

RECEIVED NOV 7 2013

AIR QUALITY DIV.

Emergency Generator Engine Emissions Test Report

15813

Prepared for:

The United States Geological Survey

Ann Arbor, Michigan

Engine Location: The United States Geological Survey Great Lakes Science Center 1451 Green Road Ann Arbor, Michigan

> Project No. 13-4389.00 June 18, 2013

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc. 4949 Fernlee Avenue Royal Oak, Michigan 48073 (248) 548-8070



EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc. (BTEC) was retained by The United States Geological Survey (USGS) to evaluate emission rates from a new emergency generator set located outside the USGS Great Lakes Science Center building at 1451 Green Road in Ann Arbor, Michigan. The generator set is a Gaseous Fuel Generator Set Model GTA28 CC Engine Series manufactured by Cummins. The emissions test program was conducted on May 9, 2013.

Testing consisted of triplicate 60-minute test runs. The generator set is owned and operated by USGS. Because the engine qualifies for exemption from permitting pursuant to R 336.1285(g) and R 336.1212(4)(d), it is not included in a permit. The emissions testing is required by the Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines codified at Title 40, Part 60, Subpart JJJJ of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ). Emission limitations included in Subpart JJJJ that are applicable to this generator set are summarized in Table E-I in addition to test program summary results.

Table E-IU.S. Geological SurveyGreat Lakes Science Center Emergency GeneratorCompliance Test Program Results Summary

Source	Pollutant	Test Result (ppmy @15%/O2)	Emission Limitation (ppmv @15%/O ₂)
	NOx	48	160
GTA28 CC Generator Set	СО	101	540
	VOC	0	86

RECEIVED NOV 0 7 2013 AIR QUALITY DIV.

11-13-13

BTEC Project No. 13-4398.00 June 18, 2013



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I, IIV	TRODUCTION1
1.a 1.b 1.c 1.d	Identification, Location, and Dates of Test 1 Purpose of Testing
2. SU	JMMARY OF RESULTS
2.a 2.b 2.c 2.d	OPERATING DATA
3. SC	OURCE DESCRIPTION4
3.A 3.B 3.C 3.D	PROCESS DESCRIPTION
4. SA	MPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES
4.A	SAMPLING TRAIN AND FIELD PROCEDURES
4.в 4.с 4.р	RECOVERY AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES
4.c 4.d	RECOVERY AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES
4.c 4.d	RECOVERY AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES
4.c 4.D 5. TH 5.A 5.B 5.C 5.D 5.E	Recovery and Analytical Procedures6Sampling Ports6Traverse Points6EST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION7Results Tabulation7Discussion of Results7Sampling Procedure Variations7Process or Control Device Upsets7Control Device Maintenance7
4.C 4.D 5. TH 5.A 5.B 5.C 5.D 5.E 5.F	Recovery and Analytical Procedures6Sampling Ports6Traverse Points6EST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION7Results Tabulation7Discussion of Results7Sampling Procedure Variations7Process or Control Device Upsets7Control Device Maintenance7Audit Sample Analyses7
4.c 4.d 5. TH 5.A 5.B 5.C 5.D 5.E 5.F 5.G	Recovery and Analytical Procedures6SAMPLING PORTS6Traverse Points6EST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION7Results Tabulation7Discussion of Results7SAMPLING Procedure Variations7Process or Control Device Upsets7Control Device Maintenance7Audit Sample Analyses7Calibration Sheets8
4.C 4.D 5. TH 5.A 5.B 5.C 5.D 5.E 5.F 5.G 5.H	Recovery and Analytical Procedures6SAMPLING PORTS6Traverse Points6EST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION7Results Tabulation7Discussion of Results7SAMPLING Procedure Variations7Process or Control Device Upsets7Control Device Maintenance7Audit Sample Analyses7Calibration Sheets8Sample Calculations8
4.c 4.d 5. TH 5.A 5.B 5.C 5.D 5.E 5.F 5.G	Recovery and Analytical Procedures6SAMPLING PORTS6Traverse Points6EST RESULTS AND DISCUSSION7Results Tabulation7Discussion of Results7SAMPLING Procedure Variations7Process or Control Device Upsets7Control Device Maintenance7Audit Sample Analyses7Calibration Sheets8



TABLE OF CONTENTS (continued)

SUMMARY TABLES

RECEIVED

NOV 07 2013

AIR QUALITY DIV.

