

# RECEIVED

Pace Analytical Services, LLC 1700 Elm Street SE Minneapolis, MN 55414 Phone: 612.607.1700 Fax: 612.607.6388 www.pacelabs.com

APR 08 2019

**AIR QUALITY DIVISION** 

# Comprehensive Emissions Test Report

East Wakefield Compressor Station Nitrogen Oxides Compliance Testing

Testing Date(s): February 26, 2019 Report Date: April 3, 2019 Revision Date: No revision to date

### **Report Prepared For:**

Kelly Henry Northern Natural Gas 1120 Centre Pointe Drive Suite 400 Mendota Heights, MN 55120 Telephone No.: (651) 456-1712 E-mail Address: kelly.henry@nngco.com

### **Report Preparation Supervised By:**

Terry Borgerding Pace Analytical Services, LLC 1700 Elm Street, Suite 200 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone No.: (612) 607-6374 E-mail Address: terry.borgerding@pacelabs.com

Pace Project No. 19-01592





Subject Facility: Northern Natural Gas East Wakefield Compressor Station 280 M28E Wakefield, MI 49968

Regulatory Permit No.: Permit to Install 3-18 State Registration No. P0890

#### Subject Emission Sources:

NE2 Turbine NE1 Turbine EUTURBINE2 EUTURBINE1

Test Locations: Stack Stack

SVTURBINE2 SVTURBINE1

# Table of Contents

Report Co	ver		1
Table of C	ont	ents	2
Regulator	y Sı	ummary	3
Introductio	n		4
Results Su	umn	nary	5
Summary	Tab	bles	6
Tab	ble	1 Gas Monitoring Results, NE2 Turbine Stack	7
Tab	ole	2 Gas Monitoring Results, NE1 Turbine Stack	8
Process D	esc	ription	9
Test Proce	edui	res	10
Report Sig	gnat	ures	15
Appendix	А	Field Data Sheets and Documentation	A-1
Appendix	В	Quantitation and Laboratory Reports	B-1
Appendix	С	Calculation Equations and Report Nomenclature	C-1
Appendix	D	Quality Assurance Information	D-1
Appendix	E	Source/Process/Plant Information	E-1
Appendix	F	Test Protocol and Pretest Correspondence	F-1

## **Regulatory Summary**

#### Subject Facility: Northern Natural Gas East Wakefield Compressor Station Plant Address: 280 M28E Wakefield, MI 49968

Permit to Install: 3-18 State Registration: P0890

Emission Unit IDs	Emission Unit Name	Regulated Constituent	Regulatory Citations	Regulatory Limit	Average Test Result
	NE2 Turbine	Nitrogen Oxides	40 CFR 60.4320(a) 40 CFR 52.21(c) & (d)	≤100 PPM @ 15% O₂	73.5 PPM, Dry @ 15% O <sub>2</sub>
EUTURBINE2			R 336.1205(1)(a), 40 CFR 52.21(c) & (d)	≤7.24 LB/HR	4.47 LB/HR
EUTURBINE1	NE1 Turbine	Nitrogen Oxides	40 CFR 60.4320(a) 40 CFR 52.21(c) & (d)	≤100 PPM @ 15% O₂	76.7 PPM, Dry @ 15% O₂
			R 336.1205(1)(a), 40 CFR 52.21(c) & (d)	≤7.24 LB/HR	4.67 LB/HR
	Natural Gas Sample	Total Sulfur Content	40 CFR Section 60.4330(a)(2)	0.06 LB/MMBTU	<0.000044 LB/MMBTU

## **Introduction**

Pace Analytical Services, LLC personnel conducted nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) emission compliance testing on two natural gas-fired combustion turbine stacks (NE2 Turbine & NE1 Turbine) at the Northern Natural Gas - East Wakefield Compressor Station located in Wakefield, Michigan. Matt McDermott performed on-site testing activities on February 26, 2019. Terry Borgerding provided administrative project management. Kelly Henry with Northern Natural Gas coordinated plant activities during testing. Pace Analytical Services, LLC prepared a comprehensive test protocol that was submitted to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) prior to testing. On-site activities consisted of the following measurements on each stack:

- Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>), three independent one-hour monitoring periods.
- Nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), three independent one-hour monitoring periods.
- Fuel sample for sulfur content.

The project objectives were to quantify  $NO_x$  emission constituents and compare them to applicable air emissions regulations stipulated by MDEQ and the facility permit. These measurements were performed while the turbine was operating at or above 75% peak load and the ambient temperature was above 0°F. Quality protocols comply with regulatory compliance testing requirements.

Subsequent sections summarize the test results and provide descriptions of the process and test methods. Supporting information and raw data are in the appendices.

