# SOURCE TEST REPORT AIR QUALITY DIVISION 2021 COMPLIANCE EMISSIONS TESTING

## WESTERN MICHIGAN UNIVERSITY ROBERT M. BEAM POWER PLANT KALAMAZOO, MICHIGAN

# **RECIPROCATING INTERNAL COMBUSTION ENGINES (FGENGINES)**

**Prepared For:** 

MONTROSE AIR QUALITY SERVICES

Western Michigan University 1903 West Michigan Avenue Kalamazoo, MI 49008

For Submittal To:

Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy 525 W. Allegan Street Lansing, MI 48933

Prepared By:

Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC 4949 Fernlee Avenue Royal Oak, MI 48073

Document Number: Test Dates: Submittal Date: MW049AS-009077-RT-747 June 24, 2021 August 23, 2021



est\_20210624



#### EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC (Montrose) was retained by Western Michigan University (WMU) to measure carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>), and total volatile organic compounds (VOC) emissions at the exhausts of two natural gas-fired reciprocating internal combustion engines (RICE) (EU-ENGINE9 and EU-ENGINE10) located at WMU's Robert M. Beam Power Plant in Kalamazoo, Michigan. The facility operates under Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) Renewable Operating Permit No. MI-ROP-K2131-2021.

The emissions testing is required by 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ (Standards of Performance for Stationary Spark Ignition Internal Combustion Engines). Subpart JJJJ requires the following:

- CO emissions be  $\leq 2.0$  g/hp-hr or CO concentrations be  $\leq 270$  ppmvd corrected to 15%  $O_2$
- NO<sub>x</sub> emissions (as NO<sub>2</sub>) be  $\leq$  1.0 g/hp-hr or NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations be  $\leq$  82 ppmvd corrected to 15% O<sub>2</sub>
- VOC (without formaldehyde (CH<sub>2</sub>O)) emissions be  $\leq$  0.7 g/hp-hr or VOC (without CH<sub>2</sub>O) concentrations be  $\leq$  60 ppmvd corrected to 15% O<sub>2</sub>

The emissions test program was conducted on June 24, 2021. The results of the emissions test program are summarized in the following tables.

#### SUMMARY OF AVERAGE COMPLIANCE RESULTS -EU-ENGINE9 JUNE 24, 2021

Parameter/Units	Average Results	Emission Limits
Nitrogen Oxides (NO., as NO.)		
nnmvd @ 15% O	67	82
g/hp-hr	0.7	1.0
Carbon Monoxide (CO) ppmvd @ 15% O <sub>2</sub> g/hp-hr	7 0.1	270 2.0
Volatile Organic Compounds, as	Propane (VOC)*	
ppmvd @ 15% O <sub>2</sub>		60
g/hp-hr	0.0	0.7

\* Per MI-ROP-K2131-2021 and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, this compliance demonstration of VOC must exclude CH<sub>2</sub>O.

Parameter/Units	Average Results	Emission Limits
Nitrogon Ovidos (NO. as NO.)		
Nillogen Oxides (NOx as NO2)		
ppmvd @ 15% O <sub>2</sub>	68	82
a/hp-hr	0.8	1.0
9.11p 11	0.0	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
nnmvd @ 15% 0	7	270
		210
g/hp-hr	0.1	2.0
Volatile Organic Compounds, as	s Propane (VOC)*	
ppmvd @ 15% O <sub>2</sub>	0	60
allan br	00	07
g/np-nr	0.0	0.7

#### SUMMARY OF AVERAGE COMPLIANCE RESULTS -EU-ENGINE10 JUNE 24, 2021

\* Per MI-ROP-K2131-2021 and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, this compliance demonstration of VOC must exclude CH<sub>2</sub>O.

## RECEIVED

AUG 27 2021

AIR QUALITY DIVISION

.

