### **REVISED FINAL REPORT**

# SA

# FCA US LLC

WARREN, MICHIGAN

#### WARREN TRUCK ASSEMBLY PLANT - WEST PAINT SHOP TRANSFER EFFICIENCY AND CAPTURE EFFICIENCY TESTING

RWDI #2104810 February 15, 2022

#### SUBMITTED TO

Joyce Zhu Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE) District Supervisor, Air Quality Division 2700 Donald Court Warren, Michigan 48092-2793

Karen Kajiya-Mills Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE) Air Quality Division Technical Programs Unit (TPU) Constitution Hall 2<sup>nd</sup> Floor, South 525 West Allegan Street Lansing, Michigan 48909-7760

FCA US LLC Warren Truck Assembly Plant 21500 Mound Road Warren, Michigan, 48091

Bradley Wargnier EHS Specialist Bradley.Wargnier@stellantis.com

Thomas Caltrider Corporate Environmental Programs, EHS

#### SUBMITTED BY

Brad Bergeron, A.Sc.T., d.E.T. Senior Project Manager | Principal Brad.bergeron@rwdi.com

RWDI AIR Inc. Consulting Engineers & Scientists 2239 Star Court Rochester Hills, Michigan, 48309

T: 519.974.7384 | ext. 2428 F: 519.823.1316

Jim Belanger Manager jim@jlbindustries.com

JLB Industries, LLC 2181 Avon Industrial Drive Rochester Hills, Michigan 48309

This document is intended for the sole use of the party to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged and/or confidential. If you have received this in error, please notify us immediately. Accessible document formats provided upon request. © RWDI name and logo are registered trademarks in Canada and the United States of America.



### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

RWDI AIR Inc. (RWDI) and JLB Industries, LLC were retained by FCA US LLC (FCA) to complete compliance testing of the coating operations at their Warren Truck Assembly Plant (WTAP) West Paint Shop located at 21500 Mound Road, Warren, Michigan. The scope of the test program was to complete paint solids transfer efficiency (TE) and Booth and Oven Capture Efficiency (BCE/OCE) testing of the Primer/Tutone operations (EUPRIMERWEST) and Topcoat operations (EUTOPCOATWEST). This compliance testing program focusses solely on the West Paint Shop. The West Paint Shop has one coating line. The program considered the following coatings:

- Primer;
- Tutone Primer;
- Tutone Coloring Primer;
- Basecoat; and
- Clearcoat.

Results of the testing are considered representative of plant production. The results will support on-going VOC monthly emission calculations. WTAP West Paint Shop currently operates under Permit to Install (PTI) Permit # 13-19B dated June 23, 2021.

This revised report includes the data from the previously submitted November 16, 2021 report, as updated per requests from EGLE including additional basecoat panel and water foil data retested on December 10, 2021.

The original repot was submitted on November 16<sup>th</sup>, 2021. A review was completed by Ms. Regina Angellotti from the State of Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE) and questions were provided to RWDI and JLB Industries, LLC on December 27, 2021, January 3, 2022, and January 5, 2022. RWDI submitted a response to the questions on January 11<sup>th</sup>, 2022. Additional questions were raised on January 12<sup>th</sup>, 2022, which lead to a virtual meeting on January 19, 2022 with EGLE (Ms. Regina Angellotti), FCA US LLC (Mr. Thomas Caltrider), JLB Industries, LLC (Mr. Jim Belanger), and RWDI (Mr. Brad Bergeron). The outcome of the meeting was EGLE's request for an updated report with the following modifications:

- For the Roof Prime Panel Test, Panel 1 results appeared to be an anomaly and the data was removed from the calculations.
- For the basecoat capture efficiency, the heated flash component for the basecoat was combined with the spraybooth for an overall booth and heated flash capture efficiency. The oven portion of capture efficiency was reported separately.
- Upon review of the data, it was determined that the water content data from the basecoat was not as expected, and therefore needed validation. In December of 2021, JLB/RWDI took additional samples of foils and panels and send for further analysis. The updated results were more in line with what was expected and in general agreement with the capture efficiency test results from similar paint lines at FCA Detroit Assembly Complex Mack (DACM) and FCA Sterling Heights Assembly Plant (SHAP) South.



The original testing program consisted of Transfer Efficiency (TE) testing and Capture Efficiency (CE) testing, which is described below. Determination of TE and CE were conducted in accordance with all applicable procedures contained in USEPA document "Protocol for Determining the Daily Volatile Organic Compound Emission Rate of Automobile and Light-Duty Truck Topcoat Operations". The testing was completed during the week of September 13<sup>th</sup>, 2021 concluding on September 17<sup>th</sup>, 2021. The testing consisted of the following:

- Paint solids transfer efficiency (TE) the percent of paint solids sprayed that deposit on the painted part. was measured when applying primer, tutone primer, tutone coloring primer, basecoat and clearcoat and are considered to be representative for all Primer and Topcoat Operations.
- Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) capture efficiency (CE) testing was completed on the booth zones, heated flash zone and bake oven zones for the "EUPRIMERWEST and EUTOPCOATWEST" lines. This includes the percent of VOC captured from the curing of the coating in the spray booths, heated flash, and bake ovens. The spray booth, heated flash and bake oven VOC CE is used to calculate the mass of VOC captured per gallon of applied coating solids (lb VOC/gacs) and is also referred to as oven solvent loading. Spray booth, heated flash and oven VOC CE was measured at the "EUPRIMERWEST and EUTOPCOATWEST" systems when applying primer, tutone primer, tutone coloring primer, basecoat and clearcoat and are considered to be representative for all primer and topcoat operations.

RWDI/JLB Industries used highly accurate weighing systems to determine the vehicle and panel weights before and after coating application. Calibrated volumetric flow meters, located on each applicator, were used to measure paint usage.

Material samples were collected from the paint circulation tanks directly after vehicle spray out. Determination of percent solids by weight and density was performed by RTI Laboratories, located in Livonia, Michigan.

Tested Coating	Solids Transfer Efficiency (%)
Gray Prime (Primer)	73.8%
Roof Prime (Tutone Primer)	84.7%
Tutone Monocoat (Tutone Coloring Primer)	60.3%
White Basecoat (Basecoat)	71.1%
Clearcoat	76.3%

#### Transfer Efficiency (TE) Results Summary



#### Capture Efficiency (CE) Results Summary

		Loading (Lb/GACS)	Capture Efficiency
		EU-PRIMERWEST	YEU-TOPCOAT WEST
	Booth/Flash	5.43	59.9%
Gray Prime (Primer)	Oven	1.75	19.3%
	Total	7.18	79.2%
	Booth/Flash	5.65	72.7%
Roof Prime (Tutone Primer)	Oven	1.34	17.3%
(ratione r niner)	Total	6.99	90.0%
Tutone Monocoat	Booth	6.49	51.1%
(Tutone Coloring	Oven	2.86	22.6%
Primer)	Total	9.35	73.7%
	Booth/Flash	3.19	47.7%
White Basecoat (Basecoat)	Oven	1.53	22.9%
	Total	4.72	70.6%
	Booth	4.34	47.4%
Clearcoat (Clearcoat)	Oven	3.02	32.9%
	Total	7.36	80.3%



### TABLE OF CONTENTS

-	INTRODUCTION					
2	SOURCE AND SAMPLING LOCATIONS					
2.1	Process Description					
2.2	Control Equipment					
2.3	Operating Parameters					
2.4	Process Sampling Locations					
3	SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES9					
3.1	Summary of Test Program9					
3.2	Transfer Efficiency Test9					
3.3	Capture Efficiency Tests					
lą.	TEST EQUIPMENT AND QA/QC PROCEDURES					
4.1	Pretest QA/QC Activities and Audits124.1.1Vehicle Weigh Station (VWS)124.1.2Material Usage124.1.3Panel Weigh Station13					
5	RESULTS					
5.1	Results					
5.2	Discussion of Results14					
6	PROCESS CONDITIONS					
7	CONCLUSIONS					

