

## **Review and Certification**

All work, calculations, and other activities and tasks performed and presented in this document were carried out by me or under my direction and supervision. I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, Montrose operated in conformance with the requirements of the Montrose Quality Management System and ASTM D7036-04 during this test project.

Signature:	John Nestor	Date:	03 / 31 / 2023
Name:	John Nestor	Title:	District Manager

I have reviewed, technically and editorially, details, calculations, results, conclusions, and other appropriate written materials contained herein. I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the presented material is authentic, accurate, and conforms to the requirements of the Montrose Quality Management System and ASTM D7036-04.

Signature:	robert j lisy jr	Date:	03 / 31 / 2023
Name:	Robert J. Lisy, Jr.	Title:	Reporting Hub Manager



## **Table of Contents**

Section

### **Page**

.

1.0	Intro	duction5
	1.1	Summary of Test Program5
	1.2	Key Personnel6
2.0	Plant	and Sampling Location Descriptions8
	2.1	Process Description, Operation, and Control Equipment8
	2.2	Facility PEMS and Reference Method (RM) CEMS Descriptions8
	2.3	Flue Gas Sampling Location8
	2.4	Operating Conditions and Process Data9
3.0	Sam	pling and Analytical Procedures10
	3.1	Test Methods10
		3.1.1 EPA Method 3A10
		3.1.2 EPA Method 7E10
		3.1.3 EPA Method 1910
		3.1.4 EPA Performance Specification 1610
	3.2	Process Test Methods11
4.0	Test	Discussion and Results12
	4.1	Field Test Deviations and Exceptions12
	4.2	Presentation of Results12
5.0	Inter	nal QA/QC Activities
	5.1	QA/QC Audits14
	5.2	QA/QC Discussion
	5.3	Quality Statement

## **List of Appendices**

culations	nd Calculations	
ations	ng Locations	16
G Data Sheets	)&HSRG Data Sheets	
RM CEMS Test Data	nental RM CEMS Test Data	



	A.4	Example Calculations	39
в	Facil	ity Process Data	42
С	Qua	lity Assurance/Quality Control	63
	C.1	Units and Abbreviations	64
	C.2	Instrumental Test Method QA/QC Data	73
	C.3	Accreditation Information/Certifications	96
D	Reg	ulatory Information	99

# List of Tables

1-1	Summary of Test Program	.5
1-2	Summary of Part 60 PEMS RATA Results – EU-RTO&HSRG	.6
1-3	Test Personnel and Observers	.6
2-1	Facility PEMS Information	.8
2-2	RM CEMS Information	.8
2-3	Sampling Location	.8
4-1	NO <sub>x</sub> (lb/MMBtu) RATA Results – EU-RTO&HRSG	13
5-1	Part 60 Gas Cylinder Information	14
Lis	st of Figures	

3-1	EPA Method 3A and	7E Sampling	Train11	L
-----	-------------------	-------------	---------	---



## **1.0 Introduction**

## **1.1 Summary of Test Program**

Marysville Ethanol, LLC contracted Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC (Montrose) to perform the Annual Quality Assurance (QA) Relative Accuracy Test Audit (RATA) for the Predictive Emission Monitoring Systems (PEMS) associated with the EU-RTO&HSRG at the Marysville Ethanol, LLC facility (SRN: N7493) located in Marysville, Michigan. Testing was performed on February 7, 2023, for the purpose of satisfying the emission testing requirements pursuant to Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) Permitto-Install No. 175-05D by evaluating the quality of the emissions data produced by Marysville Ethanol, LLC's PEMS in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Appendices B and F.

The specific objectives were to:

- Verify the relative accuracy (RA) of the EU-RTO&HSRG PEMS for nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) (as NO<sub>2</sub>) lb/MMBtu emissions in accordance with Performance Specification 16 (PS-16)
- Conduct the test program with a focus on safety

Montrose performed the tests to measure the emission parameters listed in Table 1-1.

