day of testing, the information can be found in Appendix F of this document. The start and stop times of Test #1 and #2 are located in Table 3-1 and 3-2.

3.2 Deviation from Test Plan

Deviations from the Test Plan included the following:

After submittal of the test protocol and before conduction of the testing, a decision was made to conduct two sets of three 1-hour test runs instead of one set of three 1-hour test runs.

3.3 Results – EU Chip Dryer

Table 3-1. Results – Test #1 Particulate Matter/PM10/PM2.5

Stack Gas Characteristics	Run 1 7/16/20 (11:15 – 12:17)	Run 2 7/16/20 (12:45 – 13:47)	Run 3 7/16/20 (14:00 – 15:01)	Average
Filterable (gr/dscf)	0.00267	0.00117	0.00107	0.00163
Filterable (lbs/hr)	0.17	0.07	0.06	0.10
Condensable (gr/dscf)	0.01964	0.01263	0.01353	0.01527
Condensable (lbs/hr)	1.22	0.79	0.82	0.94
Filterable + Condensable (gr/dscf)	0.02231	0.01380	0.01459	0.01690
Filterable + Condensable (lbs/hr)	1.38	0.86	0.89	1.04
Filterable + Condensable (lbs/ton)	1.2327	0.7603	0.6892	0.8941
Oxygen %	20.0	20.3	20.1	20.1
Carbon Dioxide %	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.2
Actual Cubic Feet / Minute	10,729	10,753	10,404	10,629
Dry Standard Cubic Feet / Minute	7,228	7,286	7,083	7,199
Avg. Stack Temp. (deg. F)	282.8	278.5	274.8	278.7
Stack Gas Velocity (feet/sec)	32.02	32.09	31.05	31.72
%Isokinetics (Vn/Vs)	99.2	98.5	99.9	99.2
% Moisture of Stack Gas	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.3
Sample Volume (cubic feet)	41.013	41.053	40.503	40.856
Production Rate (tons/hr)	1.12	1.13	1.29	1.18

Table 3-2. Results – Test #2 Particulate Matter/PM10/PM2.5

Stack Gas Characteristics	Run 1 7/17/20 (08:15 – 09:17)	Run 2 7/17/20 (09:35 – 10:36)	Run 3 7/17/20 (10:55 – 11:56)	Average
Filterable (gr/dscf)	0.00412	0.00356	0.00413	0.00394
Filterable (lbs/hr)	0.23	0.20	0.23	0.22
Condensable (gr/dscf)	0.02952	0.04489	0.01815	0.03085
Condensable (lbs/hr)	1.66	2.50	1.02	1.72
Filterable + Condensable (gr/dscf)	0.03364	0.04845	0.02228	0.03479
Filterable + Condensable (lbs/hr)	1.89	2.70	1.25	1.94
Filterable + Condensable (lbs/ton)	1.6769	2.2152	1.0120	1.6347
Oxygen %	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Carbon Dioxide %	20.9	20.9	20.9	20.9
Actual Cubic Feet / Minute	8,714	8,643	8,781	8,712
Dry Standard Cubic Feet / Minute	6,552	6,491	6,537	6,527
Avg. Stack Temp. (deg. F)	205.9	210.1	210.1	208.7
Stack Gas Velocity (feet/sec)	26.00	25.79	26.20	26.00
%Isokinetics (Vn/Vs)	100.0	101.4	103.0	101.5
% Moisture of Stack Gas	3.6	3.1	4.0	3.6
Sample Volume (cubic feet)	37.482	37.679	38.513	37.892
Production Rate (tons/hr)	1.13	1.22	1.23	1.19

4.0 METHODOLOGY

The sampling procedures used by Air Dynamics were performed according to Title 40 CFR Part 60 Appendix A and are as follows:

Table 4-1. Sampling Procedures

Method	Description
US EPA Method 1	Determination of Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources
US EPA Method 2	Determination of Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate
US EPA Method 3	Gas Analysis for the Determination of Molecular Weight
US EPA Method 4	Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gas
US EPA Method 5	Determination of Particulate Matter Emissions
US EPA Method 202	Determination of Condensable Particulate Matter

4.1 Sample Point Determination-EPA Method 1

Sampling point locations were determined according to EPA Reference Method 1.

Table 4-2. Sampling Points

Locations	Dimensions	Ports	Points Per Port	Total Points
EU-Dryer Stack	32"	2	6	12

** Exact measurement points and distances to disturbances are listed in Appendix B - Field Data.

4.2 Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate – EPA Method 2

EPA Method 2 was used to determine the gas velocity and flow rate at the stack. Each set of velocity determinations included the measurement of gas velocity pressure and gas temperature at each of the Method 1 determined traverse points. The velocity pressures were measured with a Type S pitot tube. Gas temperature measurements were made with a Type K thermocouple and digital pyrometer.

4.3 Gas Composition and Molecular Weight – EPA Method 3

The oxygen and carbon dioxide concentrations were determined in accordance with EPA Method 3 using a Fyrite analyzer. The remaining stack gas constituent was assumed to be nitrogen for the stack gas molecular weight determination.

4.4 Moisture Content – EPA Method 4

The flue gas moisture content at the testing locations was determined in accordance with EPA Method 4. The gas moisture was determined by quantitatively measuring condensed moisture in the chilled impingers and silica absorption. The amount of moisture condensed was determined gravimetrically. A dry gas meter was used to measure the volume of gas sampled. Moisture content is used to determine stack gas velocity.