### LIST OF TABLES

#### TABLES FOUND WITHIN THE REPORT:

Table 2.1-1:	Summary of Applicator Parameters	4
Table 2.3-1:	Summary of Operating Conditions – Primer	5
Table 2.3-2:	Summary of Operating Conditions – Tutone	6
Table 2.3-3:	Summary of Operating Conditions – Basecoat	6
Table 2.3-4:	Summary of Operating Conditions – Clearcoat	7
Table 2.4-1:	Summary of Method 24 Coating Analysis	8
Table 2.4-2:	Summary of Volumetric Karl Fischer Titration Coating Analytical	8
Table 5.1-1:	Transfer Efficiency Results SummaryExecutive Summar	ry & 13
Table 5.1-2:	Capture Efficiency Results SummaryExecutive Summar	ry & 14

#### TABLES FOUND WITHIN THE TABLES SECTION FOLLOWING THE REPORT:

- **Table 1:**Gray Primer Transfer Efficiency (Primer)
- **Table 2:**Roof Primer Transfer Efficiency (Tutone Primer)
- **Table 3:**Tutone Transfer Efficiency (Tutone Monocoat)
- Table 4:
   Clearcoat Transfer Efficiency
- **Table 5:** White Basecoat Transfer Efficiency
- Table 6a:
   Primer Booth VOC Capture Efficiency (Primer)
- **Table 6b:** Primer VOC Loading/Capture Efficiency Carryover (Primer)
- **Table 6c:** Primer Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (Primer)
- Table 7a:
   Updated Roof Primer Booth VOC Capture Efficiency (Tutone Primer)
- Table 7b:
   Updated Roof Primer VOC Loading/Capture Efficiency Carryover (Tutone Primer)
- **Table 7c:** Updated Roof Primer Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (Tutone Primer)
- **Table 8a:** Original Roof Primer Booth VOC Capture Efficiency (Tutone Primer)
- **Table 8b:** Original Roof Primer VOC Loading/Capture Efficiency Carryover (Tutone Primer)
- **Table 8c:** Original Roof Primer Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (Tutone Primer)
- Table 9a:
   Tutone Booth VOC Capture Efficiency (Tutone Monocoat)
- Table 9b:
   Tutone Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (Tutone Monocoat)
- **Table 10a:**Clearcoat Booth VOC Capture Efficiency
- Table 10b:
   Clearcoat Oven VOC Capture Efficiency
- **Table 11:**Update White Solid Basecoat Booth Capture Efficiency
- **Table 12:** Original White Solid Basecoat Booth Capture Efficiency
- **Table 13:**Updated White Solid Basecoat Karl Fisher
- **Table 14:**Original White Solid Basecoat Karl Fisher
- **Table 15:**Updated White Solid Basecoat Oven Capture Efficiency
- **Table 16:**Original White Solid Basecoat Oven Capture Efficiency

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1:Process and Sampling Location Overview (Section 2.1)......4

### LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix A:	Source Testing Plan
Appendix B:	Process Data
Appendix C:	Laboratory Reports
Appendix C1	: Updated Laboratory Report – December 2021
Appendix C2	: Original Laboratory Report – September 2021
Appendix D:	Calibration Documents
Appendix E:	Applicator Verificatrion
Appendix F	Field Notes
Appendix F1:	Updated Field Notes – December 2021
Appendix F2:	Original Field Notes – September 2021
Appendix G:	Sample Calculations



FEB 18 2022

AIR QUALITY DIVISION



### 1 INTRODUCTION

RWDI AIR Inc. (RWDI) and JLB Industries, LLC were retained by FCA US LLC (FCA) to complete compliance testing of the coating operations at their Warren Truck Assembly Plant (WTAP) West Paint Shop located at 21500 Mound Road, Warren, Michigan. The scope of the test program was to complete paint solids transfer efficiency (TE) and Booth and Oven Capture Efficiency (BCE/OCE) testing of the Primer/Tutone operations (EUPRIMERWEST) and Topcoat operations (EUTOPCOATWEST). This compliance testing program focusses solely on the West Paint Shop. The West Paint Shop has one coating line. The program considered the following coatings:

- Primer;
- Tutone Primer;
- Tutone Coloring Primer;
- Basecoat; and
- Clearcoat.

Results of the testing are considered representative of plant production. The results will support on-going VOC monthly emission calculations. WTAP West Paint Shop currently operates under Permit to Install (PTI) Permit # 13-19B dated June 23, 2021.

#### This revised report includes the data from the previously submitted November 16, 2021 report, as updated per requests from EGLE including additional basecoat panel and water foil data retested on December 10, 2021.

The original repot was submitted on November 16<sup>th</sup>, 2021. A review was completed by Ms. Regina Angellotti from the State of Michigan Department of Environment, Great Lakes and Energy (EGLE) and questions were provided to RWDI and JLB Industries, LLC on December 27, 2021, January 3, 2022, and January 5, 2022. RWDI submitted a response to the questions on January 11<sup>th</sup>, 2022. Additional questions were raised on January 12<sup>th</sup>, 2022, which lead to a virtual meeting on January 19, 2022 with EGLE (Ms. Regina Angellotti), FCA US LLC (Mr. Thomas Caltrider), JLB Industries, LLC (Mr. Jim Belanger), and RWDI (Mr. Brad Bergeron). The outcome of the meeting was EGLE's request for an updated report with the following modifications:

- For the Roof Prime Panel Test, Panel 1 results appeared to be an anomaly and the data was removed from the calculations.
- For the basecoat capture efficiency, the heated flash component for the basecoat was combined with the spraybooth for an overall booth and heated flash capture efficiency. The oven portion of capture efficiency was reported separately.
- Upon review of the data, it was determined that the water content data from the basecoat was not as expected, and therefore needed validation. In December of 2021, JLB/RWDI took additional samples of foils and panels and send for further analysis. The updated results were more in line with what was expected and in general agreement with the capture efficiency test results from similar paint lines at FCA Detroit Assembly Complex Mack (DACM) and FCA Sterling Heights Assembly Plant (SHAP) South.

# M

### 2 SOURCE AND SAMPLING LOCATIONS

#### 2.1 Process Description

WTAP operates an automobile assembly plant that produces the Jeep Wagoneer models in the West Paint Shop and the Classic Ram 1500 series trucks in the East Paint Shop for FCA US LLC. This program focuses only on the West Paint Shop. Vehicle body panels are stamped and assembled on site from sheet metal components. The bodies are cleaned, treated, and prepared for painting in the phosphate system. Then the vehicle bodies are dip coated in electro deposition corrosion primer for protection (EUECOATWEST). The electro primer (E-coat) is heatcured to the vehicle body in a high-temperature bake oven. After completing the E-coat operation, vehicle bodies are conveyed to the sealer area for application of various sealants to body seams and joints.

The vehicles are then routed to a prep tunnel; two (2) automatic primer booths (EUPRIMERWEST- one for solvent borne main primer and Tutone primer, and one for solvent borne Tutone colorant/coloring primer, (also referred to as Monocoat or Black Roof); a primer/Tutone observation zone; two (2) ambient flash-off areas; and a natural gas fired primer oven. Coating booth overspray is controlled by a waterwash particulate control system. A portion of the primer and Tutone coating booth emissions are exhausted through a bank of particulate filters, then to the west concentrator and then to the west RTO (via concentrator desorption exhaust). Primer Oven emissions are exhausted directly to the west RTO. At the time of this test, emissions from the observation zones and ambient flash-off areas were controlled by particulate control systems and exhausted to the ambient air. After this testing was completed, the primer/tutone ambient flash-off zones were rerouted and now exhaust to the concentrator and RTO.

After the primer booths, the vehicles are routed to an automatic topcoat spray application consisting of a waterborne basecoat coating booth, a basecoat observation zone, a basecoat ambient flash-off area, a basecoat heated flash-off area, a solvent borne clearcoat coating booth, a clearcoat observation zone, a clearcoat ambient flash-off area and a natural gas fired curing oven. A portion of the basecoat and clearcoat coating booth exhaust will be filtered and recirculated to the booth air make-up system. All spray booth emissions and some ambient flash-off areas are exhausted through a bank of particulate filters, then to the west concentrator and then to the west RTO. Oven emissions are exhausted directly to the west RTO. Emissions from the observation zones and the balance of ambient flash-off areas are controlled by particulate control system and exhausted to the ambient air.

