N074000557

#### DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY AIR QUALITY DIVISION ACTIVITY REPORT: Scheduled Inspection

N3/483255/		
FACILITY: Belding Tank Technologies, Inc.		SRN / ID: N3748
LOCATION: 200 N Gooding St., BELDING		DISTRICT: Grand Rapids
CITY: BELDING		COUNTY: IONIA
CONTACT: Paul Crystler, Purchase Manager		ACTIVITY DATE: 12/16/2015
STAFF: Eric Grinstern	COMPLIANCE STATUS: Compliance	SOURCE CLASS: MAJOR
SUBJECT: Unannounced Insp	pection	
RESOLVED COMPLAINTS:		

# **FACILITY DESCRIPTION**

The facility manufactures fiberglass reinforced plastic tanks.

## **REGULATORY ANALYSIS**

The facility is operating under ROP No. MI-ROP-N3748-2012, which was issued on October 8, 2012

The facility is subject to Subpart WWW – Reinforced Plastics Composites Production NESHAP.

### COMPLIANCE EVALUATION

No opacity or odors were noted outside of the production/resin storage buildings.

At the facility, staff met with Paul Crystler, Purchasing Manager, who is in charge of the facilities environmental affairs.

Below is a summary of the facility's compliance with the ROP and MACT requirements.

#### Source-wide requirements - Table B

I.1. VOC limit of 89.9 tons per year based on a 12-month rolling average.

Comment: Review of the facility records show the 12-month rolling total VOC emissions ending in November 2015 to be 34.26 tons (combined styrene and MMA)

#### Status: Compliant

I.2. Styrene limit of 57.6 pounds per hour based on a calendar day facility-wide and calendar day hours of operation calculation

Comment: For the sampling of 2015 records reviewed, the facility was in compliance with the hourly emission limit.

#### Status: Compliant

II.1. Styrene content of chop/hoop winding not to exceed 50%

Comment: Review of facility records showed compliance with this limit. The facility does not use any resins with a styrene content that exceeds 50%.

#### Status: Compliant

II.2. & 3. Styrene content of vinyl ester lamination resins not to exceed 35%, except for the use of up to 160,000 pounds per year of 45% styrene content. –

Comment: Primary vinyl ester has a styrene content of 33%. The corrosion resin has a styrene content of 45%. The facility used a limited amount of the corrosion resin with 45% styrene, in January and March 2015, well less than the allowed limit.

Status: Compliant

II.4. Styrene content of resin for wet filament winding not to exceed 50% -

Comment: Review of facility records showed compliance with this limit. The facility does not use any resins with styrene content greater than 48%.

Status: Compliant

II.5. Styrene content of isophthalic resins not to exceed 50%.

Comment: Review of facility records showed compliance with this limit. The facility does not use any resins with styrene content greater than 48%.

Status: Compliant

II.6. Styrene content of gelcoats not to exceed 37%

Comment: Review of facility records showed compliance with this limit. The facility does not use any non-tooling gelcoat with styrene content greater than 32.9%.

#### Status: Compliant

II.7. Styrene content of tooling gelcoat not to exceed 42%

Comment: Review of facility records showed compliance with this limit. The facility does not use any tooling gelcoats with styrene content greater than 41.8%.

Status: Compliant

III.1. Operate mold rooms with exhaust filters.

Comment: All booths inspected had exhaust filters in place. All of the observed filters appeared to have been recently replaced based upon their clean appearance.

Status: Compliant

IV.1. Use of non-atomizing applicator guns.

Comment: Mr. Crystler stated that the facility uses all non-atomized guns. Inspection of a gun showed it to be non-atomizing.

Status: Compliant

IV.2. Filament chop/hoop shall be done using dry winding in combination with non-atomizing

.

guns with chop.

Status: Compliant

### EUMOLDROOM1

I.1. VOC limit of 438 pounds per calendar day

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.2. VOC limit of 15.3 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

I.3. Styrene limit of 17.8 pounds per hour

Comment: Records reviewed showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

I.4. Styrene limit of 15.1 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

VIII.1. Stack height of 60 feet, 30 inch maximum diameter

Comment: Visual observation of stack showed that it appeared to meet the required dimensions.

Status: Compliant

#### EUMOLDROOM2

I.1. VOC limit of 937 pounds per calendar day

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.2. VOC limit of 29.7 tons per year Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

#### Status: Compliant

I.3. Styrene limit of 38.0 pounds per hour

Comment: Records reviewed showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

I.4. Styrene limit of 29.2 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

VIII.1. Stack height of 60 feet, 36 inch maximum diameter

Comment: Visual observation of stack showed that it appeared to meet the required dimensions.