#### Table 1-1 Summary of Test Program

Test Date(s)	Unit ID/ Source Name	Activity/Parameters	Test Methods	No. of Runs	Duration (Minutes)
2/7/2023	EU-RTO&HSRG	O <sub>2</sub>	EPA 3A	10	21
2/7/2023	EU-RTO&HSRG	NO <sub>x</sub>	EPA 7E	10	21

For the Part 60 RATA, of the ten RATA runs performed, nine were used to determine the RA of the EU-RTO&HSRG PEMS.

To simplify this report, a list of Units and Abbreviations is included in Appendix C.1. Throughout this report, chemical nomenclature, acronyms, and reporting units are not defined. Please refer to the list for specific details.

This report presents the test results and supporting data, descriptions of the testing procedures, descriptions of the facility and sampling locations, and a summary of the quality assurance procedures used by Montrose. The RA test results are summarized and compared to their respective regulatory requirements in Table 1-2. Detailed results for individual test runs can be found in Section 4.0. All supporting data can be found in the appendices.

The testing was conducted by the Montrose personnel listed in Table 1-3. The tests were conducted according to the test plan (protocol) dated December 30, 2022 that was submitted to and approved by EGLE.



#### Table 1-2

#### Summary of Part 60 PEMS RATA Results - EU-RTO&HSRG

#### February 7, 2023

Parameter/Units	<b>Regulatory Reference</b>	RA	Allowable	
Part 60				
Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>x</sub> )				
lb/MMBtu (as NO <sub>2</sub> )	PS-16	1.42	≤ 20% of RM	

### **1.2 Key Personnel**

A list of project participants is included below:

#### **Facility Information**

Source Location:	Marysville Ethanol, LLC
	2512 Busha Highway
	Marysville, MI 48040
Project Contact:	Susan Jack
Role:	Production Manager
Company:	Marysville Ethanol, LLC
Telephone:	810-479-8266
Email:	sjack@marysvilleethanol.com

#### **Agency Information**

Regulatory Agency:	EGLE
Agency Contact:	Jeremiah Brown
Telephone:	517-599-7825
Email:	brownJ9@michigan.gov

#### **Testing Company Information**

Testing Firm:	Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC	
Contact:	Robert J. Lisy, Jr.	John Nestor
Title:	Reporting Hub Manager	District Manager
Telephone:	440-262-3760	248-548-8070
Email:	rlisy@montrose-env.com	jonestor@montrose-env.com

Test personnel and observers are summarized in Table 1-3.

# Table 1-3Test Personnel and Observers

Name	Affiliation	Role/Responsibility	THE D
John Nestor	Montrose	District Manager	RECEIVED
Shane Rabideau	Montrose	Field Technician	APR 11 2023
			DOUALITY DIVISION
Manusvilla Ethanol 11.0			AIR Gor

Marysville Ethanol, LLC 2023 PEMS RATA Test Report

MW049AS-023378-RT-1202



Name	Affiliation	Role/Responsibility
Susan Jack	Marysville Ethanol, LLC	Test Coordinator
Andrew Riley	EGLE	Observer



## 2.0 Plant and Sampling Location Descriptions

## 2.1 Process Description, Operation, and Control Equipment

The Marysville Ethanol facility operates two natural gas-fired 45 MMBtu/hr dryers and a 125 MMBtu/hr natural gas-fired regenerative thermal oxidizer (RTO) with a heat recovery steam generator (HRSG). The RTO controls emissions from several emission units. Low-NOx combustors minimize the emissions of nitrogen oxides from the process. The EU-RTO&HRSG was in operation during this test event.

## 2.2 Facility PEMS and Reference Method (RM) CEMS Descriptions

The Facility PEMS information is presented in Table 2-1, and the RM CEMS analyzer information is presented in Table 2-2.

#### Table 2-1 Facility PEMS Information

Measurement Type	Manufacturer	Model No.	Serial No.
EU-RTO&HRSG PEMS	CMC Solutions	SmartCEMSTM-60	S10.65175

#### Table 2-2

### **RM CEMS Information**

Analyzer Type	Manufacturer	Model No.	Serial No.	Range
O <sub>2</sub>	Teledyne	T802	197	0-19.91%
NO <sub>x</sub>	Teledyne	Т200Н	727	0-91.39 ppm

## 2.3 Flue Gas Sampling Location

Information regarding the sampling location is presented in Table 2-3.