An overview of the process to be sampled and associated sampling sites is provided below. The sampling locations were changed from the initial Source Testing Plan to accommodate additional locations as discussed with EGLE on-site. Two (2) additional weighing locations were added in the tutone controlled area for the Primer and Tutone Primer in order to obtain any additional VOCs captured in the tutone controlled area. Any carryover (VOCs captured int the Tutone controlled area) was included into the booth capture values.

RA

#### **2.3 Operating Parameters**

The following process control measures were recorded during the testing:

- Coating usage;
- Application information;
- Bake Oven Temperature;
- Spray booth relative humidity; and
- Spray booth temperature.

The following summarizes the Spray booth and Bake Oven process conditions.

Table 2.3-1: Summary of Operating Conditions - Primer

Source	Primer Sp	Primer Spray Booth Temperature			Primer Spray Booth Relative Humidity			Surfacer Oven Temperature		
Joanee	Unit	09/14/21	09/15/21	Unit	09/14/21	09/15/21	Unit	09/14/21	09/15/21	
	Primer	74ºF	76°F	Primer	63%	66%	Zone 1	376°F	375⁰F	
			-	-	<u></u>	······	Zone 1 Sill	350°F	353°F	
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					Zone 2	391°F	391°F	
				-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Zone 2 Sill	355°F	355°F	
Primer				na y len dala Na yang dalam	en en eren Geberer		Zone 3	310°F	310ºF	
							Zone 4	310⁰F ्	310°F	
							Zone 5	310°F	310°F	
			-	-			Zone 6	298°F	300°F	
			**********		9449984594997544499844449499999999999999		Cooling	77°F	71ºF	

RECEIVED

FEB 18 2022

rwdi.com

AIR QUALITY DIVISION 5

Source	Clearcoat Spray Booth Temperature		Clearcoat Spray Booth Relative Humidity		Clearcoat Heated Flash Temperate/Relative Humidity		Topcoat Oven Temperature	
	Unit	09/16/21	Unit	09/16/21	Unit	09/16/21	Unit	09/16/21
Primer	Clearcoat	80°F	Clearcoat	64%	Clearcoat	151ºF / 6%	Zone 1	320ºF
			-	-			Zone 1 Sill	254°F
			-	-			Zone 2	328ºF
							Zone 2 Sill	254°F
		9 million (mail and and an	· · · · ·	-			Zone 3	295°F
			-	-			Zone 4	290°F
				-			Zone 5	281°F
					New mention for a consider a second		Cooling	63°F

#### Table 2.3-4: Summary of Operating Conditions - Clearcoat

#### 2.4 Process Sampling Locations

A process sample of each coating applied during the testing was collected for analysis. The coatings were collected following procedures in USEPA's "Standard Procedure for Collection of Coating and Ink Samples for Analysis by Method 24 and 24A".

Coating samples were collected at the application point into four (4) ounce glass sampling jars with minimal headspace. The coating-as-applied samples were analyzed using USEPA Method 24 to measure percent VOC, percent water and density. The results are summarized below in **Table 2.4-1** and in **Appendix C**.

### 3 SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

#### 3.1 Summary of Test Program

The EUPRIMERWEST and EUTOPCOATWEST process at WTAP West Paint Shop is comprised of one (1) paint line. The primer, tutone and topcoat system consists of several spray sections followed by an associated curing oven. The spray booth operations are defined as follows:

- Primer Robots: Liquid solvent based primer was applied to the exterior and interior surfaces;
- Tutone Primer Robots: Liquid tutone solvent based primer was applied to the exterior and interior surfaces;
- Tutone Colorant Robots: Tutone monocoat solvent based coating was applied to the exterior and interior surfaces;
- Basecoat Robots Basecoat waterborne was applied to the exterior and interior surfaces; and
- Clearcoat Robots Clearcoat solventborne was applied to the exterior and interior surfaces.

Skidded vehicles are conveyed through the booth and coated with coating materials (primer, tutone primer, tutone coloring primer, basecoat and clearcoat). The vehicles are processed through a bake oven where the coating is cured.

Currently, coatings are applied to the Jeep Wagoneer production models. Production units on which an electrocoat corrosion inhibiting primer had been applied were used in the test program for the transfer efficiency testing. For the CE testing, scrap vehicles were used for the testing program. The test program is summarized below.

#### **3.2 Transfer Efficiency Test**

Transfer Efficiency testing was conducted in the Spray Booths where Primer, Tutone Primer, Tutone Coloring Primer, basecoat, and clearcoat were applied. Applicator and environmental conditions were monitored to ensure that the testing accurately reflected production conditions. Measured parameters included: Vehicle weight gain, material usage, material analysis (percent solids by weight and density), applicator settings, film build and oven heat settings.

A total of four (4) vehicle bodies were used in calculating test results. Three (3) vehicles were processed as normal production vehicles, and one vehicle were dedicated as a no-paint, control vehicle in conjunction with the testing. All units were production vehicles with cured body shop sealer.

An off-line vehicle weigh station (VWS) was constructed to measure the weight of the test units before and after each painting process. Test vehicles were routed to a dedicated conveyor spur. A fixed stop was secured to assure repeatable positioning of the vehicles. Test vehicles were lifted free from their carriers by two lift-table mounted scale bases. Ultra-high molecular weight (UHMW) plastic blocks were strategically placed on the scale

SA

#### White Basecoat (Basecoat)

- 1. Test Unit ID TE1 Carrier 1293
- 2. Test Unit ID TE2 Carrier 1278
- 3. Test Unit ID TE3 Carrier 1275
- 4. Test Unit ID TE4 Carrier 1199 (no-paint control)

#### **Clearcoat (Clearcoat)**

- 1. Test Unit ID TE1 Carrier 1293
- 2. Test Unit ID TE2 Carrier 1278
- 3. Test Unit ID TE3 Carrier 1275
- 4. Test Unit ID TE4 Carrier 1199 (no-paint control)

Test Vehicles were routed through the bake oven and back to the vehicle weigh station. After cooling, the test vehicles were weighed and released to production.

#### **3.3 Capture Efficiency Tests**

A panel weigh station (PWS) was assembled at the Spray Booths. A precision balance with measurement capability to 0.001 gram was placed on an isolation platform inside an enclosure to minimize vibration and air movement.

The testing conformed to the methods described in ASTM 5087-02 for solvent borne coatings and ASTM 6266-00a (Reapproved 2005) for waterborne coatings.

Test panels were placed on a test vehicle and processed with normal production spray programming.

At least three electrocoated panels were used for each test. Each group of test panels was weighed in several locations (see panel test diagram) to determine the relative distribution of VOC that is released in the controlled booth zone and bake oven. The panels were attached to test vehicles by magnet, which allowed for removal of the wet panels with minimal disturbance to the coating during handling. Panel mounting locations were chosen to achieve a representative coating film based on the observation of normal vehicle production.

Before the panels were coated, they were marked (1, 2, 3, blank) and weighed to establish the initial unpainted panel weights (P0). The panels were then attached to a test vehicle and routed through the Spray Booth. After coating, the panels were carefully removed from the test vehicle and brought to the balance for weighing immediately upon exit from the controlled booth zone (P1). Panels were weighed again before entering the controlled bake oven (P2). The panels were then placed on the test vehicle for travel through the curing oven. Upon exiting the oven, the panels were allowed to cool and then weighed a final time (P3). The sampling locations were changed from the initial Source Testing Plan to accommodate additional locations as per discussion with EGLE on-site. Two (2) additional weighing locations were added in the tutone corrected are intolded to controlled into the booth capture values.

rwdi.com

#### AIR QUALITY DIVISION



#### 4.1.3 Panel Weigh Station

A panel weigh station (PWS) with measurement capability to 0.001 gram was used to measure panel weights. The balance was warmed up and then calibrated with a 300 gram test weight. The balance was tested with 100, 50, 10 and 1 gram weights before commencing weighing operations. A blank panel weight was measured at the beginning of the testing program and again at the time of each subsequent panel weight measurement. The balance was placed on an isolation platform and inside an enclosure to minimize vibration and airflow at the measurement point.

