

Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 1700 Elm Street SE Minneapolis, MN 55414 Phone: 612.607.1700 Fax: 612.607.6444 www.pacelabs.com

RECEIVED

NOV 0 2 2015 AIR QUALITY DIV.

GRAYMONT

Subject Facility: Graymont Western Lime Port Inland Plant 181 W County Road 432 Gulliver, MI 49840

Regulatory Permit No.: Air Permit 26-04A

Subject Emission Sources: Lime Kiln

Kiln 1

Test Locations: Baghouse Exhaust

SV-2

Comprehensive Emissions Test Report

Port Inland Plant Nitrogen Oxides and Carbon Monoxide Relative Accuracy Testing

Testing Date(s): September 23, 2015 Report Date: October 21, 2015 Revision Date: No revision to date

Report Prepared For:

Ross Olson Graymont Western Lime 137 James Street Green Bay, WI 54303

Telephone No.: (920) 437-4054 x1475

Report Preparation Supervised By:

Terry Borgerding Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 1700 Elm Street, Suite 200 Minneapolis, MN 55414 Telephone No.: (612) 607-6374 E-mail Address: terry.borgerding@pacelabs.com

Pace Project No. 12-15-1013

NOV 0 2 2015

Executive Summary

Graymont Western Lime contracted Pace Analytical Services, Inc. to perform relative accuracy test audits (RATA) on the continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) for the Lime Kiln Stack at the Graymont Western Lime facility in Gulliver, Michigan. Two CEM data collection systems (DAQ system and PI system) were audited. Testing was performed on September 23, 2015. Summary results are highlighted in the following table:

Test Results Summary

<u>Parameter</u>	RM	CEMS	<u>RA</u>	<u>Status</u>	
	<u>Average</u>	<u>Average</u>			
DAQ Data System					
Oxides of Nitrogen					
LB/HR	41.9	39.2	7.44%	Pass ¹	
Carbon Monoxide					
LB/HR	27.6	27.7	7.78%	Pass ¹	
PI Data System					
Oxides of Nitrogen					
LB/HR	41.9	39.1	7.61%	Pass ¹	
Carbon Monoxide					
LB/HR	27.6	27.5	6.13%	Pass ¹	

¹Relative Accuracy performance criterion is ≤20% of reference method.

Introduction

Pace Analytical Services, Inc. personnel conducted oxides of nitrogen (NO_x) and carbon monoxide (CO) continuous emissions monitoring system (CEMS) relative accuracy test audits (RATA). Testing was conducted on the Lime Kiln Stack at the Graymont Western Lime facility in Gulliver, Michigan. Two CEM data collection systems (DAQ system and PI system) were audited. Mike Walter and Jake Nelson performed on-site testing activities. Terry Borgerding provided administrative project management. Ross Olson with Graymont Western Lime coordinated plant activities for testing. Pace Analytical Services, Inc. prepared a comprehensive test protocol that was submitted to the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality prior to testing. On-site activities consisted of the following measurements:

- Oxygen/carbon dioxide, ten 21-minute monitoring periods.
- Oxides of nitrogen, ten 21-minute monitoring periods
- Carbon monoxide, ten 21-minute monitoring periods.
- Moisture measurements in conjunction with each set of three constituent test runs.
- Volumetric airflow, measurements in conjunction with each constituent test run.

The project objectives were to quantify oxides of nitrogen and carbon monoxide emissions and compare them to the CEMS data results (two systems) to verify relative accuracy (RA) of the systems. These measurements were performed at greater than 50% normal operating condition. Quality protocols comply with regulatory compliance testing requirements.

Subsequent sections summarize the test results and provide descriptions of the process and test methods. Supporting information and raw data are in the appendices.

Results Summary

Results of NO_x and CO CEMS relative accuracy (RA) determinations for the DAQ system are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The NO_x CEMS RA averaged 7.44% for LB/HR based on the reference method average. The CO CEMS RA averaged 7.78% for LB/HR based on the reference method average.

Results of NO_x and CO CEMS RA determinations for the PI system are summarized in Tables 3 and 4. The NO_x CEMS RA averaged 7.61% for LB/HR based on the reference method average. The CO CEMS RA averaged 6.13% for LB/HR based on the reference method average. EPA Performance Specification 6 requires that CEMS RA be \leq 20% of the reference method average.

Ten 21-minute runs were performed and Run 7 was not used in the RA calculation due to unstable operation causing a high CO concentration. Tables 4 and 5 show results of moisture and airflow measurements used to calculate NO_x and CO mass rate (LB/HR).

The data in this report are indicative of emission characteristics of the measured sources for process conditions at the time of the test. Representations to other sources and test conditions are beyond the scope of this report.

