

### **EMISSIONS PERFORMANCE TEST PROGRAM 40CFR60 JJJJ ENGINE TESTING**

Performed At

**Coldwater Board of Public Utilities Coldwater Peaking Plant** Coldwater, Michigan

Permit 80-14, SRN: P0521 **Engine Group (FGGEN1-3)** 

**Emission Units: EUGEN1 and EUGEN3** 

Test Dates

October 28, 2021

Report No.

**TRC Environmental Corporation Report 456357A** 

Report Submittal Date

December 2, 2021



### **Report Certification**

I certify that to the best of my knowledge:

- Testing data and all corresponding information have been checked for accuracy and completeness.
- o Sampling and analysis have been conducted in accordance with the approved protocol and applicable reference methods (as applicable).
- All deviations, method modifications, or sampling and analytical anomalies are summarized in the appropriate report narrative(s).

Jeg ranies

Jeff Daniels Senior Project Manager

December 2, 2021

Date

DEC 06 2021

AIR QUALITY DIV.

TRC was operating in conformance with the requirements of ASTM D7036-04 during this test program.

Bruce Randall

TRC Emission Testing Technical Director



### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.0 INTRODUCTION	4
2.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS	5
3.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	
4.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES	7 7 ing
4.3.1 CO <sub>2</sub> Determination by USEPA Method 3A	8
4.3.2 O <sub>2</sub> Determination by USEPA Method 3A	
4.3.3 NO <sub>x</sub> Determination by USEPA Method 7E	
4.3.4 CO Determination by USEPA Method 10	
4.4 Moisture Determination by USEPA Method 44.5 VOC Determination by USEPA Method 25A (Using GC/FID Instrumentation)	
5.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES	11
6.0 TEST RESULTS SUMMARY	12
APPENDIX	
AETB and QI Information Summary	16
Qualified Individual Certificate(s)	
Process and Pollution Control Equipment Operating Data	
Weather Data	23
Sample Location Information	24
Sample Train Diagrams	32
Calculation Nomenclature and Formulas	
Processed Field Data and Results	45
Sampling Equipment Calibration Data	88
NO <sub>2</sub> -to-NO Conversion Data	101
Response Time Data	102
Analyzer Interference Test Data	105
Calibration Gas Certificates	
Raw Field Data Sheets	
USEPA EMC ALT-106 Specifications	
MDEQ Test Protocol Letter	128



### **EMISSIONS PERFORMANCE TEST PROGRAM**

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

TRC Environmental Corporation (TRC) performed an emissions compliance test program on the Engine Group (FGGEN1-3) at the Coldwater Peaking Plant of Coldwater Board of Public Utilities in Coldwater, Michigan on October 28, 2021. The tests were authorized by and performed for Coldwater Board of Public Utilities

The purpose of this test program was to determine nitrogen oxides ( $NO_X$ ), carbon monoxide (CO) and volatile organic compound (VOC) emission rates during normal operating conditions. The results of the test program were used to verify compliance with the emissions performance test requirements presented in 40CFR60 Subpart JJJJ "Standards of performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Combustion Engines" and the facility air quality permit. The test program was conducted according to the TRC Test Protocol 456357A, dated September 17, 2021.

1.1 Project Contact Information

Participants		
Test Facility	Coldwater Board of Public Utilities Coldwater Peaking Plant State Registration No. P0521 250 North Filmore Road Coldwater, Michigan 49036	James P. Odneal Engineering & Technical Services Director (517) 279-6907 (phone) jodneal@coldwater.org
Test Coordinator	American Municipal Power, Inc. 1111 Schrock Road Columbus, Ohio 43229	David Chamberlain American Municipal Power, Inc. Director of Environmental Affairs (614) 540-0970 (phone) dchamberlain@amppartners.org
Air Emissions Testing Body (AETB)	TRC Environmental Corporation 7521 Brush Hill Road Burr Ridge, Illinois 60527	Jeffery Daniels Senior Project Manager 630-880-4754 (cell) jdaniels@trccompanies.com

The tests were conducted by Gavin Lewis, Ryan Novosel and Jeff Daniels of TRC. Documentation of the on-site ASTM D7036-04 Qualified Individual(s) (QI) is appended.