### 5 RESULTS

The testing program consisted of Transfer Efficiency (TE) testing and Capture Efficiency (CE) testing. Determination of TE and CE were conducted in accordance with all applicable procedures contained in USEPA document "Protocol for Determining the Daily Volatile Organic Compound Emission Rate of Automobile and Light-Duty Truck Topcoat Operations".

The test results will be used to demonstrate compliance with Auto MACT requirements and for use in monthly emissions compliance calculations for the CAAP Permit and 40CFR 63 Subpart IIII – National Emissions Standards or Hazardous Pollutants: Surface Coating of Automobiles and Light Duty Trucks, emission limits.

#### 5.1 Results

Results are summarized in Tables 5.2-1 and 5.2-2 for TE and CE. Detailed VOC CE and paint solids TE results are presented in Table Section. All sampling field notes are provided in **Appendix F**. Sample Calculations are provided in **Appendix G**. All laboratory results are included in **Appendix C**. Process Data is provided in **Appendix B**.

Tested Coating	Solids Transfer Efficiency (%)
Gray Prime (Primer)	73.8%
Roof Prime (Tutone Primer)	84.7%
Tutone Monocoat (Tutone Colorant)	60.3%
White Basecoat (Basecoat)	71.1%
Clearcoat	76.3%

 Table 5.1-1: Transfer Efficiency Results Summary



### 7 CONCLUSIONS

Testing was successfully completed during the week of September 13<sup>th</sup>, 2021 with additional foil and panel samples taken December 10, 2021. All parameters were tested in accordance with referenced methodologies.



### TABLES



Million Commence

# Table 1: Gray Prime Transfer Efficiency (Primer)WTAP, September 2021

Vehicle ID	Vehicle Weight Gain (lb.)	Avg. Vehicle Weight Gain (lb.)	Avg. Paint Sprayed (gal)	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Weight Solids Fraction	Avg. Solids Sprayed (lb.)	Transfer Efficiency (%)
Variable:	VWG	AVWG	PS	CD	WSF	SS	TE
Calculation:	(W2-W1)	(avg VWG)	(avg PS)	(Method 24)	(Method 24)	(PS*CD*WSF)	(AVWG/SS)
TE 1	2.14	2.13	0.386	11.23	0.6664	2.89	73.8%
TE 2	2.09						
TE 3	2.16						

All Vehicle Weight Gains witin 10% of Average Upper Limit 2.34

Lower Limit 1.92

# Table 2: Roof Prime Transfer Efficiency (Tutone Primer)WTAP, September 2021

Vehicle ID	Vehicle Weight Gain (lb.)	Avg. Vehicle Weight Gain (lb.)	Avg. Paint Sprayed (gal)	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Weight Solids Fraction	Avg. Solids Sprayed (lb.)	Transfer Efficiency (%)
Variable:	VWG	AVWG	PS	CD	WSF	SS	TE
Calculation:	(W2-W1)	(avg VWG)	(avg PS)	(Method 24)	(Method 24)	(PS*CD*WSF)	(AVWG/SS)
TE 1	2.26	2.24	0.131	10.79	0.6573	0.93	84.7%
TE 2	2.27		0.228	11.23	0.6664	1.71	
TE 3	2.18						
						2.64	

Note: Total solids sprayed (2.64 lb) is the sum of the Roof Prime (0.93 lb) and Gray Prime (1.71 lb) sprayed.

All Vehicle Weight Gains witin 10% of AverageUpper Limit2.46Lower Limit2.01

# Table 3: Tutone Transfer Efficiency (TutoneMonocoat) WTAP, September 2021

Vehicle ID	Vehicle Weight Gain (lb.)	Avg. Vehicle Weight Gain (lb.)	Avg. Paint Sprayed (gal)	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Weight Solids Fraction	Avg. Solids Sprayed (lb.)	Transfer Efficiency (%)
Variable:	VWG	AVWG	PS	CD	WSF	SS	TE
Calculation:	(W2-W1)	(avg VWG)	(avg PS)	(Method 24)	(Method 24)	(PS*CD*WSF)	(AVWG/SS)
TE 1	0.48	0.51	0.186	8.56	0.5288	0.84	60.3%
TE 2	0.52						
TE 3	0.52						

All Vehicle Weight Gains witin 10% of AverageUpper Limit0.56Lower Limit0.46

### Table 4: Clearcoat Transfer EfficiencyWTAP, September 2021

Vehicle ID	Vehicle Weight Gain (lb.)	Avg. Vehicle Weight Gain (lb.)	Avg. Paint Sprayed (gal)	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Weight Solids Fraction	Avg. Solids Sprayed (lb.)	Transfer Efficiency (%)
Variable:	VWG	AVWG	PS	CD	WSF	SS	TE
Calculation:	(W2-W1)	(avg VWG)	(avg PS)	(Method 24)	(Method 24)	(PS*CD*WSF)	(AVWG/SS)
TE 1	2.08	2.09	0.275	8.80	0.5738	1.39	76.3%
TE 2	2.07		0.275	8.45	0.5803	1.35	
TE 3	2.12						

Total Solids Sprayed: 2.74

Note: Total solids sprayed (2.74 lb) is the sum of the part A (1.39 lb) and part B (1.35 lb) sprayed.

All Vehicle Weight Gains witin 10% of Average

Upper Limit2.30Lower Limit1.88

FEB 18 2022 AIR QUALITY DIVISION

Stellantis WTAP

RECEIVED

Table 5: White Basecoat Transfer EfficiencyWTAP, September 2021

Vehicle ID	Vehicle Weight Gain (lb.)	Avg. Vehicle Weight Gain (lb.)	Avg. Paint Sprayed (gal)	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Weight Solids Fraction	Avg. Solids Sprayed (lb.)	Transfer Efficiency (%)
Variable:	VWG	AVWG	PS	CD	WSF	SS	TE
Calculation:	(W2-W1)	(avg VWG-CTL)	(avg PS)	(Method 24)	(Method 24)	(PS*CD*WSF)	(AVWG/SS)
TE 1	2.10	2.16	0.606	10.50	0.4770	3.04	71.1%
TE 2	2.21						
TE 3	2.16						

All Vehicle Weight Gains witin 10% of AverageUpper Limit2.37Lower Limit1.94

### Table 6a: Prime Booth VOC Capture Efficiency (Primer)WTAP, September 2021

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Control Zone Exit (g)	Panel Weights - after bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC remaining after zone (g)	Weight of VOC remaining per Weight Solids Deposited (g)	Mass Fraction Solids	Mass Fraction VOC in Coating	VOC fraction remaining on Panel after Zone	Section Capture Efficiency (%)
Variable	P0	P1	P5	Wsdep	Wrem	Pm	Ws	Wvoc	Pvoc	CE
Formula				P3-P0	P1-P3	Wrem/Wsidep			(P <sub>m</sub> )(W <sub>s</sub> )/(W <sub>voc</sub> )	1-Pvoc
P1	188.019	190.063	189.697	1.678	0.366	0.218				
P2	187.448	189.707	189.318	1.870	0.389	0.208				
P3	185.894	188.180	187.776	1.882	0.404	0.215				
P4	186.241	188.401	188.020	1.779	0.381	0.214				
Average				1.802	0.385	0.214	0.6664	0.3336	0.427	57.3%

#### **Booth Loading Calculation**

	VOC Content (lb VOC/gal)	Volume Solids Fraction	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Weight of VOC generated per volume of solids deposited (lb/GACS)	Capture Efficiency	Weight of VOC captured per volume of applied solids deposited (lb/GACS)
Variable	VOC	Vs	TE	VOC <sub>G</sub>	CE	VOC <sub>A</sub>
Formula				VOC/ (V <sub>s</sub> *TE)		CE*VOC <sub>G</sub>
Lab	3.745	0.5604	73.8%	9.06	0.573	5.19

