# RECEIVED AUG 14 2017

AIR QUALITY DIVISION

# **COMPLIANCE TEST REPORT**

for

# Oxides of Nitrogen ( $NO_x$ ) and Carbon Monoxide (CO) Emissions

CTG's UNITs 11-1, 11-2, 12-1, and 12-2

Dean Peaker Station East China, Michigan

June 13 through June 22, 2017

Prepared By
Environmental Management & Resources
Environmental Field Services Group
DTE Corporate Services, LLC
7940 Livernois H-136
Detroit, MI 48210

**DTE Energy**<sup>®</sup>





#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Resources (EMR) Field Services Group performed gaseous emissions testing at the DTE Energy, Dean Peaker Station, located in East China, Michigan. The fieldwork, performed during the period of June 13 - June 22, 2017, was conducted to satisfy testing requirements of Michigan Renewable Operating Permit No. MI-ROP-B2796-2015b. Emissions tests were performed on four natural gas-fired Combustion Turbine Generators (CTG's) (Units 11-1, 11-2, 12-1, and 12-2) for oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) and carbon monoxide (CO).

The average results of the emissions testing are highlighted below:

### Emissions Testing Summary CTG's 11-1, 11-2, 12-1, and 12-2 Dean Peaker Station June 13 – June 22, 2017

Unit <sup>1</sup>	Parameter <sup>2</sup> (ppm @ 15% O2)	High Load	Mid-High Load	Mid-Low Load	Low-Load
44.4	NOx	6.4	6.0	5.9	6.0
11-1	СО	5.2	12.8	16.4	9,8
11-2	NOx	6.3	6.1	6,2	6.2
11-2	CO	9,3	12.3	8.4	6,7
12-1	NOx	7	7.2	6,6	6.2
7.2-7	СО	10.7	9.3	10.2	11.4
42.2	NO <sub>x</sub>	7.4	7.7	7.7	7.8
12-2	СО	3.5	3.3	3.7	2.7

<sup>(1)</sup> Permit Limits:

NOx-9.0 ppm @ 15% O2

CO - 25.0 ppm @15% O2

<sup>(2)</sup> Concentration corrected per USEPA Method 7E



#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Resources (EMR) Field Services Group performed gaseous emissions testing at the DTE Energy, Dean Peaker Station, located in East China, Michigan. The fieldwork, performed during the period of June 13 – June 22, 2017, was conducted to satisfy testing requirements of Michigan Renewable Operating Permit No. MI-ROP-B2796-2015b. Emissions tests were performed on four natural gas-fired Combustion Turbine Generators (CTG's) (Units 11-1, 11-2, 12-1, and 12-2) for oxides of nitrogen (NO<sub>x</sub>) and carbon monoxide (CO).

Testing was performed pursuant to Title 40, *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 60, Appendix A (40 CFR §60 App. A), Methods 3A, 7E, 10 and 19.

The fieldwork was performed in accordance with EPA Reference Methods and DTE Energy's Intent to Test<sup>1</sup>, which was approved in a letter<sup>2</sup> by Mr. Tom Gasloli from the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality – Air Quality Division (MDEQ-AQD). The following DTE Energy personnel participated in the testing program: Mr. Mark Westerberg, Environmental Specialist, Mr. Ken St. Amant, Senior Environmental Technician and Mr. Jacob Maas, Summer Student. Mr. Westerberg was the project leader. Mr. Bob Graves, Lead O & M Technician, with the DTE Energy Peaker Group provided process coordination for the testing program. Mr. Tom Gasloli with the Air Quality Division of the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ) reviewed the Test Plan and observed portions of the testing.

#### 2.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION

The DTE Energy, Dean Peaker Station, located at 4490 North River Road, East China, Michigan, employs the use of four General Electric Frame 7, simple-cycle, combustion turbines nominally rated at 82.4 megawatts (MW) each at 100% load (dependent upon ambient conditions). Flue gases from each unit exhaust through a separate rectangular stack (108" x 228") that has an exit height of 56.0 feet above ground level. See Figure 1 for a diagram of the units' sampling locations and stack dimensions.

#### 3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

DTE Energy obtained emissions measurements in accordance with procedures specified in the USEPA *Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources*. The sampling and analytical methods used in the testing program are indicated in the table below:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> DTE Test Plan, Submitted May 1, 2017. (Attached-Appendix A)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> MDEQ Approval Letter received May 30, 2017 (Attached-Appendix A)



Sampling Method	Parameter	Analysis
USEPA Method 3A	Oxygen	Instrumental Analyzer Method
USEPA Method 7E	Oxides of Nitrogen	Chemiluminecent Instrumental Analyzer Method
USEPA Method 10	Carbon Monoxide	NDIR Instrumental Analyzer Method
USEPA Method 19	Exhaust Gas Flow rates	Stoichiometric Calculations
USEPA Method 20	Oxides of Nitrogen	Ref. Method 7E

#### 3.1 OXYGEN AND CARBON DIOXIDE (USEPA METHOD 3A)

#### 3.1.1 Sampling Method

Stack gas Oxygen  $(O_2)$  and Carbon Dioxide  $(CO_2)$  emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 3A, "Gas Analysis for Carbon Dioxide, Oxygen, Excess Air, and Dry Molecular Weight (Instrumental Analyzer Method)". The  $O_2/CO_2$  analyzers utilize paramagnetic sensors.