The following regulatory personnel observed the testing on October 28, 2021:

Chance Collins
State of Michigan
Department of Environmental, Great Lakes, and Energy
Environmental Quality Analyst
Air Quality Division
Kalamazoo District Office

### 1.2 Facility Description

The Coldwater Peaking Plant operates three engines with associated generators for electrical generation during peak (grid) demand periods. The engine group is designated as FGGEN1-3. The individual emission unit IDs for the engines are EUGEN1, EUGEN2, and EUGEN3. The total nominal capacity is approximately 13.0 MWe.

Each engine is a 6,023 horsepower (hp) natural gas-fueled engine with a 4,348 kilowatts (kWe) generator, manufactured in 2014. Emissions are controlled with selective catalytic reduction (SCR) and catalytic oxidation (CatOx).

The facility complies with the provisions of the federal Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources (NSPS) as specified in 40 CFR Part 60, Subparts A and JJJJ, as they apply to each engine of FGGEN1-3.

# 2.0 SUMMARY OF RESULTS DEC 0 6 2021

EUGEN2 was not operating and could not be tested in conjunction with EUGEN1 and EUGEN3. The average test results are summarized in the table below. Detailed individual run results are presented in Section 6.0.

### 2.1 Average Test Results

Parameter	Units	EUGEN1	EUGEN3	Emission Limit
NO	ppmvd	13.8	10.2	
NO <sub>x</sub>	lb/MMBtu	0.0304	0.0225	0.0368
	ppmvd	2.3	2.1	
со	g/hp-hr	0.01	0.01	0.04
	ppmvd at 15% O <sub>2</sub>	1.4	1.3	270
VOC	lb/MMBtu	0.005	0.006	0.056



The table below summarizes the test methods used, as well as the number and duration of each at each test location:

### 2.2 Performance Test Methods

Unit ID	Parameter Measured	Test Method	No. of Runs	Run Duration (min)
	Sample Location Evaluation	1	Pre-test	
EUGEN1 and EUGEN3	Volumetric Flow rate	2	3	~30
	Moisture	4	3	60
	NOx	7E	3	60
	СО	10	3	60
	VOC	25A, ALT-106	3	60

### **3.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS**

No problems were encountered with the testing equipment during the test program. EUGEN2 had mechanical problems and could not be tested, as scheduled. Source operation for EUGEN1 and EUGEN3 was normal during the entire test program.

During Run 1 on EUGEN3 the TRC data recorder froze up 10 minutes before the end of the run. The computer running the data recorder had to be re-booted. There was a short time period where no data was recorded while the computer and data recorder restarted. TRC continued sampling until the data set for Run 1 included 60 minutes of data. The complete data record is appended. TRC charted the data trend for Run 1 to show the interruption did not affect the data quality, or impact the test results.

No other changes or problems were encountered that required modification of any procedures presented in the test plan. No adverse test or environmental conditions were encountered during the conduct of this test program. Weather data is appended.



The following source operating data parameters were recorded by facility personnel:

### 3.1 Source Operating Data Parameters

Parameter Unit of Measure	
Fuel Gas Flow	Standard Cubic Feet per Hour (SCFH)
Urea Flow	Gallon per Hour (GPH)
Pressure Before Catalyst	Millibar (mbar)
Temperature Before Catalyst	Degree Celsius (°C)
Pressure After Catalyst	mbar
Temperature After Catalyst	°C
Engine Load	Kilowatt (kW)
Stack Temperature	°C

### 4.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYSIS PROCEDURES

All testing, sampling, analytical, and calibration procedures used for this test program were performed in accordance with the methods presented in the following sections. Where applicable, the Quality Assurance Handbook for Air Pollution Measurement Systems, Volume III, Stationary Source Specific Methods, USEPA 600/R-94/038c, September 1994 was used to supplement procedures.

### 4.1 Determination of Sample Point Locations by USEPA Method 1

This method is applicable to gas streams flowing in ducts, stacks, and flues and is designed to aid in the representative measurement of pollutant emissions and/or total volumetric flow rates from stationary sources. In order to qualify as an acceptable sample location, it must be located at a position at least two stack or duct equivalent diameters downstream and a half equivalent diameter upstream from any flow disturbance.

The cross-section of the measurement site was divided into a number of equal areas, and the traverse points were then located in the center of these areas. The minimum number of points were determined from either Figure 1-1 (particulate) or Figure 1-2 (non-particulate) of USEPA Method 1.

### 4.2 Volumetric Flow Rate Determination by USEPA Method 2

This method is applicable for the determination of the average velocity and the volumetric flow rate of a gas stream.