#### Table 6b: Prime VOC Loading/Capture Efficiency Carryover (Primer)

#### WTAP, September 2021

#### Solvent Loading

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Enter Zone (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Exit Zone (g)	Panel Weights - After Bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC available for abatement (g)	Weight of VOC available per volume of coating solids (lb/GACS)
Variable	PO	P2	P3	P5	Wcos	Wa	CL
Formula					P3-P0	P1-P2	$(W_a/W_{cos})^*D_{cos}$
P1	188.019	189.968	189.948	189.697	1.678	0.020	0.16
P2	187.448	189.615	189.573	189.318	1.870	0.042	0.30
P3	185.894	188.086	188.053	187.776	1.882	0.033	0.23
P4	186.241	188.311	188.277	188.020	1.779	0.034	0.26
Average					la de la composición	alar dir	0.24

#### **Material Properties**

Sample	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Mass Fraction Solids	Volume Fraction Solids	Average Film Build Thickness (mil)	VOC mass fraction	Solids Density (lb/gal)
Variable	W <sub>c</sub>	Ws	Vs	mil	W <sub>voc</sub>	D <sub>cos</sub>
Formula						(Ws*Wc)/Vs
Lab	11.23	0.6664	0.5604	1.24	0.3336	13.35

#### **Capture Efficiency**

Mass		Mass VOC			Volume Solids Deposited	arana bartar anya ta yana ya	
Fraction VOC in Coating	Coating Density (lb/gal)	per Volume Coating (lb/gal)	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Volume Fraction Solids	per Volume Coating Sprayed	Panel Test Result (lb VOC/ gal Solids)	Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (%)
W <sub>voc</sub>	D <sub>c</sub>	VOC	TE	Vs	V <sub>sdep</sub>	Р	CE
0.3336	11.23	(Dc)(Wvoc) 3.745	73.8%	0.5604	(V <sub>s</sub> )(TE) 0.413	0.24	(P)(V <sub>sdep</sub> )(100)/(VOC) <b>2.6%</b>

Stellantis WTAP

# Table 6c: Prime Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (Primer)WTAP, September 2021

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Before Bake (g)	Panel Weights - after bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC available for abatement (g)	Weight of VOC available per volume of coating solids (lb/GACS)
Variable	PO	P4	P5	Wcos	Wa	CL
Formula				P3-P0	P2-P3	(Wa/Wcos)*Dcos
P1	188.019	189.922	189.697	1.678	0.225	1.79
P2	187.448	189.561	189.318	1.870	0.243	1.73
P3	185.894	188.023	187.776	1.882	0.247	1.75
P4	186.241	188.250	188.020	1.779	0.230	1.73
Average				1.802	0.236	1.75

#### **Material Properties**

Sample	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Mass Fraction Solids	Volume Fraction Solids	Average Film Build Thickness (mil)	VOC mass fraction	Solids Density (lb/gal)
Variable	W.	Ws	Vs Vs	mil	W <sub>voc</sub>	D <sub>cos</sub>
Formula						(Ws*Wc)/Vs
Lab	11.23	0.6664	0.5604	1.0	0.3336	13.35

#### **Capture Efficiency**

Mass Fraction VOC in Coating	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Mass VOC per Volume Coating (lb/gal)	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Volume Fraction Solids	Volume Solids Deposited per Volume Coating Sprayed	Panel Test Result (lb VOC/ gal Solids)	Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (%)
Wvoc	Dc	VOC	TE	V <sub>s</sub>	Vsdep	P	CE
		(Dc)(Wvoc)			(Vs)(TE)		(P)(V <sub>sdep</sub> )(100)/(VOC)
0.3336	11.23	3.745	73.8%	0.5604	0.413	1.75	19.3%

Stellantis WTAP

## Table 7a: Updated Roof Prime Booth VOC Capture Efficiency (Tutone Primer)WTAP, September 2021

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Control Zone Exit (g)	Panel Weights - after bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC remaining after zone (g)	Weight of VOC remaining per Weight Solids Deposited (g)	Mass Fraction Solids	Mass Fraction VOC in Coating	VOC fraction remaining on Panel after Zone	Section Capture Efficiency (%)
Variable	P0	P1	P5	Wsdep	Wrem	Pm	W,	Wvoc	Pvoc	CE
Formula				P3-P0	P1-P3	Wrem/Wildep			$(P_m)(W_s)/(W_{voc})$	1-Pvoc
RP1	Pa	nel out of ra	nge							
RP2	187.798	189.042	188.877	1.079	0.165	0.153				
RP3	187.668	188.924	188.764	1.096	0.160	0.146				
RP4	188.021	189.241	189.092	1.071	0.149	0.139				
Average		新的名誉情况		1.082	0.158	0.146	0.6573	0.3427	0.280	72.0%

#### **Booth Loading Calculation**

	VOC Content (lb VOC/gal)	Volume Solids Fraction	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Weight of VOC generated per volume of solids deposited (lb/GACS)	Capture Efficiency	Weight of VOC captured per volume of applied solids deposited (lb/GACS)
Variable	VOC	Vs	TE	VOC <sub>G</sub>	CE	VOC <sub>A</sub>
Formula				E)		CE*VOC <sub>G</sub>
Lab	3.697	0.5616	84.7%	7.77	0.720	5.59

## Table 7b: Updated Roof Prime VOC Loading/Capture Efficiency Carryover (Tutone Primer)WTAP, September 2021

#### Solvent Loading

Sample Variable Formula	Blank Panel Weights (g) P0	Wet Panel Weights - Enter Zone (g) P2	Wet Panel Weights - Exit Zone (g) P3	Panel Weights - After Bake (g) P5	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g) Wcos P3-P0	Weight of VOC available for abatement (g) Wa P1-P2	Weight of VOC available per volume of coating solids (lb/GACS) CL (W <sub>2</sub> /W <sub>cos</sub> )*D <sub>cos</sub>
RP1	1	Panel out	of range				I Marken and Arthress
RP2	187.798	189.008	189.006	188.877	1.079	0.002	0.02
RP3	187.668	188.886	188.882	188.764	1.096	0.004	0.05
RP4	188.021	189.218	189.210	189.092	1.071	0.008	0.09
Average							0.05

#### **Material Properties**

Sample	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Mass Fraction Solids	Volume Fraction Solids	Average Film Build Thickness (mil)	VOC mass fraction	Solids Density (lb/gal)
Variable	W <sub>c</sub>	Ws	Vs	mil	W <sub>voc</sub>	D <sub>cos</sub>
Formula						(Ws*Wc)/Vs
Lab	10.79	0.6573	0.5616	0.95	0.3427	12.63

#### **Capture Efficiency**

Mass		Mass VOC			Volume Solids Deposited	Booth (Boye and Coperations Sci	
Fraction VOC in Coating	Coating Density (lb/gal)	per Volume Coating (lb/gal)	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Volume Fraction Solids	per Volume Coating Sprayed	Panel Test Result (lb VOC/ gal Solids)	Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (%)
W <sub>voc</sub>	D <sub>c</sub>	VOC	TE	Vs	V <sub>sdep</sub> (V <sub>2</sub> )(TE)	Р	$\frac{CE}{(P)(V,t_{o})(100)/(VOC)}$
0.3427	10.79	3.697	84.7%	0.5616	0.476	0.05	0.7%

Stellantis WTAP

# Table 7c: Updated Roof Prime Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (Tutone Primer)WTAP, September 2021

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Before Bake (g)	Panel Weights - after bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC available for abatement (g)	Weight of VOC available per volume of coating solids (lb/GACS)
Variable	PO	P4	P5	Wcos	Wa	CL
Formula				P3-P0	P2-P3	(Wa/Wcos)*Dcos
RP1	I P	anel out of rang	е			
RP2	187.798	188.996	188.877	1.079	0.119	1.39
RP3	187.668	188.880	188.764	1.096	0.116	1.34
RP4	188.021	189.202	189.092	1.071	0.110	1.30
Average				1.082	0.115	1.34