Ĵ

#### **REVIEW AND CERTIFICATION**

All work, calculations, and other activities and tasks performed and presented in this document were carried out by me or under my direction and supervision. I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, Montrose operated in conformance with the requirements of the Montrose Quality Management System and ASTM D7036-04 during this test project.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name: Todd Wessel Title: Client Project Manager

I have reviewed, technically and editorially, details, calculations, results, conclusions, and other appropriate written materials contained herein. I hereby certify that, to the best of my knowledge, the presented material is authentic, accurate, and conforms to the requirements of the Montrose Quality Management System and ASTM D7036-04.

Signature:	Date:	

 Name:
 Matthew Young
 Title:
 District Manager

,

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>SE</u>	CTIO	<u>N</u>	PAGE
1.0	INT	RODUCTION	7
	1.1	SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM	7
	1.2	KEY PERSONNEL	10
2.0	PLA	NT AND SAMPLING LOCATION DESCRIPTIONS	12
	2.1	PROCESS DESCRIPTION, OPERATION, AND CONTROL EQUIPMENT	12
	2.2	FLUE GAS SAMPLING LOCATIONS	12
	2.3	OPERATING CONDITIONS AND PROCESS DATA	12
3.0	SAN	IPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	13
	3.1	TEST METHODS	13
		3.1.1 EPA Method 1	13
		3.1.2 EPA Method 2	13
		3.1.3 EPA Method 3A	13
		3.1.4 EPA Method 4	
		3.1.5 EPA Method / E	
		3.1.6 EPA Method 10	
	30		10
4.0	J.Z		10 
4.0	IES	T DISCUSSION AND RESULTS	1117 حه
	4.1		17
- 0	4.2		
5.0			20
	0.1 5.2		20
	53		20
	0.0		20
LIS	TOF	APPENDICES	
А	FIEL	D DATA AND CALCULATIONS	21
	A.1	Sampling Locations	22
	A.2	EU-ENGINE9 Exhaust Stack Data Sheets	29
	A.3	EU-ENGINE10 Exhaust Stack Data Sheets	58
	A. <b>4</b>	Example Calculations	85
В	FAC	ILITY PROCESS DATA	96
С	QUA	LITY ASSURANCE/QUALITY CONTROL	99
	C.1	Units and Abbreviations	100
	C.2	Manual Test Method QA/QC Data	109
	C.3	Instrumental Test Method QA/QC Data	118

.

· · ·

	C.4 Accreditation Information/Certifications1	61
D	REGULATORY INFORMATION	66
LIS	T OF TABLES	
1-1	SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM - EU-ENGINE9	7
1-2	SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM - EU-ENGINE10	8
1-3	SUMMARY OF AVERAGE COMPLIANCE RESULTS - EU-ENGINE9	9
1-4	SUMMARY OF AVERAGE COMPLIANCE RESULTS - EU-ENGINE10	9
1-5	TEST PERSONNEL AND OBSERVERS	11
2-1	SAMPLING LOCATIONS	12
4-1	NO <sub>X</sub> , CO, AND VOC EMISSIONS RESULTS - EU-ENGINE9	18
4-2	NO <sub>X</sub> , CO, AND VOC EMISSIONS RESULTS - EU-ENGINE10	19
LIS	T OF FIGURES	
3-1	EPA METHOD 2 SAMPLING TRAIN	14
3-2	EPA METHOD 4 (DETACHED) SAMPLING TRAIN	15
3-3	EPA METHOD 3A, 7E, 10, 25A SAMPLING TRAIN	16

.

#### **1.0 INTRODUCTION**

#### 1.1 SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM

Western Michigan University (State Registration No.: K2131) contracted Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC (Montrose) to perform a compliance test program on two natural gas-fired RICE Engines 1 (EU-ENGINE9) and 2 (EU-ENGINE10) at the Western Michigan University-Robert M. Beam Power Plant facility located in Kalamazoo, Michigan. Testing was performed on June 24, 2021, for the purpose of satisfying the emission testing requirements pursuant to Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes, and Energy (EGLE) Renewable Operation Permit No. MI-ROP-K2131-2021 and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ.

The specific objectives were to:

- Verify the emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) (as NO<sub>2</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO), and volatile organic compounds (VOC) (excluding formaldehyde (CH<sub>2</sub>O)) at the exhaust stacks serving EU-ENGINE9 and EU-ENGINE10 in accordance with 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ
- Conduct the test program with a focus on safety

Montrose performed the tests to measure the emission parameters listed in Tables 1-1 and 1-2.