RECEIVED

DEC 06 2021



The gas velocity head ( $\Delta P$ ) and temperature were measured at traverse points defined by USEPA Method 1. The velocity head was measured with a Type S (Stausscheibe or reverse type) pitot tube and oil-filled manometer; and the gas temperature was measured with a Type K thermocouple.

The average gas velocity in the flue was calculated based on: the gas density (as determined by USEPA Methods 3 and 4); the flue gas pressure; the average of the square roots of the velocity heads at each traverse point, and the average flue gas temperature.

# 4.3 Determination of the Concentration of Gaseous Pollutants Using a Multi-Pollutant Sampling System

Concentrations of the pollutants in the following sub-sections were determined using one sampling system. The number of points at which sample was collected was determined in accordance with Table 2 to Subpart JJJJ of Part 60 "Requirements for Performance Tests".

A straight-extractive sampling system was used. A data logger continuously recorded pollutant concentrations and generated one-minute averages of those concentrations. All calibrations and system checks were conducted using USEPA Protocol 1 gases. Three-point linearity checks were performed prior to sampling, and in the event of a failing system bias or drift test (and subsequent corrective action). System bias and drift checks were performed using the low-level gas and either the mid- or high-level gas prior to and following each test run.

The Low Concentration Analyzers (those that routinely operate with a calibration span of less than 20 ppm) used by TRC are ambient-level analyzers. Per Section 3.12 of Method 7E, a Manufacturer's Stability Test is not required for ambient-level analyzers. Analyzer interference tests were conducted in accordance with the regulations in effect at the time that TRC placed an analyzer model in service.

### 4.3.1 CO<sub>2</sub> Determination by USEPA Method 3A

This method is applicable for the determination of  $CO_2$  concentrations in controlled and uncontrolled emissions from stationary sources only when specified within the regulations. The  $CO_2$  analyzer was equipped with a non-dispersive infrared (IR) detector.

### 4.3.2 O<sub>2</sub> Determination by USEPA Method 3A

This method is applicable for the determination of  $O_2$  concentrations in controlled and uncontrolled emissions from stationary sources only when specified within the regulations. The  $O_2$  analyzer was equipped with a paramagnetic-based detector.

### 4.3.3 NO<sub>x</sub> Determination by USEPA Method 7E

This method is applicable for the determination of  $NO_x$  concentrations in controlled and uncontrolled emissions from stationary sources only when specified within the



regulations. The  $NO_x$  analyzer utilized a photomultiplier tube to measure the linear and proportional luminescence caused by the reaction of nitric oxide and ozone.

### 4.3.4 CO Determination by USEPA Method 10

This method is applicable for the determination of CO concentrations in controlled and uncontrolled emissions from stationary sources only when specified within the regulations. The non-dispersive infrared analyzer (NDIR) CO analyzer was equipped with an internal gas correlation filter wheel, which eliminates potential detector interference. As such, use of an interference removal trap was not required.

### 4.4 Moisture Determination by USEPA Method 4

This method is applicable for the determination of the moisture content of stack gas.

A gas sample was extracted at a constant rate from the source. Moisture was removed from the sample stream by a series of pre-weighed impingers immersed in an ice bath. A minimum of 21 dry standard cubic feet of flue gas was collected during each sample run.

### 4.5 VOC Determination by USEPA Method 25A (Using GC/FID Instrumentation)

This method is applicable for the determination of non-methane, non-ethane organic (NMEOC) compound concentration, which was considered volatile organic compound (VOC) concentration.

A gas sample was extracted from the source through a heated sample line to a flame ionization analyzer which used gas chromatography (GC/FID) to separate the methane and ethane from the remaining (residual) gaseous organic compounds. The total non-methane/non-ethane mixture was quantified as propane.

TRC utilized a VIG Industries, Inc. Model 210 "Methane / Ethane / Non-Methane, Non-Ethane and Total Hydrocarbon Analyzer".

The use of a non-methane/non-ethane flame ionization analyzer has been broadly approved for measurements from stationary spark ignition combustion engines.