4.5 Determination of Filterable PM– EPA Method 5

Particulate matter (PM) was withdrawn isokinetically from the source and collected on a glass fiber filter maintained at a temperature of 120 ± 14°C (248 ± 25°F) or such other temperature as specified by an applicable subpart of the standards or approved by the Administrator for a particular application. The PM mass, which includes any material that condenses at or above the filtration temperature, was determined gravimetrically after the removal of uncombined water. A diagram of the Method 5 train is shown below in Figure 4-1.



Figure 4-1. Method 5 Sampling Train

4.6 Determination of Condensable PM – EPA Method 202

The CPM was collected in dry impingers after filterable PM was collected on a filter maintained as specified in either Method 5 of Appendix A-3 to part 60, Method 17 of Appendix A-6 to part 60, or Method 201A of Appendix M. The organic and aqueous fractions of the impingers and an out-of-stack CPM filter were then desiccated and weighed by a subcontracted lab. The total of the impinger fractions and the CPM filter represents the CPM. A diagram of the Method 202 sampling train is presented below in Figure 4-2.



Figure 4-2 Method 202 Sampling Train

5.0 AIR DYNAMICS QUALITY ASSURANCE AND QUALITY CONTROL

5.1 Sampling Protocol

Air Dynamics Testing (Air Dynamics) is organized to facilitate sample management, analytical performance management, and data management. Personnel are assigned specific tasks to ensure implementation of the quality assurance/quality control (QA/QC) program. The Senior Project Manager in charge of air emission measurement projects reports directly to the Director of Air Analysis Services and are the QA officers responsible for program effectiveness and compliance.

The analysts perform the data reduction, analyses, and initial data review. Each analyst must check and initial their work, making certain that it is complete, determining that any instrumentation utilized has been properly calibrated, and ensuring that the analysis has been performed within the QA/QC limits.

The Senior Project Manager evaluates and verifies the data submitted by the analysts, verifies that the data and documentation are complete, confirms that all analysis has been performed within QA criteria specific to each method, checks calculations, assembles and signs the data package, and reviews the final report.

5.2 Equipment Maintenance and Calibration

The Field Supervisor and Field Technicians are in charge of routine maintenance and calibration of all source-testing equipment. Relevant calibration information is included in the Appendices of this report.

5.2.1 Equipment Maintenance

All major pieces of equipment have maintenance logs where all maintenance activities are recorded and documented. Table 5-1 shows routine maintenance that is performed on Air Dynamics source testing equipment.

Table 5-1. Test Equipment - Routine Maintenance Schedule

Equipment	Acceptance Limits	Frequency of Service	Methods of Service
Pumps	• Absence of leaks • Ability to draw vacuum within equipment specifications	Every 500 hours of operation or 6-months, whichever is less	• Visual inspection • Lubrication
Flow Meters	• Free mechanical movement • Absence of malfunction • Calibration within tolerance	Every 500 hours of operation or 6-months whichever is less	• Visual inspection • Clean • Calibrate
Electronic Instrumentation	• Absence of malfunction • Proper response to calibration gases and signals	As recommended by manufacturer or when required due to unacceptable limits	• Clean • Replace parts as necessary • Other recommended manufacturer service
Mobile Laboratory Sampling System	• Absence of leaks. • Sample lines clean and free of debris • Proper input flow rates to analyzers	At least once per month or sooner depending on nature of use.	• Change filters • Change gas dryer • Leak check • Check for contamination
Sample Lines	• Absence of soot and particulate buildup • Adequate sample flow	At least once per month or sooner depending on nature of use.	• Flush with solvents and water • Heat and purge line with nitrogen

5.2.2 Equipment Calibration

Current calibration information on equipment used during testing is included in the Appendices of this report.

The S-Type pitot tubes are calibrated initially upon purchase and then semiannually. Visual measurements are taken prior to each use to insure accidental damage has not occurred. Measurements are performed using a micrometer and protractor.

Each temperature sensor is marked and identified. This is done by marking each thermocouple end connector with a number. The sensor is calibrated as a unit with the control box potentiometer and associated lead wire as an identified unit. Calibrations are performed initially and annually at three set-points over the range of expected temperatures for that particular thermocouple. A reference output-voltage/thermocouple calibrator is used as a temperature reference source for the multi-point calibrations.

The field barometer is adjusted initially and semiannually to within 0.1" Hg of the actual atmospheric pressure at the Air Dynamics laboratory facility in Indianapolis, Indiana. All dry gas field meters are calibrated before initial use. Once the meter is placed in operation, its calibration is checked after each test series or bimonthly, whichever is less. Dry gas meters are calibrated against a NIST reference meter or orifice.

The dry gas meter orifice is calibrated before its initial use and then annually. This calibration is performed during the calibration of the dry gas test meter. The unit is checked in the field after every series of tests using a field gas-meter check procedure.

Analytical balances are internally calibrated prior to use following the manufacturer's instructions. The balances are further checked using Class S-1 analytical weights prior to daily usage. Field top loading balances are checked with a field analytical weight prior to usage.

6.0 AIR DYNAMICS DATA REDUCTION VALIDATION AND REPORTING

The data presented in final reports are reviewed three times. First, the analyst reviews and certifies that the raw data complies with technical controls, documentation requirements, and standard group procedures. Second, the Senior Project Manager reviews and certifies that data packages comply to specifications for sample holding conditions, chain of custody, data documentation, and the final report is free of transcription errors. Third, a QA review is performed by additional senior personnel. This review thoroughly examines the entire completed data report. Once the review process is completed, the report is approved by Air Dynamics senior personnel and issued. All raw laboratory data and final reports are stored for a minimum of 5 years.