### Table 2-3 Sampling Location

Sampling Location	Stack Inside Diameter (in.)	Distance from Nearest Disturbance Downstream EPA "B" (in./dia.) "A" (in./dia.)		Number of Traverse Points
EU-RTO&HRSG Exhaust Stack	83.0	360 / 4.3	780 / 9.4	Gaseous: 3

See Appendix A.1 for more information.



## **2.4 Operating Conditions and Process Data**

The PEMS RATA was performed while the EU-RTO&HRSG was operating at greater than 50% of normal operating load.

Plant personnel were responsible for establishing the test conditions and collecting all applicable unit-operating data. The Facility PEMS and process data that was provided is presented in Appendix B. Data collected includes the following parameters:

- Facility PEMS data for each 21-minute RATA run
- Steam Flow, lb/hr
- Natural Gas Usage, MMBtu/hr

## 3.0 Sampling and Analytical Procedures

MONTROSE AIR QUALITY SERVICES

### 3.1 Test Methods

The test methods for this test program have been presented in Table 1-1. Additional information regarding specific applications or modifications to standard procedures is presented below.

### 3.1.1 EPA Method 3A, Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)

EPA Method 3A is an instrumental test method used to measure the concentration of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  in stack gas. The effluent gas is continuously or intermittently sampled and conveyed to analyzers that measure the concentration of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$ . The performance requirements of the method must be met to validate data.

The typical sampling system is detailed in Figure 3-1.

### **3.1.2 EPA Method 7E, Determination of Nitrogen Oxides Emissions** from Stationary Source (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)

EPA Method 7E is an instrumental test method used to continuously measure emissions of  $NO_x$  as  $NO_2$ . Conditioned gas is sent to an analyzer to measure the concentration of  $NO_x$ . NO and  $NO_2$  can be measured separately or simultaneously together but, for the purposes of this method,  $NO_x$  is the sum of NO and  $NO_2$ . The performance requirements of the method must be met to validate the data.

The typical sampling system is detailed in Figure 3-1.

### 3.1.3 EPA Method 19, Determination of Sulfur Dioxide Removal Efficiency and Particulate Matter, Sulfur Dioxide, and Nitrogen Oxide Emission Rates

EPA Method 19 is used to calculate mass emission rates in units of lb/MMBtu. EPA Method 19, Table 19-2 contains a list of assigned fuel factors for different types of fuels, which can be used for these calculations.

### 3.1.4 EPA Performance Specification 16, Specifications and Test Procedures for Predictive Emission Monitoring Systems in Stationary Sources

EPA Performance Specification 16 is a specification used to evaluate the acceptability of Predictive Emission Monitoring Systems (PEMS) to show compliance with an emission limitation under 40 CFR 60, 61, or 63. These procedures are used to certify a PEMS after initial installation and periodically thereafter to ensure the system is operating properly and



meets the requirements of all applicable regulations. Ongoing QA/QC tests include sensor evaluation, bias correction, quarterly Relative Accuracy Audits (RAA), and annual Relative Accuracy Test Audits (RATA).

### Figure 3-1 EPA Method 3A and 7E Sampling Train



## **3.2 Process Test Methods**

The test plan did not require that process samples be collected during this test program; therefore, no process sample data are presented in this test report.



## 4.0 Test Discussion and Results

## 4.1 Field Test Deviations and Exceptions

No field deviations or exceptions from the test plan or test methods occurred during this test program.

## **4.2 Presentation of Results**

The RA results are compared to the regulatory requirements in Table 1-2. The results of individual test runs performed are presented in Table 4-1. Emissions are reported in units consistent with those in the applicable regulations or requirements. Additional information is included in the appendices as presented in the Table of Contents.