### **Results Summary**

Results of NO<sub>x</sub> determinations for the NE2 Turbine Stack are summarized in Table 1. The NO<sub>x</sub> concentration averaged 73.5 PPM, Dry @ 15% O<sub>2</sub> and the emission rate averaged 4.47 LB/HR. The NO<sub>x</sub> emission limits for this source are 100 PPM @ 15% O<sub>2</sub> and 7.24 LB/HR.

Results of NO<sub>x</sub> determinations for the NE1 Turbine Stack are summarized in Table 2. The NO<sub>x</sub> concentration averaged 76.7 PPM, Dry @ 15% O<sub>2</sub> and the emission rate averaged 4.67 LB/HR. The NO<sub>x</sub> emission limits for this source are 100 PPM @ 15% O<sub>2</sub> and 7.24 LB/HR.

The NO<sub>x</sub> emission rate (LB/HR) was calculated using EPA Method 19 procedures and the engine fuel flow (MMBTU/HR).

The laboratory report of the sulfur analyses performed on a fuel gas sample collected during testing is included in Appendix B. The sulfur content was <0.032 GR/100 SCF.

The data in this report are indicative of emission characteristics of the measured sources for process conditions at the time of the test. Representations to other sources and test conditions are beyond the scope of this report.

Summary Tables

# Northern Natural Gas

East Wakefield Compressor Station Wakefield, MI Pace Project No. 19-01592 Table 1 Gas Monitoring Results NE2 Turbine Stack Test 1

<b>Parameter</b> Date of Run Time of Run Sample Duration (Minutes)	<b>Run 1</b> 2/26/19 0950-1050 60	<b>Run 2</b> 2/26/19 1103-1203 60	<b>Run 3</b> 2/26/19 1230-1330 60	Average		
Compressor Flow, MMscfd	92.37	92.47	92.93	92.59		
Oxygen, %v/v - Dry	16.06	15.95	15.95	15.99		
Constituent Concentration, PPMv - Dry Nitrogen Oxides as NO2	58.9	62.6	62.1	61.2		
Corrected Constituent Concentrations, PPM, Nitrogen Oxides as NO2	dry @ 15% C 71.8	oxygen 74.6	74.1	73.5		
Constituent Emission Factors, LB/MMBTU (F-factor = 8710) Nitrogen Oxides as NO2 0.264 0.275 0.273 0.271						
Heat Input, MMBTU/HR	16.53	16.60	16.43	16.52		
Fuel Input, MMCF (natural gas=1030 BTU/CF	) 0.0160	0.0161	0.0160	0.0160		
NOx, LB/HR (LB/MMBTU x MMBTU/HR)	4.37	4.57	4.49	4.47		
NOx LB/MMCF Fuel Burned	272	283	281	279		

Report Date 4/3/2019

# Northern Natural Gas

East Wakefield Compressor Station Wakefield, MI Pace Project No. 19-01592 Table 2 Gas Monitoring Results NE1 Turbine Stack Test 1

<b>Parameter</b> Date of Run Time of Run Sample Duration (Minutes)	<b>Run 4</b> 2/26/19 1355-1455 60		<b>Run 6</b> 2/26/19 1713-1813 60	Average	
Compressor Flow, MMscfd	86.97	87.23	87.30	87.17	
Oxygen, %v/v - Dry	15.88	15.87	15.92	15.89	
Constituent Concentration, PPMv - Dry Nitrogen Oxides as NO2	63.8	67.5	64.0	65.1	
Corrected Constituent Concentrations, PPM, Nitrogen Oxides as NO2	dry @ 15% O 75.0	xygen 79.2	75.8	76.7	
Constituent Emission Factors, LB/MMBTU (F-factor = 8710) Nitrogen Oxides as NO2 0.276 0.292 0.279 0.283					
Heat Input, MMBTU/HR	16.50	16.53	16.55	16.53	
Fuel Input, MMCF (natural gas=1030 BTU/CF	<sup>F</sup> ) 0.0160	0.0160	0.0161	0.0160	
NOx, LB/HR (LB/MMBTU x MMBTU/HR)	4.56	4.82	4.62	4.67	
NOx LB/MMCF Fuel Burned	285	301	288	291	

### **Process Description**

Northern Natural Gas - East Wakefield Compressor Station is a natural gas compressor station located in Wakefield, Michigan. Compressor stations compress natural gas to specified pressure along natural gas pipelines allowing the gas to continue moving through the pipeline to the intended recipient. The facility consists of two 1,679 HP simple-cycle natural gas-fired combustion turbines for compressing natural gas.

Test related process and operational details were collected by Northern Natural Gas personnel and included in Appendix E.