Status: Compliant

#### EUMR3&4NORTHMOLD

I.1. VOC limit of 391 pounds per calendar day

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.2. VOC limit of 6.6 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

I.3. Styrene limit of 15.8 pounds per hour

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.4. Styrene limit of 6.5 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

**Status: Compliant** 

#### EUMR3&4MIDMOLD

I.1. VOC limit of 391 pounds per calendar day

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.2. VOC limit of 8.9 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

I.3. Styrene limit of 15.8 pounds per hour

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.4. Styrene limit of 8.8 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

#### EUMR3&4SOUTHMOLD

I.1. VOC limit of 391 pounds per calendar day

Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.2. VOC limit of 14.0 tons per year

Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

I.3. Styrene limit of 15.8 pounds per hour

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.4. Styrene limit of 13.8 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

l 1 1 L

#### EUMOLDROOM5

I.1. VOC limit of 391 pounds per calendar day

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.2. VOC limit of 14.0 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

**1.3.** Styrene limit of 15.8 pounds per hour

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.4. Styrene limit of 6.5 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

#### EUCLEANUP

I.1. Acetone emission limit of 55 tons per year.

Comment: Records showed that the facility had emitted 22.78 tons for the 12-month period ending at the date of the inspection.

Status: Complaint

EUTANKASSEMBLY

I.1. VOC limit of 62.2 pounds per calendar day

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.2. VOC limit of 3.6 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

. •

ł

#### Status: Compliant

I.3. Styrene limit of 2.6 pounds per hour

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.4. Styrene limit of 3.6 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

II.1. Styrene content in resin not to exceed 35%

Comment: Records reviewed showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

### EUTANKASSEMBLY2

I.1. VOC limit of 62.2 pounds per calendar day

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.2. VOC limit of 3.6 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

I.3. Styrene limit of 2.6 pounds per hour

Comment: Sampling of 2015 records reviewed showed compliance with daily limit.

Status: Compliant

I.4. Styrene limit of 3.6 tons per year

Comment: Records reviewed for 12-month period ending in November 2015 showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

II.1. Styrene content in resin not to exceed 35%

·

. . .

. . .

.

Comment: Records reviewed showed compliance with limit.

Status: Compliant

## FGMR3&4

I.B.1. Stack height of 60 feet, 36 inch maximum diameter

Comment: Visual observation of stack showed that it appeared to meet the required dimensions.

Status: Compliant

I.B.2. Stack height of 60 feet, 36 inch maximum diameter

Comment: Visual observation of stack showed that it appeared to meet the required dimensions.

Status: Compliant

### **FGCOMPOSITESMACT**

Subpart WWW – Reinforced Plastics Composites Production NESHAP

Subpart WWW had a compliance date of April 21, 2006. The facility has opted to show compliance with the standard via Option C. Option C allows for demonstration of compliance with a weighted average emission limit for all open molding operations. Option C compliance is based on a 12-month rolling average. The facility is using the software created by ACMA for recordkeeping and compliance demonstration with the NESHAP.

Comment: Review of the facility's records shows compliance with the MACT weighted average emission limit for the 12-month period ending in November 2015. The facility was at 89.1 percent of the limit at that time.

Status: Compliant

Work Practice Standards – The facility is subject to five work practice standards under the NESHAP (63.5805, Table 4). The facility became subject to these standards on April 21, 2006.

For each cleaning operation, the permittee shall not use cleaning solvents that contain HAP, except that styrene may be used as a cleaner in closed systems, and organic HAP containing cleaners may be used to clean cured resin from application equipment. Application equipment includes any equipment that directly contacts resin. (40 CFR 63.5805, Table 4)

Comment: The facility stated that they do not use any HAP containing solvents.

Status: Compliant

For each HAP-containing materials storage operation, the permittee shall keep containers that store HAP-containing materials closed or covered except during the addition or removal of

materials. Bulk HAP-containing materials storage tanks may be vented as necessary for safety. (40 CFR 63.5805, Table 4)

Comment: The facility has two 5500 bulk tanks that that are divided for iso and vinyl resins. Each side has a vent. The facility has appropriate covers on the bulk tanks as observed during the inspection.

Status: Compliant

For each mixing operation, the permittee shall use mixer covers with no visible gaps present in the mixer covers, except that gaps of up to 1 inch are permissible around mixer shafts and any required instrumentation. (40 CFR 63.5805, Table 4)

Comment: The facility has two "day tanks" located adjacent to the southern bulk tank. Both day tanks have mixers in them. During the inspection, both day tanks had appropriate lids with allowable gaps around the mixer shafts.

Status: Compliant

For each mixing operation, the permittee shall close any mixer vents when actual mixing is occurring, except that venting is allowed during addition of materials, or as necessary prior to adding materials or opening the cover for safety. Vents routed to a 95 percent efficient control device are exempt from this requirement. (40 CFR 63.5805, Table 4)

Status: Compliant

Misc

The facility continues to use a a two part foam, on some of the tanks. The manufacture has previously supplied a letter indicating no VOC emissions or extremely small amount of emissions.

Comments: The facility is maintaining records of material usage per a previous request.

Summary

Based on this inspection the facility is in compliance with applicable air quality rules and regulations.

TN Shine

DATE //////

SUPERVISOR