The following approved alternate procedures have been approved by USEPA and are posted on the USEPA Emissions Measurement Center Website:

- Methane cutter analyzer (such as J.U.M. Engineering HFID Model 109A) (see reference in Table 2 of Subpart JJJJ)
- ALT-066 (approves use of TECO 55C GC/FID type hydrocarbon analyzer for methane measurement in lieu of Method 18)
- ALT-078 (approves use of TECO 55C GC/FID type hydrocarbon analyzer for NMOC measurement, with data quality considered equivalent to methane cutter analyzers)



- ALT-096 (approval of newer model TECO 55I GC/FID analyzer for same, states that use of the GC/FID instrument is broadly accepted for Subpart JJJJ, and specifies that Method 25A procedures are to be used for NMOC analyzer sampling and QA/QC)
- ALT-106 (approves use of VIG Industries GC/FID analyzers for same application, as well as additional procedures for non-methane/non-ethane measurements using the same equipment).

TRC utilized Method 25A and USPEA Approved Alternate procedure ALT-106 for this test program. The specified NMEOC analyzer used a direct interface measurement with a heated sampling line from the sampling point to the gas chromatographic injection valve. The sampling components remained heated (>220 °F) at all times. The appropriate test procedure, calibration, and standardization requirements in sections 8, 9, and 10 of Method 25A were followed for linearity, calibration error, and calibration drift.

TRC performed a check to demonstrate proper separation of methane and ethane from the NMEOC residual. A methane/ethane/propane calibration gas standard was used to demonstrate the GC separation. The NMEOC residual was calibrated using propane in air calibration gas. Calibration gas certificates are appended.

TRC recorded the Methane, Ethane, and NMEOC residual (VOC) continuously for each test run. The GC/FID measurement was considered wet for the emissions calculations.



### **5.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCEDURES**

TRC integrates our Quality Management System (QMS) into every aspect of our testing service. We follow the procedures specified in current published versions of the test Method(s) referenced in this report. Any modifications or deviations are specifically identified in the body of the report. We routinely participate in independent, third-party audits of our activities, and maintain:

- Accreditation from the Louisiana Environmental Laboratory Accreditation Program (LELAP);
- Accreditation from the Stack Testing Accreditation Council (STAC) and the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) that our operations conform with the requirements of ASTM D 7036 as an Air Emission Testing Body (AETB).

These accreditations demonstrate that our systems for training, equipment maintenance and calibration, document control and project management will fully ensure that project objectives are achieved in a timely and efficient manner with a strict commitment to quality.

All calibrations are performed in accordance with the test Method(s) identified in this report. If a Method allows for more than one calibration approach, or if approved alternatives are available, the calibration documentation in the appendices specifies which approach was used. All measurement devices are calibrated or verified at set intervals against standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST). NIST traceability information is available upon request.

ASTM D7036-04 specifies that: "AETBs shall have and shall apply procedures for estimating the uncertainty of measurement. Conformance with this section may be demonstrated by the use of approved test protocols for all tests. When such protocols are used, reference shall be made to published literature, when available, where estimates of uncertainty for test methods may be found." TRC conforms with this section by using approved test protocols for all tests.



**6.0 TEST RESULTS SUMMARY** 



### Test Results Summary – Engine 1

### Coldwater Board of Public Utilities Coldwater Peaking Plant EUGEN1

SUBPART JJJJ MASS EMISSION CALCULATIONS

Test Number	1	2	3	
Test Date	10/28/21	10/28/21	10/28/21	
Start Time	9:35	11:30	12:55	
Stop Time	11:00	12:29	13:54	Average
Engine Data				
Fuel	Natural Gas	Natural Gas	Natural Gas	
Generator Efficiency	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Max Load (kW)	4348	4,348	4,348	
Actual Load (kW)	4,314	4,313	4,312	
Actual Load (MW)	4.314	4.313	4.312	
% of Load	99.2%	99.2%	99.2%	99.2%
ВНР	5,785	5,784	5,782	5,784
F-Factor	8,710	8,710	8,710	
Stack Gas Properties				
Oxygen Concentration (%, dry)	11.06	11.02	11.04	11.04
Carbon Dioxide Concentration (%, dry)	5.53	5.54	5.53	5.53
Moisture Concentration (%)	10.40	10.89	11.00	10.76
Gas Flow Rate (scfm - dry)	12,806	12,710	12.473	12,663
Gas Flow Rate (scfm - wet)	14,331	14,271	14,014	14,205
	1 1,001	7 1,2 1	11,077	. 1,,200
NOx Emissions				
Concentration (ppm-dry)	14.0	13.4	13.9	13.8
Concentration (ppm-dry @ 15% O <sub>2</sub> )	8.4	8.0	8.3	8.2
Mass Emission Rate (lbs/hour)	1.28	1.22	1.24	1.25
Mass Emission Rate (lbs/MMBtu)	0.0309	0.0295	0.0306	0.0304
Mass Emission Rate (g/HP-hr)	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.10
Carbon Monoxide Emissions				
Concentration (ppm-dry)	2.4	2.3	2.3	2.3
Concentration (ppm-dry @ 15% O <sub>2</sub> )	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.4
Mass Emission Rate (lbs/hour)	0.134	0.125	0.125	0.128
Mass Emission Rate (lbs/MMBtu)	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Mass Emission Rate (g/HP-hr)	0.011	0.010	0.010	0.010
Non-Methane VOC Emissions				
NMOC Concentration (ppm-wet as C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> )	2.19	2.04	2.16	2.13
NMOC Concentration (ppm-dry as C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> )	2.44	2.29	2.43	2.39
Concentration (ppm-wet as C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> @ 15% O <sub>2</sub> )	1.31	1.22	1.29	1.27
Concentration (ppm-dry as C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> @ 15% O <sub>2</sub> )	1.47	1.37	1.45	1.43
Mass Emission Rate (lbs/hour)	0.214	0.199	0.208	0.207
Mass Emission Rate (lbs/MMBtu)	0.0052	0.0048	0.0051	0.0050
Mass Emission Rate (g/HP-hr)	0.017	0.016	0.016	0.016