**EPA Method 1** specifies test location acceptability criteria and defines the minimum number of traverse points for representative sampling. Linear measurements from upstream and downstream flow disturbances and the duct equivalent diameter are compared and the distances related to number of diameters. A flow disturbance can be defined as anything that changes or upsets the direction of flow within the duct including bends, dampers, fans, shape or size transitions, and open flames. Method 1 stipulates that test ports should be located at least eight diameters downstream and two diameters upstream of any flow disturbance. The minimum acceptable criteria are two diameters downstream and 0.5 diameters upstream of flow. Once the distances have been determined, the values are used to select the minimum number of traverse points for representative sampling. Shorter distances require a greater number of traverse points. The test site configuration and measurement details are documented on EPA Method 1 Field Data Sheet.

Pace FSD conducts the method as written with no routine deviations.

**EPA Method 3A** defines procedures to measure carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) and oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) concentrations from stationary sources. A stainless steel sampling probe and a sampling line draw a sample of the gas stream from the duct to a thermo-electric gas conditioner to remove moisture. The conditioned gas stream is delivered to an infrared gas analyzer to quantify CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and paramagnetic gas analyzer to quantify O<sub>2</sub> concentrations of EPA Protocol 1 CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> mixed standards specific to the target calibration range. A computerized data acquisition system logs CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> concentrations for one-minute averages. The logged results are integrated to test periods and tabulated with standardized and validated spreadsheets in Microsoft Excel. The operator also maintains comprehensive test records in the electronic Project Results Instrumental Workbook. Equipment used for CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> testing includes:

Probe Material: Moisture Removal:	Stainless Steel Thermo-electric
Transfer Line:	Teflon™
Analytical Technique:	Non-dispersive Infrared Detector (CO <sub>2</sub> )
Calibration Gas:	Paramagnetic Detector (O <sub>2</sub> ) EPA Protocol 1

Method Defined Quality Control:

- Sampling system leak-checks are performed before each test and following any component change. Absence of leaks is confirmed through the bias check after each run.

- Calibration gas standards of the highest quality, Protocol 1 or traceable to NIST, are used in calibrations.
- Analyzer calibration error is determined before initial run and after any failed bias or drift test.
- Analyzer bias is verified once per test.
- System bias check is performed before and after each test.
- Calibration drift test is performed after each test run.
- System response time is determined during initial sampling system bias test.
- Stratification test is performed prior to first run.
- Purge time of  $\ge 2x$  the response time observed before starting data collection and recording stratification traverse point values.

Pace FSD conducts the method as written with no routine deviations.

EPA Method 7E defines procedures to measure nitrogen oxide (NOx) emissions from stationary sources. A stainless steel sampling probe and a heat-traced Teflon™ sampling line draw a sample of the gas stream from the duct to a thermo-electric gas The sample gas stream is delivered to a conditioner to remove moisture. chemiluminescence NO-NO2-NOx analyzer to quantify NOx emissions. Zero grade cylinder air or a zero gas generator provides zero gas. Span gases include varying concentrations of EPA NOx standards specific to the target calibration range. A computerized data acquisition system logs NOx concentrations for one-minute The logged results are integrated to test periods and tabulated with averages. standardized and validated spreadsheets in Microsoft Excel. The operator also maintains comprehensive test records in the electronic Project Results Instrumental Workbook. Equipment used for NOx testing includes:

Probe Material:	Stainless Steel
Moisture Removal:	Thermo-electric
Transfer Line:	Teflon™
Analytical Technique:	Chemiluminescence
Calibration Gas:	EPA Protocol 1

Method Defined Quality Control:

- Sampling system leak-checks are performed before each test and following any component change. Absence of leaks is confirmed through the bias check after each run.
- Calibration gas standards of the highest quality, Protocol 1 or traceable to NIST, are used in calibrations.
- Analyzer calibration error is determined before initial run and after any failed bias or drift test.
- Analyzer bias is verified once per test.
- System bias check is performed before and after each test.
- Calibration drift test is performed after each test run.

- System response time is determined during initial sampling system bias test.
- Stratification test is performed prior to first run.
- Purge time of ≥ 2x the response time observed before starting data collection and recording stratification traverse point values.
- NO2 to NO converter efficiency verified ≥ 90% before or after each test.

Pace FSD conducts the method as written with no routine deviations.