- Table 2Emission Limits Summary
- Table 3Emission Test Results Summary
- Table 4Detailed Average Emission Test Results Summary

FIGURES

Figure 1	USEPA Method 3A Sampling Train Diagram
Figure 2	USEPA Method 320 Sampling Train Diagram

APPENDIX

- Appendix AAQD Test Plan/Report Format GuidelineAppendix BFTIR Emissions Test Summary ReportAppendix BFTIR Emissions Test Summary Report
- Appendix C Equipment Calibration and Span Gas Documents
- Appendix D Example Calculations
- Appendix E Field and Computer Generated Data and Field Notes



1. Introduction

BT Environmental Consulting, Inc. (BTEC) was retained by The United States Geological Survey (USGS) to evaluate emission rates from a new emergency generator set located outside the USGS Great Lakes Science Center building at 1451 Green Road in Ann Arbor, Michigan. The generator set is a Gaseous Fuel Generator Set Model GTA28 CC Engine Series manufactured by Cummins.

The Air Quality Division (AQD) of Michigan's Department of Natural Resources and Environment has published a guidance document entitled "Format for Submittal of Source Emission Test Plans and Reports" (February 2008, see Appendix A). The following is a summary of the emissions test program and results in the format outlined by the AQD document.

1.a Identification, Location, and Dates of Test

Field-sampling for this emission test program was conducted on May 9, 2013 at 1451 Green Road in Ann Arbor, Michigan. The purpose of this report is to document the results of the emissions determined during the compliance test program.

1.b Purpose of Testing

The generator set is owned and operated by USGS. Because the engine qualifies for exemption from permitting pursuant to R 336.1285(g), it is not included in a permit. The emissions testing is required by the Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines codified at Title 40, Part 60, Subpart JJJJ of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ). Emission limitations included in Subpart JJJJ that are applicable to this generator set are summarized by Table 2 (see Section 2.d).

The purpose of the testing was to quantify emission levels of oxides of nitrogen (NOx), CO, and VOC (as propane). In addition, the concentrations of oxygen (O₂), methane (CH₄), formaldehyde (HCOH), and moisture in the engine exhaust were measured during the emissions test program.

1.c Test Program Contact

The contact for the test program is:

Mr. Scott McIlhargey Facility Operations Specialist The United States Geological Survey Great Lakes Science Center 1451 Green Road Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105 (734) 214-7248



1.d Test Personnel

Names and affiliations for personnel who were present during the testing program are summarized by Table 1.

1 est r ersonnei						
Name and Title	Affiliation	Telephone				
Mr. Steve Polloni Power Generation Field Technician	Cummins Bridgeway, LLC 21810 Clessie Court New Hudson, Michigan 48165	(313) 215-3746				
Mr. Thaddeus Gibson Maintenance Specialist	United States Geological Survey Great Lakes Science Center 1451 Green Road Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105	(734) 660-9741				
Mr. Tom Gasloli Technical Programs Unit	MDEQ Technical Programs Unit Air Quality Division	(517) 335-4861				
Mr. Randal J. Tysar Sr. Environmental Engineer	BTEC 4949 Fernlee Avenue Royal Oak, MI 48073	(248) 548-8070				
Mr. Todd Wessel Sr. Project Manager	BTEC 4949 Fernlee Avenue Royal Oak, MI 48073	(616) 885-4013				

Table 1
Test Personnel

2



RECEIVED

NOV 0 7 2013

2. Summary of Results

AIR QUALITY DIV.

Sections 2.a through 2.d summarize the results of the emissions compliance test program.

2.a Operating Data

The generator set was run prior to testing to ensure proper internal temperature could be reached for the onboard non-selective catalytic reduction (NSCR) system and to adjust the fuel/air mix ratio for optimal emissions control system performance. As specified by 40 CFR 60.4244(a), emissions testing was conducted with the engine operating within 10 percent of 100 percent peak load. The power generation rate during the emissions test program was approximately 407 kW.