#### 3.1.2 $O_2/CO_2$ Sampling Train

The EPA Method 3A sampling system (Figure 2) consisted of the following:

- (1) Stainless Steel sampling probe (traversed across 12 points of each stack)
- (2) Heated Teflon™ sampling line
- (3) MAK® gas conditioner with gaseous filter
- (4) Flexible unheated Teflon™ sampling line
- (5) Servomex O<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> gas analyzer
- (6) Appropriate USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gases
- (7) Data Acquisition System.



#### 3.1.3 Sampling Train Calibration

The sampling train was calibrated per procedures outlined in USEPA Method 7E. Zero, span, and mid-range calibration gases were introduced directly into the analyzer to determine the instruments linearity. A zero and mid-range span gas for each pollutant was then introduced through the entire sampling system to determine sampling system bias for the analyzer at the completion of each test.

#### 3.2 OXIDES OF NITROGEN AND CARBON MONOXIDE (USEPA METHODS 7E AND 10)

#### 3.2.1 Sampling Method

Oxides of nitrogen ( $NO_x$ ) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 7E, "Determination of Oxides of Nitrogen Emissions from Stationary Sources". The  $NO_x$  analyzer utilizes a Chemiluminecent detector. Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 10, "Determination of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Stationary Sources". The CO analyzer utilizes an NDIR detector.

The EPA Methods 7E and 10 sampling system (Figure 2) consisted of the following:

- Stainless Steel sampling probe (traversed across 12 points of each stack)
- (2) Heated Teflon™ sampling line
- (3) MAK® gas conditioner with gaseous filter
- (4) Flexible unheated Teflon™ sampling line
- (5) 42i Chemiluminecent NO/NO<sub>x</sub> gas analyzer and TECO 48i NDIR CO gas analyzer
- (6) Appropriate USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gases
- (7) Data Acquisition System.

Oxides of Nitrogen and carbon monoxide emissions testing were performed per Method 20, and Sub-Part GG. Testing at each of four loads (equally spaced between max load and 50 MW) was performed. Each load was tested in triplicate with a run consisting of sampling for 1-minute at each of 12 points. The probe was moved to each point with sufficient time to allow for sampling system response per the guidelines of Sub-Part GG. Diluent  $(O_2)$  concentrations were measured simultaneously during all sampling.

#### 3.2.2 Quality Control and Assurance

All sampling and analytical equipment were calibrated per the guidelines referenced in Methods 7E and 10. Calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 gases and the concentrations



were within the acceptable ranges (40-60% mid-range and span) specified in Method 7E. Calibration gas certification sheets are in Appendix C.

Zero, span, and mid-range calibration gases were introduced directly into the analyzer to determine the instruments linearity. A zero and mid-range span gas for each pollutant was then introduced through the entire sampling system to determine sampling system bias for each analyzer at the completion of each test.

DTE performed  $NO_x$  converter efficiency testing by directly challenging the  $NO_x$  analyzer with a nitrogen dioxide ( $NO_2$ ) calibration gas of 15.60 ppm. Results from the converter efficiency test demonstrated that the analyzer met the requirements of Method 7E (Eq-1).

Eq. 1 
$$Eff_{NO2} = \frac{C_{Dir}}{C_{v}} = \frac{14.4}{15.6} = 92.3\%$$

#### 3.2.3 Data Reduction

Data was recorded at 10-second intervals and averaged in 1-minute increments. The  $NO_x$  and CO emissions were reported in parts per million corrected to 15% oxygen (ppm @ 15%  $O_2$ ). The 1-minute readings collected can be found in Appendix B.

#### 4.0 OPERATING PARAMETERS

The test program included the collection of turbine operating data during each test run. Parameters recorded included fuel flowrate (pounds per second), power generation (MW), inlet guide vane angle (%), compressor discharge temperature (°F), compressor discharge pressure (psi), and exhaust temperature (°F). Unit operational data collected during each test can be found in Appendix E.

Natural gas samples were collected once during the testing of each unit and analyzed for heat content. The results of the fuel analysis can be found in Appendix E.