Test Date(s)	Unit ID/ Source Name	Activity/ Parameters	Test Methods	No. of Runs	Duration (Minutes)
6/24/2021	EU-ENGINE9	Velocity/Volumetric Flow Rate	EPA 1 & 2	3	5-6
6/24/2021	EU-ENGINE9	O <sub>2</sub> , CO <sub>2</sub>	EPA 3A	3	60
6/24/2021	EU-ENGINE9	Moisture	EPA 4	3	30
6/24/2021	EU-ENGINE9	NO <sub>x</sub>	EPA 7E	3	60
6/24/2021	EU-ENGINE9	со	EPA 10	3	60
6/24/2021	EU-ENGINE9	TGO, CH₄	40 CFR § 1065.260 and § 1065.265	3	60

 TABLE 1-1

 SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM - EU-ENGINE9

÷ .

Test Date(s)	Unit ID/ Source Name	Activity/ Parameters	Test Methods	No. of Runs	Duration (Minutes)
6/24/2021	EU-ENGINE10	Velocity/Volumetric Flow Rate	EPA 1 & 2	3	4-7
6/24/2021	EU-ENGINE10	O <sub>2</sub> , CO <sub>2</sub>	EPA 3A	3	60
6/24/2021	EU-ENGINE10	Moisture	EPA 4	3	30
6/24/2021	EU-ENGINE10	NO <sub>x</sub>	EPA 7E	3	60
6/24/2021	EU-ENGINE10	со	EPA 10	3	60
6/24/2021	EU-ENGINE10	TGO, CH₄	40 CFR § 1065.260 and § 1065.265	3	60

## TABLE 1-2 SUMMARY OF TEST PROGRAM - EU-ENGINE10

To simplify this report, a list of Units and Abbreviations is included in Appendix C.1. Throughout this report, chemical nomenclature, acronyms, and reporting units are not defined. Please refer to the list for specific details.

This report presents the test results and supporting data, descriptions of the testing procedures, descriptions of the facility and sampling locations, and a summary of the quality assurance procedures used by Montrose. The average emission test results are summarized and compared to their respective permit limits in Tables 1-3 and 1-4. Detailed results for individual test runs can be found in Section 4.0. All supporting data can be found in the appendices.

The testing was conducted by the Montrose personnel listed in Table 1-5. The tests were conducted according to the Intent-to-Test notification dated May 21, 2021, that was submitted to the EGLE.



#### TABLE 1-3 SUMMARY OF AVERAGE COMPLIANCE RESULTS -EU-ENGINE9 JUNE 24, 2021

Parameter/Units	Average Results	Emission Limits
Nitrogen Oxides (NO., as NO.)		
nnmvd @ 15% O	67	82
g/hp-hr	0.7	1.0
Carbon Monoxide (CO)		
ppmvd @ 15% $\dot{O_2}$	7	270
g/hp-hr	0.1	2.0
Volatile Organic Compounds, as I	Propane (VOC)*	
ppmvd @ 15% O <sub>2</sub>	Ó	60
g/hp-hr	0.0	0.7

\* Per MI-ROP-K2131-2021 and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, this compliance demonstration of VOC must exclude CH<sub>2</sub>O.

TABLE 1-4
SUMMARY OF AVERAGE COMPLIANCE RESULTS -
EU-ENGINE10
JUNE 24, 2021

Parameter/Units	Average Results	Emission Limits	
Nitrogen Oxides (NO., as NO <sub>2</sub> )			
nnmvd @ 15% O	68	82	
g/hp-hr	0.8	1.0	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)			
ppmvd @ 15% $O_2$	7	270	
g/hp-hr	0.1	2.0	
Volatile Organic Compounds, as Propane (VOC)*			
ppmvd @ 15% O <sub>2</sub>	0	60	
g/hp-hr	0.0	0.7	

\* Per MI-ROP-K2131-2021 and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, this compliance demonstration of VOC must exclude CH<sub>2</sub>O.



