## **COMPLIANCE TEST REPORT**

# RECEIVED

for

DEC 3 0 2015

AIR QUALITY DIV.

## CARBON MONOXIDE EMISSIONS (CO)

UNITS 11-1, 11-3 to 11-5

SRN: B2795

Colfax Substation Fowlerville, Michigan

October 26-28, 2015

Prepared By Environmental Management & Resources Environmental Field Services Group DTE Corporate Services, LLC 7940 Livernois H-136 Detroit, MI 48210

**DTE Energy**<sup>°</sup>





#### CONTENTS

<u>Sect</u>	ion		<u>Page</u>
EXE	CUTIVE SUM	۲۰. MARY	
1.0	. INTRODU	JCTION	1
2.0	SOURCE	DESCRIPTION	1
3.0	SAMPLIN	IG AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	2
	3.1 OXYG	EN AND CARBON MONOXIDE (USEPA METHODS 3A AND 10)	2
	3.1.1	Sampling Method	2
	3.1.2	O <sub>2</sub> and CO Sampling Train	2
	3.1.3	Sampling Train Calibration	3
	3.1.4	Sampling Duration & Frequency	3
	3.1.5	Quality Control and Assurance (O <sub>2</sub> and CO)	3
	3.1.6	Data Reduction	3
4.0	OPERATI	NG PARAMETERS	4
5.0	RESULTS		4
6.0	CERTIFIC	ATION STATEMENT	5

#### **RESULTS TABLES**

Table No.	1	Unit 11-1 Carb	on Monoxide (	CO) Emission	Testing Results
Table No.	2	Unit 11-3 Carb	on Monoxide (	CO) Emission	Testing Results
Table No.	3	Unit 11-4 Carb	on Monoxide (	CO) Emission	Testing Results
Table No.	4	Unit 11-5 Carb	on Monoxide (	CO) Emission	Testing Results

#### **FIGURES**

1 Units 11-1, 11-3 to 11-5 Stack Drawing & Sampling Location

. . . . .

2 USEPA Method 3A/10 Sampling Train

#### **APPENDICES**

- A MDEQ Test Plan
- B Analyzer Data
- C Equipment and Analyzer Calibration Data
- D Example Calculations
- E Operational Data

ii

-----



#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Resources (EM&R) Field Services Group, performed emissions testing on four (4) 3,600 Brake-HP diesel engines located at the Colfax Substation in Fowlerville, Michigan. The fieldwork, performed on October 26-28, 2015 was conducted to satisfy requirements of 40CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ. Emission tests were performed on Units 11-1, 11-3 to 11-5 for carbon monoxide (CO) destruction efficiency.

The results of the emissions testing are highlighted below:

October, 2015							
Date	Average CO Destruction Efficiency (%)						
10-26-15	11-1	85.6					
10-27-15	11-3	87.2					
10-28-15	11-4	85.5					
10-28-15	11-5*	87.5					

## **CO Emissions Test Results** Colfax Substation

Subpart ZZZZ Limit: Limit the concentration of CO in the stationary RICE exhaust to 23 ppmvd or less at 15% O2; or Reduce CO emissions by 70% or more

\*Unit 11-5 passed CO destruction efficiency limits, however catalyst inlet temperature recorded during the testing does not meet the ZZZZ regulation of greater than or equal to 450 °F and less than or equal to 1350 °F.



#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

DTE Energy's Environmental Management and Resources (EM&R) Field Services Group, performed emissions testing on four (4) 3,600 Brake-HP diesel engines located at the Colfax Substation in Fowlerville, Michigan. The fieldwork, performed on October 26-28, 2015 was conducted to satisfy requirements of 40CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ. Emission tests were performed on Units 11-1, 11-3 to 11-5 for carbon monoxide (CO) destruction efficiency.

Testing was performed pursuant to Title 40, *Code of Federal Regulations*, Part 60, Appendix A (40 CFR §60 App. A), Methods 3A and 10.