**EPA Method 19** describes data reduction procedures relating to particulate matter (PM), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>). This method is used to determine emission rates, overall reduction of potential SO<sub>2</sub> emissions, and SO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency. Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) or carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) concentrations and appropriate F-factors (ratios of combustion gas volumes to heat inputs) are used to calculate pollutant emission rates from pollutant concentrations. An overall SO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction efficiency is computed from the efficiency of fuel pretreatment systems, where applicable, and the efficiency of SO<sub>2</sub> control devices. The sulfur removal efficiency of a fuel pretreatment system is determined by fuel sampling and analysis of the sulfur and heat contents of the fuel before and after the pretreatment system. The SO<sub>2</sub> removal efficiency of a control device is determined by measuring the SO<sub>2</sub> rates before and after the control device. The inlet rates to SO<sub>2</sub> control systems are determined by fuel sampling and analysis; when SO<sub>2</sub> control systems are not used, SO<sub>2</sub> emission rates to the atmosphere are determined.

Pace FSD conducts the method as written with no routine deviations.

**Reference Standards.** Pace implements a comprehensive program to verify and validate reference standards to further enhance and support method standards. Primary reference standards are directly comparable to a reference base. The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) maintains primary reference materials or very closely traceable secondary standards. These materials are then used to certify secondary or transfer standards for use in quality management programs. Secondary reference standards are calibrated with primary standards using a high precision comparator. Materials that have a documented path to the primary standard are often referred to as traceable to NIST or NIST traceable. Where commercially and feasibly available, Pace uses primary reference standards are used to calibrate and verify equipment and materials. Pace reference standards are calibrated are used to calibrate and verify equipment and materials. Pace reference standards are calibrations are performed with equipment and materials that are traceable to NIST.

Quality Controls (not defined in test methods):

- Sampling/Recovery Reagents are Reagent Grade or better.
- Reference Temperature Simulator is calibrated annually.

- Reference Pressure Transducer is calibrated annually.
- Reference DryCal airflow meter is calibrated annually.
- Mercury Barometer is a primary reference standard.
- Liquid Manometers are primary reference standards.
- Angle Blocks, Gauge Blocks, and Measuring Rods are verified every five years.
- Angle Gauges are verified each day of use.
- Calipers are verified annually.
- Stainless steel reference weights are verified every five years.
- Analytical balances are calibrated annually and verified at each use.
- Field balances are calibrated annually and verified at each use.

**Quality Management System.** To produce data that is complete, representative, and of known precision and accuracy, Pace Analytical Field Services Division has designed and implemented a rigorous and innovative quality management system. The system was initially based on the USEPA Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems and continually developed as procedural complexities and standards progressed. The Field Services Division Quality Management System (Pace FSD QMS) is now accredited by the American Association of Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) to comply with three national accreditation standards:

- ASTM D7036 Standard Practice for Competence of Air Emission Testing Bodies (AETB).
- ISO 17025 General Requirements for the Competence of Testing and Calibration Laboratories
- The NELAC Institute General Requirements for Field Sampling and Measurement Organizations (FSMO)

The Pace FSD QMS includes:

- Quality Programs
  - Ethics policy and training.
  - Corrective Action and Preventative Action (CAPA).
  - Continuous Process Improvement.
  - Documented Demonstrations of Capability.
  - Internal and third party proficiency testing.
  - Qualified Individual program (QI)
  - Internal and external audits.
  - Annual management reviews.
  - Documentation and Traceability
    - High quality traceable standards and reagents.
    - Reagent tracking and management system.
    - Use of matrix spikes, duplicate analysis, internal standards, and blanks.
    - Validated workbooks for data collection and results reporting.
    - Electronic quality, training, and safety documents available in-field.
    - Sample security and preservation procedures.

- Chain of custody maintained from sample collection through laboratory analysis.
- Equipment Calibration
  - Full time staff dedicated to equipment maintenance and calibration.

All equipment and instruments are calibrated by trained personnel on a frequency that meets or exceeds method requirements.

### **Report Signatures**

Field Testing and Reporting Performed by: Pace Analytical Services, LLC

Pace Analytical Services, LLC Field Services Division 1700 Elm Street, Suite 200 Minneapolis, MN 55414

#### Field Testing Affirmation

All field testing was performed in accordance with stated test methods subject to modifications and deviations listed herein. Raw field data presented in this report accurately reflects results and information as recorded at the time of tests or otherwise noted.

Date \_

Mathew A. McDermott, QSTI Team Lead

#### **Report Affirmation**

To the best of my knowledge, this report accurately represents the compiled field and laboratory information with no material omissions, alterations or misrepresentations.

Beth Kelm

Date\_4/1/19

Client Coordinator

**Responsible Charge Affirmation** 

I have reviewed the information herein and it is approved for distribution.

Date 4/1/19

Terence & Borgerding, QSTI Operations Manager, Air

# Appendix A

### **Field Data Sheets and Documentation**

No handwritten or analogue data were collected during this monitoring event. Digital and electronic data collections are included in Appendix B.