#### **Material Properties**

				Average Film		
Sample	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Mass Fraction Solids	Volume Fraction Solids	Build Thickness (mil)	VOC mass fraction	Solids Density (lb/gal)
Variable	We	Ws	V.	mil	Wvxx	D <sub>cos</sub>
Formula						(Ws*Wc)/Vs
Lab	10.79	0.6573	0.5616	1.0	0.3427	12.63

#### **Capture Efficiency**

	a da anganan ina ang Santa	Mass VOC			Volume Solids Deposited per		
Mass Fraction VOC in Coating	Coating Density (lb/gal)	per Volume Coating (lb/gal)	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Volume Fraction Solids	Volume Coating Sprayed	Panel Test Result (lb VOC/ gal Solids)	Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (%)
Wvoe	D.	VOC (Dc)(Wvoc)	TE	Vs	V <sub>sdep</sub> (V <sub>s</sub> )(TE)	Р	CE (P)(V <sub>sdep</sub> )(100)/(VOC)
0.3427	10.79	3.697	84.7%	0.5616	0.476	1.34	17.3%

Stellantis WTAP

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Control Zone Exit (g)	Panel Weights - after bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC remaining after zone (g)	Weight of VOC remaining per Weight Solids Deposited (g)	Mass Fraction Solids	Mass Fraction VOC in Coating	VOC fraction remaining on Panel after Zone	Section Capture Efficiency (%)
Variable	P0	P1	P5	Wsdep	Wrem	Pm	Wr	Wvoc	Pvoc	CE
Formula				P3-P0	P1-P3	Wrem/Wsdep			$(P_m)(W_s)/(W_{voc})$	1-Pvoc
RP1	187.272	189.822	189.426	2.154	0.396	0.184				
RP2	187.798	189.042	188.877	1.079	0.165	0.153				
RP3	187.668	188.924	188.764	1.096	0.160	0.146				
RP4	188.021	189.241	189.092	1.071	0.149	0.139				
Average				1.350	0.218	0.161	0.6573	0.3427	0.309	69.1%

## Table 8a: Original Roof Prime Booth VOC Capture Efficiency (Tutone Primer)WTAP, September 2021

#### **Booth Loading Calculation**

	VOC Content (lb VOC/gal)	Volume Solids Fraction	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Weight of VOC generated per volume of solids deposited (lb/GACS)	Capture Efficiency	Weight of VOC captured per volume of applied solids deposited (lb/GACS)
Variable	VOC	Vs	TE	VOC <sub>G</sub>	CE	VOCA
Formula				E)		CE*VOC <sub>G</sub>
Lab	3.697	0.5616	84.7%	7.77	0.691	5.37

#### Table 8b: Original Roof Prime VOC Loading/Capture Efficiency Carryover (Tutone Primer)

#### WTAP, September 2021

#### Solvent Loading

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Enter Zone (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Exit Zone (g)	Panel Weights - After Bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC available for abatement (g)	Weight of VOC available per volume of coating solids (lb/GACS)
Variable	<u>P0</u>	<u>P2</u>	<u>P3</u>	<u>P5</u>	Wcos	Wa	CL
Formula					P3-P0	P1-P2	$(W_a/W_{cos})^*D_{cos}$
RP1	187.272	189.743	189.730	189.426	2.154	0.013	1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 - 1997 -
RP2	187.798	189.008	189.006	188.877	1.079	0.002	0.02
RP3	187.668	188.886	188.882	188.764	1.096	0.004	0.05
RP4	188.021	189.218	189.210	189.092	1.071	0.008	0.09
Average							0.06

#### **Material Properties**

Sample	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Mass Fraction Solids	Volume Fraction Solids	Average Film Build Thickness (mil)	VOC mass fraction	Solids Density (lb/gal)
Variable	W <sub>c</sub>	Ws	Vs	mil	W <sub>voc</sub>	D <sub>cos</sub>
Formula						(Ws*Wc)/Vs
Lab	10.79	0.6573	0.5616	0.95	0.3427	12.63

#### **Capture Efficiency**

R Mai Fraction - Voltra	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Mass VOC per Volume Coating ((b/gal)	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Volume Fraction Solids	Volume Solids Deposited per Volume Coating	Panel Test Result (lb VOC/ gal Solids)	Oven VOC Capture
N W	D <sub>c</sub>	VOC	TE	V,	V <sub>sden</sub>	P	CE
3 m		(Dc)(Wvoc)			(V <sub>s</sub> )(TE)		(P)(V <sub>sdep</sub> )(100)/(VOC)
0.3427	10.79	3.697	84.7%	0.5616	0.476	0.06	0.8%

Stellantis WTAP

# Table 8c: Original Roof Prime Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (Tutone Primer)WTAP, September 2021

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Before Bake (g)	Panel Weights - after bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC available for abatement (g)	Weight of VOC available per volume of coating solids (lb/GACS)
Variable	P0	P4	P5	Wcos	Wa	CL
Formula				P3-P0	P2-P3	$(W_a/W_{cos})*D_{cos}$
RP1	187.272	189.708	189.426	2.154	0.282	1.65
RP2	187.798	188.996	188.877	1.079	0.119	1.39
RP3	187.668	188.880	188.764	1.096	0.116	1.34
RP4	188.021	189.202	189.092	1.071	0.110	1.30
Average				1.350	0.157	1.47

#### **Material Properties**

	Coating Density	Mass Fraction	Volume Fraction	Average Film Build Thickness	VOC mass	Solids Density
Sample Variable	(lb/gal) W.	Solids Ws	Solids Vs	(mil) mil	Traction W <sub>100</sub>	(lb/gal) D <sub>cos</sub>
Formula						(Ws*Wc)/Vs
Lab	10.79	0.6573	0.5616	1.0	0.3427	12.63

#### **Capture Efficiency**

		Mass VOC			Volume Solids Deposited per	n da gradina di serie da anche da serie de la compositiva de la compositiva de la compositiva de la compositiv	
Mass Fraction VOC in Coating	Coating Density (lb/gal)	per Volume Coating (lb/gal)	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Volume Fraction Solids	Volume Coating Sprayed	Panel Test Result (lb VOC/ gal Solids)	Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (%)
Wroc	D.	VOC (Dc)(Wvoc)	TE	Vr	V <sub>sdep</sub> (V <sub>s</sub> )(TE)	P	CE (P)(V <sub>sdep</sub> )(100)/(VOC)
0.3427	10.79	3.697	84.7%	0.5616	0.476	1.47	18.9%

Stellantis WTAP

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Control Zone Exit (g)	Panel Weights - after bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC remaining after zone (g)	Weight of VOC remaining per Weight Solids Deposited (g)	Mass Fraction Solids	Mass Fraction VOC in Coating	VOC fraction remaining on Panel after Zone	Section Capture Efficiency (%)
Variable	P0	P1	P3	Wsdep	Wrem	Pm	W.	Wvoc	Pvoc	CE
Formula				P3-P0	P1-P3	Wrem/Wsdep			$(P_m)(W_s)/(W_{voc})$	1-Pvoc
TT1	187.313	190.038	189.205	1.892	0.833	0.440				
TT2	185.802	188.036	187.358	1.556	0.678	0.436				
TT3	186.310	188.517	187.850	1.540	0.667	0.433				
TT4	187.836	190.185	189.477	1.641	0.708	0.431				
Average			n a gun a sh	1.657	0.721	0.435	0.5288	0.4712	0.489	51.1%

## Table 9a: Tutone Booth VOC Capture Efficiency (Tutone Monocoat)WTAP, September 2021

#### **Booth Loading Calculation**

	VOC Content (lb VOC/gal)	Volume Solids Fraction	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Weight of VOC generated per volume of solids deposited (lb/GACS)	Capture Efficiency	Weight of VOC captured per volume of applied solids deposited (lb/GACS)
Variable	VOC	Vs	TE	VOC <sub>G</sub>	CE	VOCA
Formula				VOC/(V <sub>s</sub> *T E)		CE*VOC <sub>G</sub>
Lab	4.032	0.5272	60.3%	12.68	0.511	6.49