The fieldwork was performed in accordance with EPA Reference Methods, the requirements outlined in 40CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ, and EM&R's Intent to Test<sup>1</sup>, Test Plan Submittal, which was approved in a letter by Mr. Tom Gasloli from the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality (MDEQ), dated June 8, 2015. The following EM&R personnel participated in the testing program: Mr. Thomas Snyder, Senior Technician, and Mr. Mark Grigereit, Principal Engineer. Mr. Rahn Ledesma, Reliability Supervisor with DTE Electric, provided process coordination for the testing program.

#### 2.0 SOURCE DESCRIPTION

The Colfax Substation located at 4025 Gregory Rd, Fowlerville, Michigan, employs the use of five EM&D, MP45, 20 cylinder, 3,600 Horse Power diesel engines (Units 11-1 to 11-5). The engines generate supplemental electrical power during peak electrical demand periods or when required for load stability. On site diesel generators produce the electrical power supply which is sent to the electrical grid. Each unit is capable of producing approximately 2.5 GMW at full load conditions. Unit 11-2 was not tested due to operational issues with the unit.

The emissions from the engines are exhausted through individual catalyst beds and to the atmosphere through individual exhaust stacks.

During the emissions testing the engines were operated at 100% load conditions (2.5 MW).

A schematic representation of the engines exhausts and sampling locations are presented in Figure 1. Sampling was performed in the duct prior to and downstream of the catalyst bed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> MDEQ, Test Plan, Submitted May 6, 2015. (Attached-Appendix A) <sup>2</sup> MDEQ, Approval Letter (Attached-Appendix A)



#### 3.0 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

DTE Energy obtained emissions measurements in accordance with procedures specified in the USEPA *Standards of Performance for New Stationary Sources*. The sampling and analytical methods used in the testing program are indicated in the table below:

Sampling Method	Parameter	Analysis
USEPA Method 3A	Oxygen	Instrumental Analyzer Method
USEPA Method 10	Carbon Monoxide	NDIR Instrumental Analyzer Method

#### 3.1 OXYGEN AND CARBON MONOXIDE (USEPA METHODS 3A AND 10)

#### 3.1.1 Sampling Method

Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 3A, "Gas Analysis for Carbon Dioxide, Oxygen, Excess Air, and Dry Molecular Weight (Instrumental Analyzer Method)". The O<sub>2</sub> analyzer utilizes a paramagnetic sensor.

Carbon monoxide (CO) emissions were evaluated using USEPA Method 10, "Determination of Carbon Monoxide Emissions from Stationary Sources". The CO analyzer utilizes a NDIR detector.

#### 3.1.2 O<sub>2</sub> and CO Sampling Train

The EPA Methods 3A and 10 sampling systems at the inlet and outlet (Figure 2) consisted of the following components:

- (1) Single-point stainless steel sampling probe with a cintered filter.
- (2) Heated PTFE<sup>™</sup> sampling line.
- (3) Universal<sup>®</sup> and MAK<sup>®</sup> gas conditioners with a particulate filter.
- (4) Flexible unheated PTFE sampling line.
- (5) Servomex 1400 O<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> gas analyzer and TECO 48i NDIR CO gas analyzer.
- (6) USEPA Protocol 1 calibration gases.
- (7) Data Acquisition System.



#### 3.1.3 Sampling Train Calibration

The  $O_2$  / CO sampling trains were calibrated according to procedures outlined in USEPA Methods 3A & 10. Zero, span, and mid range calibration gases were introduced directly into the CO and  $O_2$  analyzers to determine the instruments linearity. A zero and mid range span gas was then introduced through the entire sampling system to determine sampling system bias for each analyzer. Additional system calibrations were performed at the completion of each test.

#### 3.1.4 Sampling Duration & Frequency

The emissions testing of each engine consisted of triplicate 60-minute samples at the inlet and exhaust of the catalyst. Testing was conducted at three points across the diameter of the exhaust duct during each run. Sampling was performed simultaneously for  $O_2$  and CO. Data was recorded as 1-minute averages.

