### I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

The Marathon Petroleum Company LP's Environmental Field Services Section conducted a performance test on the Zink Vapor Recovery Unit located at the Romulus Terminal Loading facility. This facility serves as a vapor recovery system for their bulk gasoline and diesel fuel loading. The testing was conducted on July 14, 2020.

The purpose of the testing was to determine the volatile organic compounds (VOC's) emissions rate from the Zink vapor recovery unit's exhaust outlet during truck loading procedures. The emission rate was compared to the regulatory emissions rate as specified by the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy for the bulk gasoline terminals.

Test methods followed those as detailed in the <u>Code of Federal Regulations</u>, CFR40, Part 60, Subpart XX and Part 63, Subpart R. Specific procedures used were EPA Methods 2A, 21, 25B and Subsection 60.503 (d).

The testing was conducted by Mr. Aaron Boyd of Refining Analytical and Development's Environmental Field Services Section. Mr. Victor Brzeg of MPLX Terminals LLC's Environmental Department coordinated the testing.

# I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY (cont.)

A total of 20 trucks were loaded during the testing period. A total of 92,269 gallons of accountable gasoline was recorded for measurement of VOC's emissions. The testing period met the 6 hour mininum as required in Subpart XX.

# The test results are as follows:

Total mass of organic compounds emissions of VRU during the 9 hour test (mg as propane)	3,153
Emissions rate of total organic compounds, mg/liter of gasoline loaded (as propane)	0.009
Emissions rate of total organic compounds, mg/liter of total volume loaded (as propane)	0.006
54 mg/L equivalency concentration, gasoline throughput	1.62
54 mg/L equivalency concentration, total throughput	2.43

### II. PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Marathon Petroleum Company LP's Romulus truck loading facility utilizes a Zink Vapor Recovery Unit to adsorb organic vapors emitted from the bottom tank during loading procedures. This process consists of two (2) carbon beds which continually cycle and regenerate every 30 minutes. The gas vapor, which adsorbs on the activated carbon after going through the absorbing tower, is vented to the atmosphere. After adsorption cycle is complete, the bed recycles under vacuum at 27.5 inches of water while the other bed is being utilized. During the recycle process in the carbon adsorber, a dry vacuum pump pulls the hydrocarbon from the carbon. The hydrocarbon vapors from the carbon adsorber are mixed with the vacuum pump seal fluid and are discharged to an absorber/separator.

The liquid hydrocarbons are condensed and separated from the seal fluid in the separator compartment and discharged back to a holding tank. Any remaining hydrocarbons pass through the packed absorber tower and are contacted by the fresh stream of gasoline which absorbs most of the remaining hydrocarbons. The small amount of hydrocarbon that is left then leaves the top of the absorber and is directed back to the carbon adsorber which starts the whole process again.

The VOC's sampling point is located at the exhaust of the turbine meter where the volume of exhaust air is measured.

### III. SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

A volatile organic compounds emissions compliance test was conducted on the Romulus Terminal's Zink Vapor Recovery unit. The testing was conducted on July 14, 2020.

The test procedures used followed those as required in the <u>Code of Federal Regulations</u>, CFR40, Part 60, Subpart XX including EPA Methods 2A, 21 and 25B and Subsection 60.503 and CFR40, Part 63, Subpart R.

The vapor recovery unit emissions rate was determined by monitoring a number of parameters on the controlled system. These parameters included:

- 1. A complete leak check on the vapor recovery unit system including all of the connections and hoses at the loading bays.
- 2. An organic vapor leak check of each tank compartment of each truck loading gasoline during the loading process.
- 3. A determination of the vapor flow rate exhausted from the carbon bed adsorber beds.
- 4. A determination of the volume of fuel loaded during the test period.
- 5. A determination of the emissions rate of hydrocarbons during the test period.

## III. SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES(cont)

# A. <u>Vapor Recovery Unit Initial Leak Check</u>

An initial organic vapor leak check on the vapor recovery unit was conducted during the loading process prior to testing on July 14, 2020. All connections and hose fittings were checked using EPA Method 21 procedures. A RKI Instruments, Gas Tracer, Organic Vapor Analyzer, was used to detect any leakage.

## B. Tank Truck Compartment Leak Checks

During the loading process of each tank truck for gasoline, an organic leak check was conducted on each compartment. The leak check consisted of checking each dome and gasket and hose connection to vent line. Leaks were logged along with the load capacity to determine accountability of each load for determining emissions rate. Only test data obtained during the loading of leak free trucks were used in the final calculation of vapor recovery organic emissions rate.

# C. Vapor Flow Rate

### **Carbon Beds**

The vapor volume flow rate from the exhaust was determined by using an American Turbine Meter following EPA Method 2A. The meter was connected to a 8" line connecting the exhaust outlet. Readings were taken every 5 minutes.