#### Table 4-1 NO<sub>x</sub> (lb/MMBtu) RATA Results – EU-RTO&HRSG

Run		8.877E		ann a	an entre	Run used	Steam Flow
No.	Date	Time	RM	PEMS	Difference	(Y/N)	(lb/hr)
1	2/7/2023	6:38-6:59	0.068	0.070	-0.0022	N	97,095
2	2/7/2023	7:09-7:30	0.070	0.070	0.0003	Y	97,095
3	2/7/2023	9:28-9:49	0.070	0.070	0.0002	Y	96,158
4	2/7/2023	10:10-10:31	0.069	0.070	-0.0008	. <b>Y</b>	96,127
5	2/7/2023	10:43-11:04	0.070	0.070	-0.0003	Y	98,149
6	2/7/2023	11:13-11:34	0.069	0.070	-0.0011	Y	98,280
7	2/7/2023	11:43-12:04	0.070	0.070	-0.0003	Y	98,105
8	2/7/2023	12:15-12:36	0.068	0.070	-0.0018	Y	96,373
9	2/7/2023	12:49-13:10	0.070	0.070	0.0004	Y	96,158
10	2/7/2023	13:19-13:40	0.070	0.070	-0.0004	Y	96,188
Averages		0.070	0.070	-0.0004		96,959	
Standard Deviation		0.00073					
Confidence Coefficient (CC)		0.00056					
RA based on mean RM value		1.42	%				

.



## **5.0 Internal QA/QC Activities**

## 5.1 QA/QC Audits

Table 5-1 presents a summary of the gas cylinder information.

#### Table 5-1

#### Part 60 Gas Cylinder Information

Gas Type	Gas Concentrations	Cylinder ID	Expiration Date
O <sub>2</sub> , Balance N <sub>2</sub>	10.08%	ALM-041308	11/10/2030
O <sub>2</sub> , Balance N <sub>2</sub>	19.91%	SG9162511BAL	9/2/2030
NO <sub>x</sub> , Balance N <sub>2</sub>	51.62 ppmv	CC27069	5/9/2030
NO <sub>x</sub> , Balance N <sub>2</sub>	91.39 ppmv	AAL069508	11/30/2029
NO <sub>2</sub> , Balance Air	50.32 ppmv	EB0147946	9/14/2025

EPA Method 3A and 7E calibration audits were all within the measurement system performance specifications for the calibration drift checks, system calibration bias checks, and calibration error checks.

The  $NO_2$  to NO converter efficiency check of the analyzer was conducted per the procedures in EPA Method 7E, Section 8.2.4. The conversion efficiency met the criteria.

## 5.2 QA/QC Discussion

Montrose did not have a Qualified Individual (QI) for EPA Methods 3A and 7E onsite during the test event as per ASTM D7036-04 requirements. However, upon data review, all EPA Method 3A and 7E data quality objectives were met.

## **5.3 Quality Statement**

Montrose is qualified to conduct this test program and has established a quality management system that led to accreditation with ASTM Standard D7036-04 (Standard Practice for Competence of Air Emission Testing Bodies). Montrose participates in annual functional assessments for conformance with D7036-04 which are conducted by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA). All testing performed by Montrose is supervised on site by at least one Qualified Individual (QI) as defined in D7036-04 Section 8.3.2. Data quality objectives for estimating measurement uncertainty within the documented limits in the test methods are met by using approved test protocols for each project as defined in D7036-04 Sections 7.2.1 and 12.10. Additional quality assurance information is included in the report appendices. The content of this report is modeled after the EPA Emission Measurement Center Guideline Document (GD-043).



# Appendix A Field Data and Calculations



# Appendix A.1 Sampling Locations



AIR QUALITY DIVISION

Marysville Ethanol, LLC 2023 PEMS RATA Test Report

MW049AS-023378-RT-1202

Page 16 of 132

Marysville Ethanol, LLC 2023 PEMS RATA SOURCE TEST REPORT



#### EU-RTO&HRSG PROCESS AND SAMPLING LOCATION SCHEMATIC





#### **EU-RTO&HRSG EXHAUST TRAVERSE POINT LOCATION DRAWING**

MW049AS-023378-RT-1202



# Appendix A.2 EU-RTO&HSRG Data Sheets