AUG 2.7 2021

AIR QUALITY DIVISION

#### 1.2 KEY PERSONNEL

A list of project participants is included below:

#### **Facility Information**

Source Location:	Western Michigan University	
	Robert M. Beam Power Plant	
	1903 West Michigan Avenue	
	Kalamazoo, MI 49008	
Project Contact:	George Jarvis	M
Role:	Power Plant Director	Di
Company:	Western Michigan University	W
Telephone:	269-387-8548	26
Email:	george.jarvis@wmich.edu	m

Mark Weiss Director of EHS Western Michigan University 269-387-5588 nark.weiss@wmich.edu

#### **Agency Information**

Regulatory Agency:	EGLE
Agency Contact:	Karen Kajiya-Mills
Telephone:	517-335-3122
Email:	kajiya-millk@michigan.gov

#### **Testing Company Information**

Testing Firm:	Montrose Air Quality Services, LLC	
Contact:	Matthew Young	Todd Wessel
Title:	District Manager	Client Project Manager
Telephone:	248-548-8070	248-548-8070
Email:	myoung@montrose-env.com	twessell@montrose-env.com

#### Subcontractor (or Consultant) Information

Company:	NTH Consultants, Ltd.
Contact:	Abbie Welch
Telephone:	616-450-6436
Email:	awelch@nthconsultants.com



-20

Test personnel and observers are summarized in Table 1-5.

#### TABLE 1-5 TEST PERSONNEL AND OBSERVERS

Name	Affiliation	Role/Responsibility
Todd Wessel	Montrose	Client Project Manager, QI
Richard Collen Oakes	Montrose	Field Technician
George Jarvis	Western Michigan University	Observer/Client Liaison/Test Coordinator
Monica Brothers	EGLE	Observer
Trevon Drost	EGLE	Observer



#### 2.0 PLANT AND SAMPLING LOCATION DESCRIPTIONS

#### 2.1 PROCESS DESCRIPTION, OPERATION, AND CONTROL EQUIPMENT

Western Michigan University's Robert M. Beam Power Plant operates two 3,500 HP natural gas-fired reciprocating internal combustion engines (RICE) manufactured by Caterpillar. Each RICE is rated at 3,448 brake horsepower (HP) (2.5 megawatts (MW)) with a maximum heat input of 22 MMBtu/hr. Engine 1 (EU-ENGINE9) and Engine 2 (EU-ENGINE10) use lean-burn technology and are each equipped with oxidation catalysts for control of CO and VOC emissions. EU-ENGINE9 and EU-ENGINE10 were commissioned to provide electricity during on-peak hours to the WMU Kalamazoo campus. Both engines were in operation for the June test event.

#### 2.2 FLUE GAS SAMPLING LOCATIONS

Information regarding the sampling locations is presented in Table 2-1.

		Distance from Ne		
Sampling Location	Stack Inside Diameter (in.)	Downstream EPA "B" (in./dia.)	Upstream EPA "A" (in./dia.)	Number of Traverse Points
EU-ENGINE9 Exhaust Stack	19.5	72.0 / 3.7	36.0 / 1.9	Flow: 16 (8/port); Gaseous: 3
EU-ENGINE10 Exhaust Stack	19.5	72.0 / 3.7	36.0 / 1.9	Flow: 16 (8/port); Gaseous: 3

TABLE 2-1 SAMPLING LOCATIONS

Sampling locations were verified in the field to conform to EPA Method 1. Acceptable cyclonic flow conditions were confirmed prior to testing using EPA Method 1, Section 11.4. See Appendix A.1 for more information.

#### 2.3 OPERATING CONDITIONS AND PROCESS DATA

Emission tests were performed while the engines were operating at greater than 90% capacity.

Plant personnel were responsible for establishing the test conditions and collecting all applicable unit-operating data. The process data that was provided is presented in Appendix B. Data collected includes the following parameters:

- Engine output (KW) during testing
- Natural gas use
- Oxidation catalyst inlet temperature at least every 15-minutes
- Pressure drop across the oxidation catalyst once per run

#### 3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

#### 3.1 TEST METHODS

The test methods for this test program were presented previously in Tables 1-1 and 1-2. Additional information regarding specific applications or modifications to standard procedures is presented below.

