

RECEIVED

NOV 20 2023

AIR QUALITY DIVISION

# **Non-enclosed Flare Performance Test Report**

**Prepared for:**

**Canton Renewables, LLC**

**November 17, 2023**





# Report Certification

---

## Non-enclosed Flare Performance Test

**Canton Renewables, LLC**  
**4345 South Lilley Road, Canton Township, MI 48188**

This document has been reviewed by Canton Renewables, LLC representatives and approved for submittal to the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) – Air Quality Division as part of this Open Flare Performance Test Report.

The material and data in this document were prepared under the supervision and direction of the undersigned.

Impact Compliance & Testing, Inc.

*Kate Henry*

---

Kate Henry

Environmental Scientist

*Summer Hitchens*

---

Summer Hitchens, M.P.H

Sr. Project Manager



## Table of Contents

---

<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS</b> .....	<b>III</b>
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b> .....	<b>1</b>
<b>2 SUMMARY OF RESULTS</b> .....	<b>2</b>
<b>3 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES</b> .....	<b>3</b>
3.1 VISUAL DETERMINATION OF FUGITIVE EMISSIONS FROM MATERIAL SOURCES AND SMOKE EMISSIONS FROM FLARES (METHOD 22, ALTERNATIVE 42).....	3
3.2 DETERMINATION OF THE NET HEATING VALUE OF THE LANDFILL GAS (METHOD 3C, ALTERNATIVE 42).....	3
3.3 STACK GAS VELOCITY AND VOLUMETRIC FLOW RATE (METHOD 2C, ALTERNATIVE 55) ...	3
<b>4 TEST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b> .....	<b>4</b>
4.1 METHOD 22, ALTERNATIVE 42.....	4
4.2 METHOD 3C, ALTERNATIVE 42 .....	4
4.3 METHOD 2C, ALTERNATIVE 55 .....	5
4.4 CONCLUSIONS .....	5

### APPENDICES

**APPENDIX A NON-ENCLOSED FLARE PERFORMANCE TEST PLAN**

**APPENDIX B FIELD DATA**

**APPENDIX C LANDFILL GAS ANALYTICAL REPORT**

**APPENDIX D NET HEATING AND EXHAUST VELOCITY CALCULATIONS**

## List of Tables, Figures and Drawings

---

### Tables:

Table 1.1: Contact Information

Table 5.1: LFG & Analytical Results

Table 5.2: Exhaust Velocity Readings



# 1 Introduction

Archaea Energy, Inc. ("Archaea") on behalf of its wholly owned subsidiary Canton Renewables, LLC (Canton) retained Impact Compliance & Testing (ICT) to conduct a non-enclosed (open) flare performance test on Canton's open flare (EUOPENFLARE). The open flare is utilized as a control system for the landfill gas (LFG) collection system which captures LFG generated within the landfill.

The test was performed as required by the facility's Title V Permit MI-ROP-N6009-2023 (Section 2) in accordance with EUOPENFLARE Condition V, FGOPENFLARE-000-2 Condition V, and FGOPENFLARE-AAAA-2 Condition V. Canton is required to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR 60.18 and 40 CFR 63.11 by conducting a performance test no later than 180-days (10-1-2023) after permit re-issuance (4-4-2023). ICT conducted the performance testing on September 29, 2023, in accordance with the previously referenced regulations and the test plan submitted to the Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE) on September 1, 2023. EGLE approved a test date of less than 30-days from submittal of the Test Plan on September 1, 2023.

The names, addresses and telephone numbers of those involved with the open flare testing are listed in Table 1.1 below:

**Table 1.1 – Contact Information**

<b>Name and Title</b>	<b>Company/Address</b>	<b>Phone Number</b>
Emily Zambuto Director of Environmental Compliance	Canton Renewables 201 Helios Way, Floor 6 Houston, TX 77079	585-948-4616
Kate Henry Environmental Scientist	ICT 37660 Hills Tech Dr. Farmington Hills, MI	313-464-4834
Summer Hitchens, Sr. Project Manager	ICT 37660 Hills Tech Dr. Farmington Hills, MI	734-357-8045

**RECEIVED**

**NOV 20 2023**

**AIR QUALITY DIVISION**

## 2 Summary of Results

---

The Canton open flare serves as the control device for the high BTU landfill gas compression and treatment plant (FGLFGPLANT). The flare is designed to meet the performance requirements of 40 CFR 60.18 at flows up to 4,200 scfm. The flare operated at an average measured inlet volumetric flow rate of approximately 2,000 scfm during the testing.

The results of the tests were:

- Visible emissions: 0 seconds of accumulated emission time,
- Average net heating value of the gas being combusted: 19.12 mega joules per standard cubic meter (MJ/m<sup>3</sup>), and
- Average exhaust gas exit velocity: 28.75 feet per second (ft/sec).

The performance criteria are less than 5 minutes visible emissions in a 30-minute period, a net heating value of greater than 7.45 MJ/m<sup>3</sup>, and a maximum exit velocity less than 60 ft/sec.

The test results demonstrate the Canton open flare meets the performance requirements of 40 CFR 60.18, and thus satisfies the requirements of 63.1959(b)(2)(iii)(B)/62.16714(c)(2) at the test flow rate.