Summary Tables

Port Inland Plant Gulliver, MI Pace Project No. 12-15-1013

Volumetric Flow Rate

Airflow, DSCFM

LB/HR

<u>Run</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Start</u>	<u>End</u>	<u>Duration</u>	<u>RM Result</u>	CEM Result	Difference
1	9/23/15	8:00	8:21	0:21	46,338	50,943	4,605
2	9/23/15	8:22	8:43	0:21	47,599	51,174	3,575
3	9/23/15	8:44	9:05	0:21	47,355	50,417	3,062
4	9/23/15	9:20	9:41	0:21	46,907	50,964	4,057
5	9/23/15	9:42	10:03	0:21	47,620	51,165	3,545
6	9/23/15	10:04	10:25	0:21	47,515	50,736	3,221
8	9/23/15	11:07	11:28	0:21	48,098	51,103	3,005
9	9/23/15	11:29	11:50	0:21	48,032	50,901	2,869
10	9/23/15	11:51	12:12	0:21	48,788	51,147	2,359
			Run Avera	ge	47,583	50,950	3,367
			Standard [Deviation			670
			Confidence	e Coefficient		_	515
			Relative A	ccuracy	(% of RM Avg)		8.16
			RA Require	ement		≤ 20% of	RM Average
			RA Status				Pass

Nitrogen Oxides as NO2

<u>Run</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Start</u>	<u>End</u>	<u>Duration</u>	<u>RM Result</u>	<u>CEM Result</u>	Difference
1	9/23/15	8:00	8:21	0:21	40.9	39.2	-1.71
2	9/23/15	8:22	8:43	0:21	41.9	39.4	-2.55
3	9/23/15	8:44	9:05	0:21	42.4	39.5	-2.89
4	9/23/15	9:20	9:41	0:21	41.7	39.4	-2.35
5	9/23/15	9:42	10:03	0:21	42.3	39.4	-2.96
6	9/23/15	10:04	10:25	0:21	41.5	38.5	-3.00
8	9/23/15	11:07	11:28	0:21	41.4	38.4	-3.01
9	9/23/15	11:29	11:50	0:21	41.9	38.8	-3.13
10	9/23/15	11:51	12:12	0:21	43.4	40.2	-3.19
			Run Avera	ge	41.9	39.2	-2.76
			Standard [Deviation			0.475
			Confidence	e Coefficient	t		0.365
			Relative A	ccuracy	(% of RM Avg)		7.44
			RA Requir	ement		≤ 20% of	RM Average
			RA Status				Pass

Port Inland Plant Gulliver, MI Pace Project No. 12-15-1013

Volumetric Flow Rate

Airflow, DSCFM

LB/HR

<u>Run</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Start</u>	<u>End</u>	Duration	<u>RM Result</u>	<u>CEM Result</u>	Difference
1	9/23/15	8:00	8:21	0:21	46,338	50,943	4,605
2	9/23/15	8:22	8:43	0:21	47,599	51,174	3,575
3	9/23/15	8:44	9:05	0:21	47,355	50,417	3,062
4	9/23/15	9:20	9:41	0:21	46,907	50,964	4,057
5	9/23/15	9.42	10:03	0:21	47,620	51,165	3,545
6	9/23/15	10:04	10:25	0:21	47,515	50,736	3,221
8	9/23/15	11:07	11:28	0:21	48,098	51,103	3,005
9	9/23/15	11:29	11:50	0:21	48,032	50,901	2,869
10	9/23/15	11:51	12:12	0:21	48,788	51,147	2,359
			Run Avera	ge	47,583	50,950	3,367
			Standard E	Deviation			670
			Confidence	e Coefficient			515
			Relative A	ccuracy	(% of RM Avg)		8.16
			RA Require	ement		≤ 20% of	RM Average
			RA Status				Pass

Carbon Monoxide

Run Date Duration RM Result CEM Result Difference Start End 1 9/23/15 8:00 8:21 0:21 24.2 25.2 0.983 2 9/23/15 8:22 8:43 0:21 23.8 25.1 1.30 3 24.0 9/23/15 8:44 9:05 0:2124.0 -0.03594 9/23/15 9:20 9:41 0:21 26.8 26.2 -0.611 5 0:21 24.8 24.6 9/23/15 9:42 10:03 -0.235 6 10:04 30.2 26.8 9/23/15 10:25 0:21 -3.35 8 9/23/15 11:07 11:28 0:21 38.0 44.0 6.00 9 9/23/15 11:29 11:50 0:21 30.6 28.8 -1.83 10 9/23/15 11:51 12:12 0:21 25.5 24.6 -0.974 Run Average 27.6 27.7 0.139 Standard Deviation 2.61 **Confidence Coefficient** 2.01 **Relative Accuracy** 7.78 (% of RM Avg) RA Requirement ≤ 20% of RM Average **RA Status** Pass