# Table 9b: Tutone Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (Tutone Monocoat)WTAP, September 2021

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Before Bake (g)	Panel Weights - after bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC available for abatement (g)	Weight of VOC available per volume of coating solids (lb/GACS)
Variable	PO	P2	P3	Wcos	Wa	CL
Formula				P3-P0	P2-P3	(Wa/Wcos)*Dcos
TT1	187.313	189.828	189.205	1.892	0.623	2.83
TT2	185.802	187.885	187.358	1.556	0.527	2.91
TT3	186.310	188.366	187.850	1.540	0.516	2.88
TT4	187.836	190.021	189.477	1.641	0.544	2.85
Average				1.657	0.552	2.86

#### **Material Properties**

Sample	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Mass Fraction Solids	Volume Fraction Solids	Average Film Build Thickness (mil)	VOC mass fraction	Solids Density (lb/gal)
Variable	We	Ws	V <sub>s</sub>	mil	Wvoc	Dcos
Formula						(Ws*Wc)/Vs
Lab	8.56	0.5288	0.5272	1.6	0.4712	8.58

#### **Capture Efficiency**

		Mass VOC			Volume Solids Deposited per		
Mass Fraction VOC in Coating	Coating Density (lb/gal)	per Volume Coating (lb/gal)	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Volume Fraction Solids	Volume Coating Sprayed	Panel Test Result (lb VOC/ gal Solids)	Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (%)
Wide	D₅	VOC (Dc)(Wyoc)	TE	Vs	V <sub>sdep</sub> (V <sub>s</sub> )(TE)	P	CE (P)(V <sub>stm</sub> )(100)/(VOC)
0.4712	8.56	4.032	60.3%	0.5272	0.318	2.86	22.6%

Stellantis WTAP

# Table 10a: Clearcoat Booth VOC Capture EfficiencyWTAP, September 2021

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Control Zone Exit (g)	Panel Weights - after bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC remaining after zone (g)	Weight of VOC remaining per Weight Solids Deposited (g)	Mass Fraction Solids	Mass Fraction VOC in Coating	VOC fraction remaining on Panel after Zone	Section Capture Efficiency (%)
Variable	P0	P1	P3	Wsdep	Wrem	Pm	Ws	Wvoc	Pvoc	CE
Formula				P3-P0	P1-P3	Wrem/Wsdep			$(P_m)(W_s)/(W_{VOC})$	1-Pvoc
C1	187.865	191.028	190.138	2.273	0.890	0.392				
C2	188.337	191.368	190.522	2.185	0.846	0.387				
C3	186.761	189.532	188.779	2.018	0.753	0.373				
C4	187.195	190.370	189.479	2.284	0.891	0.390				
Average				2.190	0.845	0.386	0.5771	0.4230	0.526	47.4%

#### Booth Loading Calculation

	VOC Content (lb VOC/gal)	Volume Solids Fraction	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Weight of VOC generated per volume of solids deposited (lb/GACS)	Capture Efficiency	Weight of VOC captured per volume of applied solids deposited (lb/GACS)
Variable	VOC	Vs	TE	VOC <sub>G</sub>	CE	VOCA
Formula				VOC/(V <sub>s</sub> *T E)		CE*VOC <sub>G</sub>
Lab	3.649	0.5218	76.3%	9.17	0.474	4.34

# Table 10b: Clearcoat Oven VOC Capture EfficiencyWTAP, September 2021

Sample	Blank Panel Weights (g)	Wet Panel Weights - Before Bake (g)	Panel Weights - after bake (g)	Weight of Coating Solids Deposited (g)	Weight of VOC available for abatement (g)	Weight of VOC available per volume of coating solids (lb/GACS)
Variable	P0	P2	P3	Wcos	Wa	CL
Formula				P3-P0	P2-P3	(Wu/Wcos)*Dcos
C1	187.865	190.884	190.138	2.273	0.746	3.13
C2	188.337	191.227	190.522	2.185	0.705	3.08
C3	186.761	189.387	188.779	2.018	0.608	2.87
C4	187.195	190.193	189.479	2.284	0.714	2.98
Average				2.190	0.693	3.02

#### **Material Properties**

Sample	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Mass Fraction Solids	Volume Fraction Solids	Average Film Build Thickness (mil)	VOC mass fraction	Solids Density (lb/gal)
Variable	W.	Ws	V <sub>s</sub>	mil	Wvoc	D <sub>cos</sub>
Formula						(Ws*Wc)/Vs
Lab	8.63	0.5771	0.5218	2.6	0.4230	9.54

#### **Capture Efficiency**

Mass Fraction VOC in Coating	Coating Density (lb/gal)	Mass VOC per Volume Coating (lb/gal)	Transfer Efficiency (%)	Volume Fraction Solids	Volume Solids Deposited per Volume Coating Sprayed	Panel Test Result (lb VOC/ gal Solids)	Oven VOC Capture Efficiency (%)
Wvoc	D۵	VOC	TE	V <sub>s</sub>	Vsdep	Р	CE
		(Dc)(Wvoc)			$(V_s)(TE)$		(P)(V <sub>sdep</sub> )(100)/(VOC)
0.4230	8.63	3.648	76.3%	0.5218	0.398	3.02	32.9%

Stellantis WTAP

### Table 11: Updated White Solid Basecoat Booth Capture Efficiency WTAP, September 2021 (updated December 2021)

	Unit	Variable	Formula	Panel 1	Panel 2	
Blank Panel Weight	g	B0		187.333	186.980	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Panel at Booth Ctl Exit	g	B1		189.714	189.361	
Panel at Flash Exit/Oven Entrance	g	B3		189.126	188.737	
Baked Panel Weight	g	B5		188.920	188.567	
At Entrance to Flash	<u>.</u>	A (3 17 1			<	
% Nonvolatile	%	%NV	(P5-P0)/(P2-P0)	66.7%	66.7%	
% Volatile	% 0/	%V	100-%NV	33.3%	33.3%	
% water	%0	%H <sub>2</sub> U	Average KF	15.01%	15.01%	
% VOC	%	%VOC	%V-%H <sub>2</sub> O	18.3%	18.3%	Average W <sub>VOC1</sub>
Weight of VOC Available for Control	g	W <sub>voc</sub>	(P2-P0)*%VOC	0.437	0.437	0.437
At Flash Exit/Oven Entrance	Note: Flas	h exit and ov	ven entrance weight are	the same to	allow for pan	el to cool before weight.
% Nonvolatile	% 0/	%NV	(P3-P0)/(P2-P0)	88.5%	90.3%	
% Volatile	70 07	%V	100-%INV	11.5%	9.7%	
	70	70H2U		1.18%	1.18%	A
% VUC	%	%V0C	%V-%H <sub>2</sub> O	10.3%	8.5%	Average W <sub>VOC2</sub>
Weight of VOC Available for Control	g	W <sub>VOC</sub>	(P3-P0)*%VOC	0.185	0.149	0.167
At Oven Exit	0/	0/3137	$(D_2, D_0)/(D_2, D_0)$	100.00/	100.00/	
% Nonvolatile	70 0/	70IN V 04 V	(P3-P0)/(P3-P0)	0.0%	100.0%	
% Water	70 0/,	/0 V 04년 ()	Average VE	0.076	0.0%	
	/0 0/	/0120 0/VOC		0.076	0.0%	A HORAGO W
	70	%VUC	% V - % H <sub>2</sub> U	0.0%	0.0%	Average W <sub>VOC3</sub>
Weight of VOC Available for Control	g	w <sub>voc</sub>	(P5-P0)*%VUC	0.000	0.000	0.000
Solids Coating Density	11 / 1	337				10.50
Coating Density	ib/gai	W <sub>C</sub>	Material Property			10.50
Mass Fraction Solids		Ws	Material Property			0.4770
Volume Fraction Solids		Vs	Material Property			0.3450
Solids Density	lb/gal	D <sub>cos</sub>	$(W_s * W_c) / V_s$			14.52
<b>Coating Solids Deposited</b>						Average W <sub>COS</sub>
Weight of Coating Solids Deposited	g	W <sub>cos</sub>	(P3-P0)	1.587	1.587	1.587
Loading in Flash						
Weight VOC Available in Flash	g	$W_{VOC \ Flash}$	$W_{VOC1}$ - $W_{VOC2}$			0.270
Weight of VOC available per GACS	lb/gal	$C_{Lflash}$	(W <sub>VOC Flash</sub> /W <sub>COS</sub> )*D <sub>COS</sub>			2.47
Loading in Oven						
Weight VOC Available in Oven	g	W <sub>VOC Oven</sub>	WVOC2-WVOC3			0.167
Weight of VOC available per GACS	lb/gal	CLoven	(W <sub>VOC Oven</sub> /W <sub>COS</sub> )*D <sub>COS</sub>			1.53
Weight VOC Available Total	lb/gal	CL	C <sub>Lflash</sub> +C <sub>Loven</sub>			3.99
Capture Efficiency Calculation						
Mass Fraction VOC		Wvoc	Material Property			0.1560
Mass VOC per Volume Coating	lb/gal	VOC	Wc*Wvoc			1.638
Transfer Efficiency Volume Solids Deposited per	%	TE				71.1%
Volume Coating Sprayed		V <sub>sden</sub>	(V <sub>s</sub> *TE)			0.245
VOC Not Captured in Booth	%	VOCNOT	CL*Vsden*100/VOC			59.8%
Booth VOC Capture Efficiency	%	CE	1-VOC <sub>NOT</sub>			40.2%
Loading in Booth						
VOC Content (lb VOC/gal)						1.638
Volume Solids Fraction						0.345
Transfer Efficiency						71.1%
Weight of VOC generated per volu	me of solids	s deposited (	VOC <sub>G</sub> ),(lb/GACS), VO	C/(VS*TE)		6.68
Capture Efficiency	0 1 <sup>1</sup>	1 1'1 '	1/11/04 000 0011		*******	40.2%
weight of VOC captured per volun	ne of applie	a solias depo	osited (Ib/GACS), CE*V	UCG		2.69