## **3 Sampling and Analytical Procedures**

---

ICT conducted the measurements in accordance with USEPA approved alternative methods as explained in the test plan. A copy of the approved test plan is included in Appendix A. The test procedures are as summarized below:

### **3.1 Visual Determination of Fugitive Emissions from Material Sources and Smoke Emissions from Flares (Method 22, Alternative 42)**

ICT conducted a single, 30-minute, non-continuous observation of the flare exhaust for smoke emissions. ICT observed continuously for 15 minutes, then took a break for fifteen minutes, and resumed observation for another 15 minutes, to ensure completion of the full 30-minute period of observation time. A copy of Method 22 observation data is presented in Appendix B.

### **3.2 Determination of the Net Heating Value of the Landfill Gas (Method 3C, Alternative 42)**

ICT used Method 3C to determine the net heating value of the landfill gas. ICT conducted two (2) 30-minute tests, one sample collected for backup, and submitted the samples to Air Technology Laboratories (ATL), City of Industry, California. ATL analyzed the sample for carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), nitrogen (N<sub>2</sub>), and oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>). The ATL analytical report is presented in Appendix C. Net heating values were then calculated in accordance with 40 CFR 63.1959(d)/62.16718(d) for the laboratory analyzed sample. The net heating value calculations have been included in Appendix D.

### **3.3 Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate (Method 2C, Alternative 55)**

On May 20, 2009, USEPA approved the use of a mass flow meter in place of Method 2C to measure the flow rate to a utility flare. This alternative stipulated the calculation had to be 'recent.' ICT used the flare flow meter to measure the flow rate at the flare. The flare's exhaust velocity calculations have been included in Appendix D.

## 4 Test Results and Discussion

---

ICT performed the performance testing in accordance with the test methods as proposed in the open flare test plan. Summer Hitchens and Kate Henry performed the testing as detailed below. The flare operated as designated with no upset conditions during the test, therefore no re-testing was required. Additionally, during the three months prior to the test there was no significant maintenance activities performed on the non-enclosed flare. Find below a detailed discussion of the test methods utilized and discussion of the results and compliance status of the non-enclosed flares.

### 4.1 Method 22, Alternative 42

Visible emissions testing by Method 22, Alternative 42 was performed by Summer Hitchens and Kate Henry of ICT. Summer and Kate observed continuously for two non-consecutive 15-minute intervals, for a total of a 30-minute period of observation. A copy of Summer and Kate's observations including weather conditions and wind direction during the test are included with the field forms in Appendix B. No visible emissions were observed during the total 30-minute period for the flare and therefore it is compliant with 40 CFR 60.18(f)(1) which requires less than 5 minutes of visible emissions during a 30-minute test period. The field readings are included in the field data provided in Appendix B.

### 4.2 Method 3C, Alternative 42

The net heating value of the gas being combusted in the flare was performed in accordance with Method 3C, Alternative 42. Summer Hitchens and Kate Henry performed the LFG sampling. During the performance test, two (2) gas samples were taken using 6-L Summa canisters and sent to ATL for analysis, however it was determined during sample collection for one canister there was a leak in the sample train and therefore only one canister was analyzed. The leak was identified, and a new sample train was used to collect the second sample. In addition, two (2) methane readings were taken using an Envirovision gas analyzer at the common header prior to the flare. The gas readings were taken prior to and after the collection of the LFG sample. The analytical data from ATL revealed there was minimal dilution during sampling as indicated by the drop in percent methane and increase in percent oxygen, however the collected sample parameters are representative of LFG.

The results of the gas readings and laboratory analytical results are detailed in Table 5.1 below:



**Table 5.1 – LFG & Analytical Results**

Date	Time	CH <sub>4</sub> (%)	CO <sub>2</sub> (%)	O <sub>2</sub> (%)	Balance (%)	Heating Value (MJ/m <sup>3</sup> )
9/29/2023	10:17	57.3	39.2	0.2	3.3	20.27
10/09/2023 (Laboratory Analysis)	20:54	41	28	7	29	15.47 (calculated)
9/29/2023	11:22	57.3	40.0	0.1	2.6	21.63

Sample calculations of the net heating value in accordance with 40 CFR 60.18(f)(3) can be found in Appendix D. As detailed in the above table and supporting calculations the net heating value for the LFG combusted in the flare is at least 7.45 MJ/m<sup>3</sup> and therefore is compliant with 40 CFR 60.18(f)(3).

### 4.3 Method 2C, Alternative 55

The actual exhaust velocity of the flare was determined by Method 2C, Alternative 55. During the testing period the flow rate to the flare was monitored by a mass flow meter and recorded in 1-minute intervals. The exhaust velocity was then determined by dividing the volumetric flow rate by the unobstructed cross-sectional area of the flare tip. The exhaust velocity at the beginning and end of the testing period are provided in Table 5.2 below:

**Table 5.2 – Exhaust Velocity Readings**

Date	Time	Flow (scfm)	Exit Velocity (ft/sec)
09/29/2023	10:17	1449	17.30
09/29/2023	11:22	3368	40.20

Sample calculations of the flare exhaust velocity calculations and recorded flow information are included in Appendix D. As detailed in the above table and supporting calculations, the actual exhaust velocity is less than 60 ft/sec and is therefore in compliance with 40 CFR 60.18(f)(4)(i).

### 4.4 Conclusions

The test results demonstrate the Canton open flare meets the performance requirements of 40 CFR 60.18, and thus also satisfies the requirements of 40 CFR 63.1959(d)/62.16718(d) at the test flow rate.