2.b Applicable Permit

The engine qualifies for exemption from permitting pursuant to R 336.1285(g)and is not included in a permit. The emissions testing is required by the Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines codified at Title 40, Part 60, Subpart JJJJ of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ).

2.c Results

The overall results of the emissions compliance test program are summarized by Table 3 (see Section 5.a).

2.d Emission Regulation Comparison

Emission limitations for the Great Lakes Science Center emergency generator set are summarized by Table 2.

Table 2 Emission Limitations for Emergency Generators Greater Than 130 hp					
L'ONGTRINT	(ppmv@15% O2)	(g/bhp-hr)			
NOx	160	2.0			
CO	540	4.0			
VOC	86	1.0			

Note: Emission Limitations are expressed in two separate units. Either set of emission limitations can be used to demonstrate compliance with 40 CFR 60, Subpart JJJJ. Emissions were determined in terms of concentration ($ppmv@15\% O_2$).

As summarized by Table 3 (Section 5.a), the emissions test result for each pollutant was less than the corresponding emission limitation.



3. Source Description

Sections 3.a through 3.e provide a detailed description of the process.

3.a Process Description

The Cummins NPower GF-series commercial generator set is a fully integrated power generation system for stationary standby or prime power applications.

3.b Raw and Finished Materials

The only raw material supplied to the generator set is natural gas.

3.c Process Capacity

The generator is rated for a maximum natural gas usage rate of 5,890 cfh at 450 kW generation.

3.d Process Instrumentation

The engine is equipped with controls to adjust the fuel-air ratio of the engine intake manifold.

4



4. Sampling and Analytical Procedures

Sections 4.a through 4.d provide a summary of the sampling and analytical procedures used to verify emissions from the emergency generator.

4.a Sampling Train and Field Procedures

Sampling and analysis procedures followed the methodologies of the following emission test methods codified at Title 40, Part 60, Appendix A of the Code of Federal Regulations (40 CFR 60, Appendix A) and 40 CFR 63, Appendix A:

• Method 3A - "Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in missions from Stationary Sources"

• Method 320 - "Measurement of Vapor Phase Organic and Inorganic Emissions by Extractive Fourier Transform Infared Spectroscopy"

The O_2 content was measured using a M&C Products PMA 100-L O_2 gas analyzer (or equivalent) and the CO_2 content was measured using a CAI 600 Series CO_2 gas analyzer (or equivalent). A sample of the gas stream was drawn through an insulated stainless-steel probe with an in-line glass fiber filter to remove any particulate, a heated Teflon[®] sample line, and through an electronic sample conditioner to remove the moisture from the sample before it entered the analyzers. Data was recorded at 4-second intervals on a PC equipped with data acquisition software.

Exhaust gas CO, NO, NO₂, CH₂O formaldehyde, CH₄, C₂H₆ (ethane), C₂H₄(ethylene), C₃H₈ (propane) and total aliphatic hydrocarbons (as hexane) concentrations were measured by Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy. Emissions from the engine were continually purged through the sampling system and FTIR. The sample gas was extracted from the engine exhaust using a heated stainless steel probe, maintained at 191°C. A heated filter box (191°C) connected the probe to the filter assembly to a heated transfer line. A 0.1μ glass filter will used for particulate matter removal. A heated diaphragm pump was used to pull the sample from the engine. The sampling rate was 8 to 10 liters per minute.

The heated transfer line, held at 191°C, connected the probe/filter assembly to the FTIR. The FTIR was be equipped with a temperature-controlled, 5.11 meter multipass gas cell maintained at 191°C.

FTIR data was collected using an MKS MultiGas 2030 FTIR spectrometer. All data was collected at 0.5cm⁻¹ resolution. Each sample spectrum was derived from the co-addition of 60 scans, with a new data point generated every one minute.

FTIR sampling and analysis was conducted by Prism Analytical Technologies, Inc. (PATI) of Mount Pleasant, Michigan. A copy of PATI's test summary report is included as



Appendix B. Figure 1 presents a diagram of the O_2/CO_2 monitoring system and Figure 2 presents a diagram of the FTIR monitoring system.