Port Inland Plant Gulliver, MI Pace Project No. 12-15-1013

Table 3 CEMS Relative Accuracy Results Lime Kiln Baghouse Exhaust Test 1 PI System

Volumetric Flow Rate

Airflow, DSCFM

<u>Run</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Start</u>	End	Duration	<u>RM Result</u>	CEM Result	Difference
1	9/23/15	8:00	8:21	0:21	46,338	50,999	4,661
2	9/23/15	8:22	8:43	0:21	47,599	50,794	3,195
3	9/23/15	8:44	9:05	0:21	47,355	50,182	2,827
4	9/23/15	9:20	9:41	0:21	46,907	50,746	3,839
5	9/23/15	9:42	10:03	0:21	47,620	51,119	3,499
6	9/23/15	10:04	10:25	0:21	47,515	51,337	3,822
8	9/23/15	11:07	11:28	0:21	48,098	50,813	2,715
9	9/23/15	11:29	11:50	0:21	48,032	51,217	3,185
10	9/23/15	11:51	12:12	0:21	48,788	51,473	2,685
			Run Avera	ge	47,583	50,964	3,381
			Standard [Deviation			649
			Confidence	e Coefficien	t		498
			Relative A	ccuracy	(% of RM Avg)		8.15
			RA Requir	ement		≤ 20% of	RM Average
			RA Status				Pass

Nitrogen Oxides as NO2

<u>Run</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Start</u>	End	Duration	<u>RM Result</u>	<u>CEM Result</u>	Difference
1	9/23/15	8:00	8:21	0:21	40.9	39.2	-1.72
2	9/23/15	8:22	8:43	0:21	41.9	39.0	-2.91
3	9/23/15	8:44	9:05	0:21	42.4	39.5	-2.89
4	9/23/15	9:20	9:41	0:21	41.7	39.4	-2.31
5	9/23/15	9:42	10:03	0:21	42.3	39.2	-3.13
6	9/23/15	10:04	10:25	0:21	41.5	38.5	-2.97
8	9/23/15	11:07	11:28	0:21	41.4	38.3	-3.13
9	9/23/15	11:29	11:50	0:21	41.9	38.8	-3.12
10	9/23/15	11:51	12:12	0:21	43.4	40.2	-3.16
			Run Avera	ge	41.9	39.1	-2.82
			Standard [Deviation			0.486
			Confidence	e Coefficient			0.374
			Relative A	ccuracy	(% of RM Avg)	-	7.61
			RA Requir	ement		≤ 20% of	RM Average
			RA Status				Pass

LB/HR

Port Inland Plant Gulliver, MI Pace Project No. 12-15-1013

Table 4 CEMS Relative Accuracy Results Lime Kiln Baghouse Exhaust Test 1 PI System

Volumetric Flow Rate

Airflow, DSCFM

LB/HR

<u>Run</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Start</u>	<u>End</u>	<u>Duration</u>	<u>RM Result</u>	CEM Result	Difference
1	9/23/15	8:00	8:21	0:21	46,338	50,999	4,661
2	9/23/15	8:22	8:43	0:21	47,599	50,794	3,195
3	9/23/15	8:44	9:05	0:21	47,355	50,182	2,827
4	9/23/15	9:20	9:41	0:21	46,907	50,746	3,839
5	9/23/15	9:42	10:03	0:21	47,620	51,119	3,499
6	9/23/15	10:04	10:25	0:21	47,515	51,337	3,822
8	9/23/15	11:07	11:28	0:21	48,098	50,813	2,715
9	9/23/15	11:29	11:50	0:21	48,032	51,217	3,185
10	9/23/15	11:51	12:12	0:21	<u>48</u> ,788	51,473	2,685
			Run Avera	ige	47,583	50,964	3,381
			Standard [Deviation			649
			Confidence	e Coefficien	t	_	498
			Relative A	Accuracy	(% of RM Avg)		8.15
		RA Requirement			≤ 20% of	RM Average	
			RA Status				Pass