#### Table 13: Updated White Solid Basecoat Karl Fisher

#### WTAP, September 2021 (Updated December 2021)

#### Foil Data Flash Entrance

Sample	Foil Weights (g)	Jar & Lid Weights (g)	Jar, Lid & Coated Foil Weights (g)	Jar, Lid, Coated Foil, & Methanol Weights (g)	KF % Water in Sample (% wt)	Weight of Paint Sample on Foil (g)	Weight of Methanol Used (g)	Water in Paint Sample (wt/wt)
Variable	F	J	K	L	KF	Р	М	H2O Fract
Formula						K-(F+J)	L-K	(KF*(M+P)-KFb*M)/P
B1	3.774	122.629	128.793	208.924	0.480%	2.390	80,131	16.24%
B2	3.423	121.270	127.005	208.036	0.420%	2.312	81.031	14.79%
B3	3.454	121.345	127.315	206.679	0.440%	2.516	79.364	14.00%
Average								15.01%

KFb 0.010% = % H2O in field blank

#### Foil Data Oven Entrance

Sample	Foil Weights (g)	Jar & Lid Weights (g)	Jar, Lid & Coated Foil Weights (g)	Jar, Lid, Coated Foil, & Methanol Weights (g)	KF % Water in Sample (% wt)	Weight of Paint Sample on Foil (g)	Weight of Methanol Used (g)	Water in Paint Sample (wt/wt)
Variable	F	J	K	L	KF	Р	М	H2O Fract
Formula						K-(F+J)	L-K	(KF*(M+P)-KFb*M)/P
B4	3.008	122.629	127.460	207.672	0.030%	1.823	80.212	0.91%
B5	3.818	122.530	128.212	206.859	0.040%	1.864	78.647	1.31%
B6	3.086	121.465	126.339	203.384	0.040%	1.788	77.045	1.33%
Average							nesia Serena ang	1.18%

0.010%

KFb

= % H2O in field blank

Stellantis WTAP

### Table 16: Original White Solid Basecoat Oven Capture Efficiency WTAP, September 2021

	Unit	Variable	Formula	Panel 1	Panel 2	Panel 3	Panel 4	
Blank Panel Weight	g	B0		188.059	188.681	188.200	187.669	
Panel at Flash Entrance	g	B2		189.795	190.511	190.195	189.684	
Panel at Flash Exit/Oven Entrance	g	B3		189.512	190.213	189.805	189.292	
Baked Panel Weight	g	B5		189.350	190.054	189.652	189.110	
At Entrance to Flash								
% Nonvolatile	%	%NV	(P5-P0)/(P2-P0)	74.4%	75.0%	72.8%	71.5%	
% Volatile	%	%V	100-%NV	25.6%	25.0%	27.2%	28.5%	
% Water	%	%H <sub>2</sub> O	Average KF	6.90%	6.90%	6.90%	6.90%	
% VOC	%	%VOC	<b>%V-%</b> Н <sub>2</sub> О	18.7%	18.1%	20.3%	21.6%	Average W <sub>VOC1</sub>
Weight of VOC Available for Control	g	W <sub>voc</sub>	(P2-P0)*%VOC	0.325	0.331	0.405	0.435	0.374
At Flash Exit/Oven Entrance	Note: Flas	h exit and ov	en entrance weight are .	the same to	allow for pa	inel to cool l	before weigl	nt.
% Nonvolatile	%	%NV	(P3-P0)/(P2-P0)	88.9%	89.6%	90.5%	88.8%	
% Volatile	%	%V	100-%NV	11.1%	10.4%	9.5%	11.2%	
% Water	%	%H <sub>2</sub> O	Average KF	4.90%	4.90%	4.90%	4.90%	
% VOC	%	%VOC	%V-%H <sub>2</sub> O	6.3%	5.5%	4.6%	6.3%	Average W <sub>VOC2</sub>
Weight of VOC Available for Control	g	Wvoc	(P3-P0)*%VOC	0.091	0.084	0.074	0.103	0.088
At Oven Exit								
% Nonvolatile	%	%NV	(P3-P0)/(P3-P0)	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
% Volatile	%	%V	100 <b>-%</b> NV	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
% Water	%	%H <sub>2</sub> O	Average KF	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
% VOC	%	%VOC	%V-%H <sub>2</sub> O	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	Average W <sub>VOC3</sub>
Weight of VOC Available for Control	g	WVOC	(P5-P0)*%VOC	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000
Solids Coating Density								
Coating Density	lb/gal	Wc	Material Property					10.50
Mass Fraction Solids	-	Ws	Material Property					0.4770
Volume Fraction Solids		Vs	Material Property					0.3450
Solids Density	lb/gal	Dros	(Ws*Wc)/Vs					14.52
Coating Solids Deposited		- 003						Average W <sub>cos</sub>
Weight of Coating Solids Deposited	σ	Waaa	(P3-P0)	1 291	1 373	1 452	1 4 4 1	1 389
Loading in Flash	Б	"COS	(1010)	1.2271	11070	1.102		1.009
Weight VOC Available in Flash	σ	Wugger	Wuoou-Wuoon					0.286
Weight of VOC available per GACS	5 lh/aal	Contraction	(W					2 99
Loading in Oven	10/gai	CLflash	(WVOC Flash' WCOS) DCOS					2.99
Weight VOC Available in Oven	a	11/	W W					0.088
Weight VOC Available in Oven	5 Ib/aal	VOC Oven	** VOC2*** VOC3					0.000
weight of VOC available per GACS	10/gai	C <sub>Loven</sub>	(W <sub>VOC Oven</sub> /W <sub>COS</sub> )*D <sub>COS</sub>					0.92
Weight VOC Available Total	lb/gal	CL	CLflash+CLoven					3.91
Capture Efficiency Calculation		***	Material Deserves					0.1570
Mass Fraction VOC		W <sub>VOC</sub>	Material Property					0.1560
Mass VOC per Volume Coating	lb/gal	VOC	$W_{C}^{*}W_{VOC}$					1.638
Transfer Efficiency	%	TE						71.1%
Volume Coating Spraved		V	(V *TE)					0.245
Woo o the Drave	<u>0</u> /	V sdep	(VS*1E)					0.243
VUC Capture Efficiency	%	CE	$C_{L}^{*}V_{sden}^{*}100/VOC$					58.5%

.