4.b Recovery and Analytical Procedures

Recovery and analytical procedures were described in Section 4.a.

4.c Sampling Ports

All sampling took place at the engine exhaust duct.

4.d Traverse Points

The generator set came pre-installed with one exhaust duct that is 8 inches in diameter.

.



RECEIVED

NOV 07 2013

AIR QUALITY DIV.

5. Test Results and Discussion

Sections 5.a through 5.k provide a summary of the test results.

5.a Results Tabulation

The results of the emissions test program are summarized by Table 3.

U.S. Geological Survey Great Lakes Science Center Emergency Generator Compliance Test Program Results Summary							
Test ResultEmission LimitationSourcePollutant(ppmv @15%/O2)(ppmv @15%/O2)(ppmv @15%/O2)							
GTA28 CC Generator Set	NOx	48	160				
	СО	101	540				
	VOC	0	86				

Table 3

5.b Discussion of Results

Emission limitations are summarized by Table 2 (see Section 1.b). The results of the emissions test program are summarized by Table 3 (see Section 5.a).

Emission results for each pollutant were averaged using all points from the entire 60 minute run. Detailed emissions test results are summarized by Table 4.

5.c Sampling Procedure Variations

No sampling procedure variations occurred during testing.

5.d Process or Control Device Upsets

No upset conditions occurred during testing.

5.e Control Device Maintenance

No control device maintenance was performed during the testing.

5.f Audit Sample Analyses

No audit samples were collected as part of the test program.



5.g Calibration Sheets

Relevant equipment calibration documents are provided as Appendix C.

5.h Sample Calculations

Sample calculations are provided in Appendix D.

5.i Field Data Sheets

Field documents relevant to the emissions test program are presented in Appendix E.

5.j Laboratory Data

All analysis was done live through the use of online Analyzers and as such there is no laboratory data. Raw analyzer data is provided in Appendix E.

TABLES

Table 4 U.S. Geological Survey Great Lakes Science Center Emergency Generator Detailed Average Emission Test Results Summary

		FTIR Reported Results					
Run	Duct	CO NOx VO (ppmv dry) (ppmv dry) (ppmv					
Run 1	Engine	348.2	149.4	1,1			
Run 2	Engine	347.5	178.8	1.1			
Run 3	Engine	334.0	161.7	1.1			

O ₂ Analyzer Drift Correction										
Run	Duct	Uncorrected	Cma	Init Zero		CA PARA A Strangetation of the	Sec. 2013 (1997) (2014) (2014) (2014)	and the state of the second second	Со	*Cgas
Run 1	Engine	0.75	10,1	0.17	0.19	10.09	10.04	10.06	0.18	0.58
Run 2	Engine	1.09	10.1	0.19	0.18	10.04	10.02	10.03	0.19	0.92
Run 3	Engine	1.14	10.1	0.18	0.19	10.02	10.02	10.02	0.19	0.98
*D-:0	*D-i8 constant O contract of O contraction of the second statement of the operation									

*Drift-corrected O₂ values are negative; for 15% O₂ correction calculations a value of zero is used for the O₂ value. O₂ Analyzer Drift Correction

Cgas =effluent gas concentration,

C = avg. gas concentration indicated by analyzer

Co = avg. of initial and final system calibration bias check for the zero gas

Cm = avg, of initial and final system calibration bias check for the upscale calibration Cma = actual concentration of the upscale calibration gas.

Results Corrected to 15% O2

Run	Duct	VOCs CO Nox (non (ppmv dry) (ppmv dry) methane (ppmv dry				
Run 1	East Exhaust	101.1	43.4	0.3		
Run 2	East Exhaust	102.6	52.8	0.3		
Run 3	East Exhaust	98.9	47.9	0.3		
3-Run Ave	erages:	101	48	0		

$$\int_{\text{bra}} C_{gas} = (C - C_o) \frac{C_{ma}}{C_m - C_o}$$

RECEIVED

NOV 0 7 2013

AIR QUALITY DIV.

FIGURES