#### 3.1.1 EPA Method 1, Sample and Velocity Traverses for Stationary Sources

EPA Method 1 is used to assure that representative measurements of volumetric flow rate are obtained by dividing the cross-section of the stack or duct into equal areas, and then locating a traverse point within each of the equal areas. Acceptable sample locations must be located at least two stack or duct equivalent diameters downstream from a flow disturbance and one-half equivalent diameter upstream from a flow disturbance.

The sample port and traverse point locations are detailed in Appendix A.

## 3.1.2 EPA Method 2, Determination of Stack Gas Velocity and Volumetric Flow Rate (Type S Pitot Tube)

EPA Method 2 is used to measure the gas velocity using an S-type pitot tube connected to a pressure measurement device, and to measure the gas temperature using a calibrated thermocouple connected to a thermocouple indicator. Typically, Type S (Stausscheibe) pitot tubes conforming to the geometric specifications in the test method are used, along with an inclined manometer. The measurements are made at traverse points specified by EPA Method 1.

The typical sampling system is detailed in Figure 3-1.

#### 3.1.3 EPA Method 3A, Determination of Oxygen and Carbon Dioxide Concentrations in Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)

EPA Method 3A is an instrumental test method used to measure the concentration of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$  in stack gas. The effluent gas is continuously or intermittently sampled and conveyed to analyzers that measure the concentration of  $O_2$  and  $CO_2$ . The performance requirements of the method must be met to validate data.

The typical sampling system is detailed in Figures 3-3 and 3-4.

#### 3.1.4 EPA Method 4, Determination of Moisture Content in Stack Gas

EPA Method 4 is a manual, non-isokinetic method used to measure the moisture content of gas streams. Gas is sampled at a constant sampling rate through a probe and impinger train. Moisture is removed using a series of pre-weighed impingers containing methodology-specific liquids and silica gel immersed in an ice water bath. The impingers are weighed after each run to determine the percent moisture.

The typical sampling system is detailed in Figure 3-2.



. .



FIGURE 3-1 EPA METHOD 2 SAMPLING TRAIN

## 3.1.5 EPA Method 7E, Determination of Nitrogen Oxides Emissions from Stationary Source (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)

EPA Method 7E is an instrumental test method used to continuously measure emissions of  $NO_x$  as  $NO_2$ . Conditioned gas is sent to an analyzer to measure the concentration of  $NO_x$ . NO and  $NO_2$  can be measured separately or simultaneously together but, for the purposes of this method,  $NO_x$  is the sum of NO and  $NO_2$ . The performance requirements of the method must be met to validate the data.

The typical sampling system is detailed in Figure 3-3.

r

a ta su a composition de la composition



FIGURE 3-2 EPA METHOD 4 (DETACHED) SAMPLING TRAIN

## 3.1.6 EPA Method 10, Determination of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Stationary Sources (Instrumental Analyzer Procedure)

EPA Method 10 is an instrumental test method used to continuously measure emissions of CO. Conditioned gas is sent to an analyzer to measure the concentration of CO. The performance requirements of the method must be met to validate the data.

The typical sampling system is detailed in Figure 3-3.



FIGURE 3-3 EPA METHOD 3A, 7E, 10, 25A SAMPLING TRAIN

## 3.1.7 EPA Method 25A, Determination of Total Gaseous Organic Concentration Using a Flame Ionization Analyzer

EPA Method 25A is an instrumental test method used to measure the concentration of THC in stack gas. A gas sample is extracted from the source through a heated sample line and glass fiber filter to a flame ionization analyzer (FIA). Results are reported as volume concentration equivalents of the calibration gas or as carbon equivalents.

For the purpose of this test, dual FIAs were utilized to measure THC (as propane) and CH<sub>4</sub> (as methane).

The typical sampling system is detailed in Figure 3-3.

#### 3.2 PROCESS TEST METHODS

The test plan did not require that process samples be collected during this test program; therefore, no process sample data are presented in this test report.