#### 5.0 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

#### 11-1:

Table No. 1 presents the nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) and carbon monoxide (CO) emission testing results for CTG Unit 11-1 at four (4) operating loads between 50 MW and the highest load attainable. The NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emissions are presented in parts per million corrected to fifteen percent oxygen (ppm @ 15%  $O_2$ ). The NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emissions for each of the 4 loads were below the permit limits of 9 ppm @15%  $O_2$  and 25 ppm @ 15%  $O_2$  respectively.

#### 11-2:

Table No. 2 presents the nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) and carbon monoxide (CO) emission testing results for CTG Unit 11-2 at four (4) operating loads between 50 MW and the highest load attainable. The NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emissions are presented in parts per million corrected to fifteen percent oxygen (ppm @ 15% O<sub>2</sub>). The NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emissions for each of the 4 loads were below the permit limits of 9 ppm @15% O<sub>2</sub> and 25 ppm @ 15% O<sub>2</sub> respectively.

#### 12-1:

Table No. 3 presents the nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) and carbon monoxide (CO) emission testing results for CTG Unit 12-1 at four (4) operating loads between 50 MW and the highest load attainable. The NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emissions are presented in parts per million corrected to fifteen percent oxygen (ppm @ 15%  $O_2$ ). The NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emissions for each of the 4 loads were below the permit limits of 9 ppm @15%  $O_2$  and 25 ppm @ 15%  $O_2$  respectively.

#### <u>12-2:</u>

Table No. 4 presents the nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) and carbon monoxide (CO) emission testing results for CTG Unit 12-2 at four (4) operating loads between 50 MW and the highest load attainable. The NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emissions are presented in parts per million corrected to fifteen percent oxygen (ppm @ 15% O<sub>2</sub>). The NO<sub>x</sub> and CO emissions for each of the 4 loads were below the permit limits of 9 ppm @15% O<sub>2</sub> and 25 ppm @ 15% O<sub>2</sub> respectively.

The Auxiliary test data presented in each NO<sub>x</sub>/CO Emissions Table for each test includes the Unit Load in gross megawatts (GMW), stack temperature in degrees Fahrenheit (°F), fuel flow in pounds per second (lbs/sec), inlet guide vane angle in degrees (°), Compressor discharge temperature in degrees Fahrenheit (°F), Compressor discharge pressure in pounds per square inch (PSI), and heat input in Million British Thermal units per hour (MMBtu/hr).

The results of the testing indicate that Units 1 through 4 are in compliance with Permit Requirements for  $NO_x$  & CO.

# DTE Energy<sup>.</sup>



### 6.0 <u>CERTIFICATION STATEMENT</u>

"I certify that I believe the information provided in this document is true, accurate, and
complete. Results of testing are based on the good faith application of sound professional
judgment, using techniques, factors, or standards approved by the Local, State, or Federal
Governing body, or generally accepted in the trade."

Mark R. Grigereit, QSTI	
This report prepared by:	
	Mr. Mark R. Grigereit, QSTI
	Principal Engineer, Environmental Field Services Environmental Management and Resources
	DTE Energy
This report reviewed by:	und a houting
	Mr. Mark Westerberg, QSTI
	Environmental Specialist, Environmental Field Services
	Environmental Management and Resources
	DTE Energy



# TABLE NO. 1 ${\rm NO_x}\,\&$ CO EMISSIONS TESTING RESULTS

Dean Peaker Station - Unit 11-1 June 13 & 14, 2017

Test	Test Date	Test Time	Unit Load (GMW)	Stack Temperature (°F)	Fuef Flow (lb/sec)	inlet Guide Vane Angle	Compressor Discharge Temperature	Compressor Discharge Pressure	Heat Input (MMBtu/hr)	NOx Emissions (ppm@15%0;j <sup>13)</sup>	CO Emissions (ppm@15%O <sub>3</sub> ) <sup>(2)</sup>
High	13-Jun-17	8:06-9:48	76.6	1011.0	11.1	84.0	686.7	158.8	974.9	6.4	5.2
Mid-High		10:19-11:57	66.7	1028.4	9.9	65.0	652.8	136.5	864.7	6.0	12.8
Mid-Low	14-jun-17	7:30-9:12	58.5	1047.0	9.1	57 <i>.</i> 7	630,0	124,5	795.4	5.9	16.4
Low		9:35-11:14	<u>49.6</u>	<u> 1081.9</u>	<u>8.3</u>	<u>53.7</u>	<u>630.9</u>	<u>111.8</u>	<u>726.1</u>	<u>6.0</u>	<u>9.8</u>
	Average:		62.9	1042.1	9.6	65.1	650.1	132.9	840.3	6.1	11.1