### D. Fuel Volume Determination

During each tanker loading process, the volume loaded was logged along with the tanker ID and purchaser. The data used in the determination of the volume of gasoline, for both accountable and total volumes came from Fuel Facs.

# III. SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES(cont)

# E. <u>Determination of Total Organic Concentrations</u>

The total hydrocarbon sampling and analysis of both carbon beds were determined on site using an Infrared Industries IR208 NDIR Continuous Gas Monitoring Analyzer following EPA Method 25B. The sampling port was connected from the 10" tee leading to the turbine meter.

Zero gas and EPA Protocol 1 calibration standards in nitrogen were used in the calibration of the IR instrument. The zero gas and the low standard concentration gas was sent from the bottle to the three way valve and back through the sampling line for the leak check determination and efficiency of the sampling line.

A multi-gas cylinder consisting of propane and methane was used for analyzer verification purposes prior to and following the test and during each hourly drift check.

# IV. TEST RESULTS

The results of the volatile organic compound emissions performance testing are summarized in Table IV-1.

The test results indicate an average emissions rate of 0.009 milligrams/liter of gasoline loaded for the test.

A total of 349,238 liters (92,269 gallons) of accountable gasoline was loaded into 20 separate during the 6 hour test period.

A summary of the emissions rate equations is presented in Appendix A. All performance test field and calculation summary data are presented in Appendix B. All fuel dispensing and truck tank data are presented in Appendix C. Instrument and test equipment calibration data are presented in Appendix D. EPA approval letter is presented in Appendix E.

### **SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE TEST RESULTS**

TABLE: IV-1 COMPANY: Marathon Petroleum Company LP, Romulus Terminal RECEIVED SOURCE: Zink Vapor Recovery Unit TEST DATE: July 14, 2020 AUG 13 2020 TEST TIME: 0554-1225 Hrs 148,230 QUALITY DIVISION Total volume of accountable fuel loaded (diesel & gasoline), gallons Total volume of accountable fuel loaded 561,051 (diesel & gasoline), liters Total volume of total gasoline loaded, gallons 92,269 Total volume of accountable gasoline loaded, gallons 92,269 Total volume of accountable gasoline loaded, liters 349,238 Average VOC PPM by volume concentration for Zink Unit 2.7 (propane equivalent) 3,153 Total mass of emissions (as propane),mg Emissions rate of VOC, total gasoline loaded, mg/l 0.009 Emissions rate of VOC, total volume loaded, mg/l 0.006 1.62 54 mg/L equivalency concentration, total gasoline 2.43 54 mg/L equivalency concentration, total throughput Stack gas volumetric flow rate, scfm 62.5 Displacement volume (ft3) 22,600 Displacement volume (scf) 22,492 Total test period, minutes 360 Emissions rate of VOC, lb/hr 0.001

0.004

Emissions rate of VOC, tons/yr

# APPENDIX A

**Emissions Rate Calculation Equations** 

### SUMMARY OF RELATIVE ACCURACY EQUATIONS

The total organic compounds mass emissions shall be calculated as follows:

$$E = \underbrace{i = 1 \text{ Mei}}_{I}$$

where:

E = Mass of total organic compounds emitted per volume of gasoline loaded, mg/liter

Mei = Mass of total organic compounds emitted during testing interval i, mg

L = Total volume of gasoline loaded, liters

n = Number of testing interval

Ves =  $Vs \times 0.02832 \times Cm \times 17.64 \times (Pb + Px/13.6)/Tg$ 

where:

Ves = Exhaust standard gas volume, scm, @ 20°C and 760 mm Hg

Vs = Exhaust gas volume, cf

Cm = Meter coefficient

Pb = Barometric Pressure, "Hg.

Px = Meter Pressure, "H2O

Tg = Temperature of inlet gas, deg. R

The mass emitted during each testing interval shall be calculated as follows:

Mei = K Ves Ce

where:

Mei = Mass of total organic compounds emitted during testing interval i, mg

Ves = Exhaust gas volume, m3, at standard conditions

C<sub>e</sub> = Total organic compounds concentration (as measured) at the exhaust vent, ppmv

K = Density of calibration gas, mg/sm3, at standard conditions

 $= 1.83 \times 10^{6}$ , for propane

s = Standard conditions, 20°C and 760 mm Hg

### **SUMMARY OF EMISSION RATE EQUATIONS**

The mass concentration equivalent to 20 mg/l in percent:

20 mg/l equiv = <u>average conc,% x 20</u> Conc, mg/l total

where:

20 mg/l equiv. = 20 milligrams/liter equivalent concentration

avg. conc, % = average concentration of vru outlet

20 = 20 mg/l applicable standard

Conc, mg/l total = Compliance test results for total volume