·

#### 4.0 TEST DISCUSSION AND RESULTS

#### 4.1 FIELD TEST DEVIATIONS AND EXCEPTIONS

No field deviations or exceptions from the test plan or test methods occurred during this test program.

#### 4.2 PRESENTATION OF RESULTS

The average results are compared to the permit limits in Tables 1-3 through 1-4. The results of individual compliance test runs performed are presented in Tables 4-1 through 4-2. Emissions are reported in units consistent with those in the applicable regulations or requirements. Additional information is included in the appendices as presented in the Table of Contents.

For EPA Method 25A, the reported total hydrocarbon (THC) concentrations were CH<sub>4</sub>-corrected and reported as VOC (as propane). In instances where the CH<sub>4</sub>-corrected THC (VOC) concentration was calculated to be negative, it was assigned a value of zero.



1

Run Number	1	2	3	Average	
Date	6/24/2021	6/24/2021	6/24/2021		
Time	9:40-10:40	11:14-12:14	12:36-13:36		
Process Data *					
Engine Load, KW	2,500	2,493	2,494	2,496	
Engine Load, bhp	3,352	3,344	3,344	3,347	
Flue Gas Parameters					
$O_2$ , % volume dry	9.50	9.48	9.45	9.48	
$\tilde{O}_2$ , % volume dry	6.43	6.42	6.43	6.43	
flue gas temperature, °F	713.3	718.8	718.4	716.9	
moisture content, % volume	11.97	12.35	11.98	12.10	
volumetric flow rate, scfm	6,769	6,973	6,114	6,619	
volumetric flow rate, dscfm	5,959	6,112	5,382	5,817	
Nitrogen Oxides (NO., as NO.)					
nnmvd	129.5	127 5	130.8	129.3	
$ppmvd @ 15% O_{2}$	67.1	65.9	67.4	66.8	
lb/hr	5 53	5 58	5.04	5 38	
g/hp-hr	0.75	0.76	0.68	0.73	
Carbon Monovide (CO)					
ppmyd	12.4	12.6	12 7	12.6	
nnmvd @ 15% O	6.39	6 4 9	6 56	6 48	
lb/br	0.32	0.34	0.30	0.32	
g/hp-hr	0.043	0.045	0.041	0.043	
Total Hydrogarbons (THC) as Bronano					
ppmvw	270.9	270.1	277.9	273.0	
Methone (CH) as Propana					
memane (On <sub>4</sub> ), as propane	281.8	306.3	201 5	204.2	
ppmvw	201.0	300.3	294.0	294.2	
Volatile Organic Compounds, as Propane (VOC) †					
ppmvd	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
ppmvd @ 15% O <sub>2</sub>	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
lb/hr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
g/hp-hr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

#### TABLE 4-1 NO<sub>X</sub>, CO, AND VOC EMISSIONS RESULTS -EU-ENGINE9

\* Process data for engine load (KW) was provided by WMU personnel, as shown in Appendix B of this report.

† Per MI-ROP-K2131-2021 and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, this compliance demonstration of VOC must exclude CH<sub>2</sub>O. Displayed concentrations and emissions were assigned a zero value. See Section 4.2 for details.

, .