#### 3.1.5 Quality Control and Assurance (O<sub>2</sub> and CO)

All sampling and analytical equipment was calibrated according to the guidelines referenced in Methods 3A and 10. Calibration gases were EPA Protocol 1 gases and the concentrations were within the acceptable ranges (40-60% mid range and span) specified in Method 7E. Calibration gas certification sheets are located in Appendix C.

#### 3.1.6 Data Reduction

The  $O_2$  and CO emission readings in percent (%) and parts per million (ppm) were recorded at 4-second intervals and averaged to 1-minute increments. The CO emissions were normalized to 15%  $O_2$ , and that number was used to determine CO % Destruction Efficiency (DE) as required by 40CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ. Emission calculations are based upon calculations found in USEPA Methods 3A, 7E, 10 and 19. Example calculations can be found in Appendix D.

The 1-minute O<sub>2</sub> and CO readings collected can be found in Appendix B.



#### 4.0 OPERATING PARAMETERS

The test program included the collection of catalyst inlet temperature ( $^{\circ}F$ ), catalyst pressure drop ("H<sub>2</sub>O), and crank case vacuum ("H<sub>2</sub>O). Ambient temperature ( $^{\circ}F$ ), Relative Humidity (%), and Barometric Pressure (in) were also recorded during each test. Operational and atmospheric data collected during the testing is located in Appendix E.

#### 5.0 RESULTS

Tables 1-4 present the CO emissions @ 15% O<sub>2</sub> results from Units 11-1, 11-3 to 11-5. The CO emissions are presented in parts per million (ppm) for the inlet and outlet and the destruction efficiency in percent (%). Also presented are the Oxygen inlet and outlet in percent (%), the catalyst inlet temperature in degrees Fahrenheit (°F), and pressure drop across the catalyst in inches of water ("H<sub>2</sub>O). The results of the testing indicate that Units 11-1, 11-3, and 11-4 are in compliance with 40CFR Part 63 Subpart ZZZZ requirements of reducing CO emissions by 70% or more.

Unit 11-5 passed CO destruction efficiency limits, however catalyst inlet temperature recorded during the testing does not meet the ZZZZ regulation of greater than or equal to 450 °F and less than or equal to 1350 °F.



#### 6.0 CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

"I certify that I believe the information provided in this document is true, accurate, and complete. Results of testing are based on the good faith application of sound professional judgment, using techniques, factors, or standards approved by the Local, State, or Federal Governing body, or generally accepted in the trade."

Thomas Snyder, QSTI

This report prepared by:

Mr. Thomas Snyder, QSTI Senior Environmental Technician, Field Services Group Environmental Management and Resources DTE Energy Corporate Services, LLC

This report reviewed by:

kn

Thous Quil

Mr. Mark Grigereit, QSTI Principal Engineer, Field Services Group Environmental Management and Resources DTE Energy Corporate Services, LLC





### **RESULTS TABLES**

### TABLE NO. 1 CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) EMISSION TESTING RESULTS Unit 11-1 Colfax Substation October 26, 2015

		Catalyst	Catalyst	Oxygen <sup>(1)</sup>		CO Emissions @ 15% $O_2^{(1)}$		Destruction	
Test	Time	Load (MW)	Inlet Temperature (°F)	Pressure Drop ("H <sub>2</sub> O)	Inlet (%)	Outlet (%)	inlet (ppm)	Outlet (ppm)	Efficiency (%)
Run - 1 Run - 2 Run - 3	11:01-12:01 12:10-13:10 13:20-14:20 <i>Avg:</i>	2.6 2.6 <u>2.6</u> <b>2.6</b>	713 694 <u>696</u> <b>701</b>	0.005 0.005 <u>0.004</u> <b>0.005</b>	11.9 11.7 <u>11.6</u> <i>11.7</i>	11.8 11.6 <u>11.5</u> <b>11.6</b>	138.3 147.9 <u>163.9</u> <b>150.0</b>	21.6 20.6 <u>22.2</u> <b>21.5</b>	84.4 86.1 <u>86.4</u> <b>85.6</b>