RECEIVED

DEC 06 2021



## Test Results Summary – Engine 3

### Coldwater Board of Public Utilities Coldwater Peaking Plant

**EUGEN3**SUBPART JJJJ MASS EMISSION CALCULATIONS

E- AN I	1	T		
Test Number	1	2	3	
Test Date	10/28/21	10/28/21	10/28/21	
Start Time	14:40	16:05	17:30	
Stop Time	15:39	17:04	18:29	Average
Engine Data				
Fuel	Natural Gas	Natural Gas	Natural Gas	
Generator Efficiency	1.000	1.000	1.000	
Max Load (kW)	4348	4,348	4,348	
Actual Load (kW)	4,313	4,312	4,311	
Actual Load (MW)	4.313	4.312	4.311	
% of Load	99.2%	99.2%	99.1%	99.2%
внР	5,784	5,782	5,781	5,782
F-Factor	8,710	8,710	8,710	
Stack Gas Properties				
Oxygen Concentration (%, dry)	11.10	11.11	11.09	11.10
Carbon Dioxide Concentration (%, dry)	5.49	5.52	5.51	5.51
Moisture Concentration (%)	10.58	10.67	10.84	10.70
Gas Flow Rate (scfm - dry)	13,052	13,209	13,369	13,210
Gas Flow Rate (scfm - wet)	14,603	14,800	14,994	14,799
NOx Emissions				
Concentration (ppm-dry)	9.6	10.4	10.4	10.2
Concentration (ppm-dry @ 15% O <sub>2</sub> )	5.8	6.3	6.3	6.1
Mass Emission Rate (lbs/hour)	0.90	0.99	1.00	0.96
Mass Emission Rate (lbs/MMBtu)	0.0214	0.0231	0.0231	0.0225
Mass Emission Rate (g/HP-hr)	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08
Carbon Monoxide Emissions				
Concentration (ppm-dry)	2.0	2.1	2.2	2.1
Concentration (ppm-dry @ 15% O <sub>2</sub> )	1.2	1.3	1.3	1.3
Mass Emission Rate (lbs/hour)	0.11	0.12	0.13	0.12
Mass Emission Rate (lbs/MMBtu)	0.003	0.003	0.003	0.003
Mass Emission Rate (g/HP-hr)	0.009	0.010	0.010	0.010
Non-Methane VOC Emissions				
NMOC Concentration (ppm-wet as C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> )	2.54	2.49	2.57	2.53
NMOC Concentration (ppm-dry as C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> )	2.84	2.79	2.88	2.84
Concentration (ppm-wet as C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> @ 15% O <sub>2</sub> )	1.53	1.50	1.55	1.53
Concentration (ppm-dry as C <sub>3</sub> H <sub>8</sub> @ 15% O <sub>2</sub> )	1.71	1.68	1.73	1.71
Mass Emission Rate (lbs/hour)	0.254	0.252	0.264	0.257
Mass Emission Rate (lbs/MMBtu)	0.0060	0.0059	0.0061	0.0060
Mass Emission Rate (g/HP-hr)	0.020	0.020	0.021	0.020