Run Number	1	2	3	Average	
Date	6/24/2021	6/24/2021	6/24/2021		
Time	14:32-15:32	15:52-16:52	17:11-18:11		
Process Data *					
Engine Load, KW	2,490	2,489	2,491	2,491	
Engine Load, bhp	3,339	3,337	3,341	3,339	
Flue Gas Parameters					
O <sub>2</sub> , % volume dry	9.43	9.45	9.41	9.43	
$CO_2$ , % volume dry	6.41	6.45	6.45	6.44	
flue gas temperature, °F	717.8	717.4	718.4	717.9	
moisture content, % volume	12.94	12.34	13.73	13.00	
volumetric flow rate, scfm	7,152	7,203	7,142	7,165	
volumetric flow rate, dscfm	6,226	6,314	6,161	6,234	
Nitrogen Oxides (NO <sub>v</sub> as NO <sub>2</sub> )					
bymag	129.9	131.6	133.1	131.5	
ppmvd @ 15% O <sub>2</sub>	66.8	67.8	68.3	67.7	
lb/hr	5.80	5.95	5.87	5.87	
g/hp-hr	0.79	0.81	0.80	0.80	
Carbon Monoxide (CO)					
bymag	14.3	14.2	14.3	14.3	
ppmvd @ 15% $O_2$	7.35	7.31	7.36	7.34	
lb/hr	0.39	0.39	0.39	0.39	
g/hp-hr	0.053	0.053	0.052	0.053	
Total Gaseous Organic Compounds (TGO), as Propane					
ppmvw	284.9	315.2	288.5	296.2	
Methane (CHJ), as Propane					
ppmvw	336.3	330.4	333.5	333.4	
Veletile Ownerie Commented on Dremens (VOC) t					
volatile Organic Compounds, as Propane (VOC) T			0.00		
$ppmvd \otimes 15\% O$	0.00		0.00	0.00	
$\frac{10\%}{10}$	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
a/bp_br	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Auh-m	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	

#### TABLE 4-2 NO<sub>x</sub>, CO, AND VOC EMISSIONS RESULTS -EU-ENGINE10

\* Process data for engine load (KW) was provided by WMU personnel, as shown in Appendix B of this report.

† Per MI-ROP-K2131-2021 and 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart JJJJ, this compliance demonstration of VOC must exclude CH<sub>2</sub>O. Displayed concentrations and emissions were assigned a zero value. See Section 4.2 for details.

#### 5.0 INTERNAL QA/QC ACTIVITIES

#### 5.1 QA/QC AUDITS

The meter box and sampling train used during sampling performed within the requirements of their respective methods. All post-test leak checks, minimum metered volumes met the applicable QA/QC criteria.

EPA Method 3A, 7E, and 10 calibration audits were all within the measurement system performance specifications for the calibration drift checks, system calibration bias checks, and calibration error checks.

EPA Method 25A FIA calibration audits were within the measurement system performance specifications for the calibration drift checks and calibration error checks.

The NO<sub>2</sub> to NO converter efficiency check of the analyzer was conducted per the procedures in EPA Method 7E, Section 8.2.4. The conversion efficiency met the criteria.

An EPA Method 205 field evaluation of the calibration gas dilution system was conducted. The dilution accuracy and precision QA specifications were met.

#### 5.2 QA/QC DISCUSSION

All QA/QC criteria were met during this test program.

#### 5.3 QUALITY STATEMENT

Montrose is qualified to conduct this test program and has established a quality management system that led to accreditation with ASTM Standard D7036-04 (Standard Practice for Competence of Air Emission Testing Bodies). Montrose participates in annual functional assessments for conformance with D7036-04 which are conducted by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA). All testing performed by Montrose is supervised on site by at least one Qualified Individual (QI) as defined in D7036-04 Section 8.3.2. Data quality objectives for estimating measurement uncertainty within the documented limits in the test methods are met by using approved test protocols for each project as defined in D7036-04 Sections 7.2.1 and 12.10. Additional quality assurance information is included in the report appendices. The content of this report is modeled after the EPA Emission Measurement Center Guideline Document (GD-043).



## APPENDIX A FIELD DATA AND CALCULATIONS



> Appendix A.1 Sampling Locations



Western Michigan University 2021 Compliance Source Test Report



#### EU-ENGINE9 AND EU-ENGINE10 (6/24/2021) SAMPLING LOCATION SCHEMATIC





#### EU-ENGINE9 EXHAUST STACK FLOW TRAVERSE POINT LOCATION DRAWING





#### EU-ENGINE9 EXHAUST STACK CEMS TRAVERSE POINT LOCATION DRAWING





#### EU-ENGINE10 EXHAUST STACK FLOW TRAVERSE POINT LOCATION DRAWING



Western Michigan University 2021 Compliance Source Test Report



#### EU-ENGINE10 EXHAUST STACK CEMS TRAVERSE POINT LOCATION DRAWING