<sup>(1)</sup> Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E

### TABLE NO. 2 CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) EMISSION TESTING RESULTS Unit 11-3 Colfax Substation October 27, 2015

			Catalyst	Catalyst	Οχγε	gen <sup>(1)</sup>	CO Emissions	: @ 15% O <sub>2</sub> <sup>(1)</sup>	Destruction
Test	Time	Load (MW)	Inlet Temperature (°F)	Pressure Drop ("H <sub>2</sub> O)	iniet (%)	Outlet (%)	Inlet (ppm)	Outlet (ppm)	Efficiency (%)
Run - 1 Run - 2 Run - 3	9:30-10:30 10:40-11:40 11:53-12:53	2.6 2.6 <u>2.6</u>	711 713 <u>734</u>	0.003 0.004 <u>0.003</u> 0.223	11.3 11.2 <u>11.2</u>	11.3 11.1 <u>11.1</u>	187.2 209.2 <u>214.1</u> 202 5	24.2 26.5 <u>27.3</u>	87.1 87.3 <u>87.2</u>
	Avg:	2.6	719	0.003	11.2	11.2	203.5	26.0	87.2

(1) Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E

### TABLE NO. 3 CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) EMISSION TESTING RESULTS Unit 11-4 Colfax Substation October 28, 2015

		Catalyst	Catalyst	Oxygen <sup>(1)</sup>		CO Emissions @ $15\% O_2^{(1)}$		Destruction	
Test	Time	Load	Inlet Temperature	Pressure Drop	Inlet	Outlet	Inlet	Outlet	Efficiency
		(MW)	(°F)	("H <sub>2</sub> O)	(%)	(%)	(ppm)	(ppm)	(%)
in mille <mark>Ander</mark>			n a h-i-i-i-i-i-i-i-i-i-i-i-i-i-i-i-i-i-i-i	elinan dar et Million na Son dan a	n sy is 160 totole ge is	and government of the states	in an court has no aguste		an in the second second
Run - 1	7:46-8:46	2.6	612	0.003	11.7	11.6	79.2	11.6	85.3
Run - 2	8:59-9:59	2.6	594	0.001	11.7	11.7	74.5	10.7	85.6
Run - 3	10:09-11:09	<u>2.6</u>	<u>612</u>	0.000	<u>11.7</u>	<u>11.7</u>	<u>76.1</u>	<u>11.1</u>	<u>85.5</u>
	Avg:	2.6	606	0.001	11.7	11.7	76.6	11.1	85.5

(1) Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E

### TABLE NO. 4 CARBON MONOXIDE (CO) EMISSION TESTING RESULTS Unit 11-5 Colfax Substation October 28, 2015

			Catalyst	Catalyst	Oxygen <sup>(1)</sup>		CO Emissions @ 15% O <sub>2</sub> <sup>(1)</sup>		Destruction	
Test	Time	Load (MW)	Inlet Temperature (°F)	Pressure Drop ("H <sub>2</sub> O)	Inlet (%)	Outlet (%)	Inlet (ppm)	Outlet (ppm)	Efficiency (%)	
Run - 1	11:27-12:27	2.6	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	11.6	11.6	158.7	19.3	87.8	
Run - 2	12:37-13:37	2.6	-	-	11.6	11.5	162.8	20.9	87.1	
Run - 3	13:56-14:56	<u>2.6</u>	-	-	<u>11.5</u>	<u>11.5</u>	<u>170</u>	<u>21.1</u>	<u>87.6</u>	
	Avg:	2.6			11.6	11.5	163.8	20.4	87.5	

÷

<sup>(1)</sup> Corrected for analyzer drift per USEPA method 7E





### FIGURES