<sup>(1)</sup> Permit Limit = 9 ppm@15%02

<sup>(2)</sup> Permit Limit = 25 ppm@15%02



## TABLE NO. 2 $NO_x$ & CO EMISSIONS TESTING RESULTS

Dean Peaker Station - Unit 11-2 June 15 & 16, 2017

			Unit	Stack	Fuel	i ja var ja ilmestitui ket	Compressor	Compresso	Heat		
Test	Test Date	Test Time	Load	Temperature	Flow	Inlet Guide	Discharge	Discharge	Input	NOx Emissions	CO Emissions
email of			(GMW)	(°F)	(lb/sec)	Vane Angle	Temperature	Pressure	(MMBtu/hr)	[ppm@15%O <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>[1]</sup>	(ppm@15%0 <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>(0</sup>
High	15-Jun-17	8:08-9:45	75.3	998.3	10.6	83.9	673.1	157.1	933.1	6,3	9.3
Mid-High		10:12-11:52	67.0	1020.3	9.6	66.3	644.8	139.2	841.7	6,1	12.3
Mid-Low	16-Jun-17	7:39-9:21	58.2	1046.8	8.8	59.1	639.3	124.8	773.5	6.2	8.4
Low		9:38-11:16	<u>49.9</u>	<u>1074.7</u>	<u>8.0</u>	<u>54.4</u>	<u>634.6</u>	<u>112.5</u>	<u>702.1</u>	<u>6.2</u>	<u>6.7</u>
	Average:		62.6	1035.0	9.2	<b>65.</b> 9	648.0	133.4	812.6	6.2	9.2

<sup>(1)</sup> Permit Limit = 9 ppm@15%02 (2) Permit Limit = 25 ppm@15%02



### TABLE NO. 3 $NO_x$ & CO EMISSIONS TESTING RESULTS

Dean Peaker Station - Unit 12-1 June 21 & 22, 2017

Test	Test/Date	Test Time	Unit Load	Stack Temperature	Fuel Flow	inlet Guide	Compressor Discharge	Compressor Discharge	Heaf Input	NOx Emissions	CO Emissions
			(GMM)	(°F)	(lb/sec)	Vane Angle	Temperature	Pressure	(MMBtu/hr)	(ppm@15%O <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>(1)</sup>	(ppm@15%0 <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>(2)</sup>
High Mid-High	21-Jun-17	8:06-9:47 10:10-11:50	79.2 69.9	991.3 1012.4	11.1 10.0	84.1 66.4	665.7 642.2	157.8 140.0	1,003.1 902.2	7.0 7.2	10.7 9:3
Mid-Low Low	22-Jun-17	7:33-9:15 9:33-11:20	59.9 <u>49.9</u>	1042.0 <u>1075.9</u>	9.0 <u>8.1</u>	56.9 <u>51.8</u>	621.5 <u>618.8</u>	121.8 <u>107.5</u>	812.8 <u>732.1</u>	5.6 <u>5.2</u>	10.2 <u>11.4</u>
	Average:		64.7	1030.4	9.6	54.8	637.1	131.8	862.6	<b>6.8</b>	10.4

<sup>(1)</sup> Permit Limit = 9 ppm@15%02 (2) Permit Limit = 25 ppm@15%02



# $\label{eq:table no. 4} {\rm NO_x\&\ CO\ EMISSIONS\ TESTING\ RESULTS}$

Dean Peaker Station - Unit 12-2 June 19 & 20, 2017

2 19 2 3			Unit	Stack	Fuel		Compressor	Compressor	Heat		
Test	Test Date	Test Time	Load (GMW)	Temperature (°F)	Flow (lb/sec)	Inlet Guide Vane Angle	Discharge Temperature	Discharge Pressure	Input (MMBtu/hr)	NOX Emissions (ppm@15%O <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>(t)</sup>	CD Emissions (ppm@15%O <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>27</sup>
High	19-Jun-17	7:50-9:36	75.9	1003.7	10.8	83.8	682.4	156.4	978.8	7.4	3.5
Mid-High		9:52-11:31	67.7	1028.7	9.8	66.6	654.9	138.1	879.6	7.7	3.3
Mid-Low	20-Jun-17	7:19-8:57	58.6	1052.4	8.9	56.5	622.3	122.2	798.9	7.7	3.7
Low		9:22-11:02	<u>49.6</u>	<u> 1085.7</u>	<u>8.0</u>	<u>52.0</u>	<u>624.5</u>	<u> 109.4</u>	<u>723.8</u>	<u>7.8</u>	<u>2.7</u>
	Average:		63.0	1042.6	9.4	64.7	<i>646.</i> 1	131.5	845.3	7.7	3.3

<sup>(1)</sup> Permit Limit = 9 ppm@15%O2

5

<sup>(2)</sup> Permit Limit = 25 ppm@15%02



Figure 1 – Sampling Location DTE Dean Peaker Station June 13-22, 2017