Carbon Monoxide

Duration RM Result CEM Result Difference Run Date Start End 8:21 0:21 24.2 25.1 0.873 9/23/15 8:00 1 2 9/23/15 8:22 8:43 0:21 23.8 24.9 1.06 3 9/23/15 8:44 9:05 0:21 24.0 24.1 0.0941 4 9/23/15 9:20 9:41 0:21 26.8 26.2 -0.591 5 10:03 0:21 24.8 -0.435 9/23/15 9:42 24.4 6 10:25 0:21 30.2 27.1 -3.06 9/23/15 10:04 8 9/23/15 11:07 11:28 0:21 38.0 42.2 4.16 11:50 9 9/23/15 11:29 0:21 30.6 28.8 -1.83 12:12 24.3 10 9/23/15 11:51 0:21 25.5 -1.23 Run Average 27.6 27.5 -0.107 Standard Deviation 2.06 **Confidence Coefficient** 1.58 **Relative Accuracy** (% of RM Avg) 6.13 **RA Requirement** ≤ 20% of RM Average **RA Status** Pass

Detail Tables

Port Inland Plant Gulliver, MI Pace Project No. 12-15-1013

Major Gases and Moisture Results Lime Kiln Baghouse Exhaust Test 1

Parameter Date of Run Time of Run Sample Duration, Minutes	Run 1 9/23/15 0800-0900 60	Run 2 9/23/15 0920-1020 60	Run 3 9/23/15 1045-1145 60
Average Flue Gas Temperature, °F	396	392	386
Major Gas Constituents - Instrumental, % v/v Dry Basis (as measured)			
Carbon Dioxide	25.37	25.80	25.80
Oxygen	6.88	6.60	6.60
Nitrogen (by difference)	67.75	67.60	67.60
Wet Basis (calculated)	02.04	00.07	04.04
	23.04	23.97	24.01
Nitrogen	0.41	0.13	62.02
Nilogen	03.14	02.00	02.92
Portable O ₂ Monitor Average, %O ₂	6.8	6.8	6.7
Sample Volume Meter Conditions Et ³	41.47	39.64	39.47
Sample Volume, Dry Standard, Ft ³	41.23	39.38	39.21
Maintura Collocted ml	64.0	64.0	62.0
Moisture Content of Gas Stream %///	6.81	7 11	6.93
Molsture Content of Gas Stream, 70070	0.01	7.11	0.83
Moisture Content if Saturated, %v/v	NA (>BP)	NA (>BP)	NA (>BP)
Relative Humidity, % rH	NA (>BP)	NA (>BP)	NA (>BP)
Molecular Weight of Flue Gas, lb/lb-mole			
Dry	32.33	32.39	32.39
Wet	31.36	31.37	31.39

Port Inland Plant Gulliver, MI Pace Project No. 12-15-1013 Table 6

Airflow Measurement Results Lime Kiln Baghouse Exhaust Test 1

Parameter Date of Run	Run 1 9/23/15	Run 2 9/23/15	Run 3 9/23/15	Run 4 9/23/15	Run 5 9/23/15	Run 6 9/23/15	Run 7 9/23/15	Run 8 9/23/15	Run 9 9/23/15	Run 10 9/23/15
Time of Measurement	0800	0822	0844	0920	0942	1004	1045	1107	1129	1151
Barometric Pressure, Inches Hg	29.65	29.65	29.65	29.65	29.65	29.65	29.65	29.65	29.65	29.65
Static Pressure, Inches WC	-0.43	-0.41	-0.42	-0.42	-0.42	-0.40	-0.44	-0.40	-0.40	-0.39
Absolute Gas Pressure (In. Hg)	29.62	29.62	29.62	29.62	29.62	29.62	29.62	29.62	29.62	29.62
Average Gas Temperature, °F	398	396	395	393	393	391	386	385	385	385
Corresponding M-4 Run Number	1	1	1	2	2	2	3	3	3	3
Average Moisture Content, %v/v	6.8	6.8	6.8	7.1	7.1	7.1	6.9	6.9	6.9	6.9
Gas Molecular Weight (Instrumental), lb/lb-mole Dry Wet	32.32 31.35	32.33 31.35	32.35 31.37	32.39 31.37	32.39 31.37	32.39 31.37	32.39 31.39	32.39 31.39	32.39 31.39	32.39 31.39
Flue Gas Average Velocity, FPS	37.08	38.00	37.76	37.44	38.00	37.83	37.65	37.95	37.90	38.49
Duct Cross-sectional Area, Sq. Ft	36.67	36.67	36.67	36.67	36.67	36.67	36.67	36.67	36.67	36.67
Volumetric Flow Rate (Rounded to 10 CFM) ACFM SCFM DSCFM	81,590 49,720 46,340	83,610 51,080 47,600	83,090 50,810 47,360	82,370 50,500 46,910	83,630 51,260 47,620	83,240 51,150 47,510	82,840 51,200 47,650	83,510 51,680 48,100	83,390 51,610 48,030	84,700 52,420 48,790
Pace Analytical							ť	Sravmont W/	estern Lime	

FSD 12-15-1013

Report Date 10/21/2015

Graymont Western Lime Page 13 of 22