

# **Compliance Emissions Test Report**

Lansing Board of Water and Light REO Town Facility Auxiliary Boiler Stack Lansing, Michigan February 12, 2019 AIR QUALITY DIVISION

**Report Submittal Date** March 7, 2019

© Copyright 2019 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M190706A

888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 630-993-2100

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY Method 1 Traverse Point Determination Gaseous Stratification Test Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination Method 3A Oxygen (O <sub>2</sub> )/Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) Determination Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination Method 202 Condensable Particulate Matter (CPM) Determination Method 10 Carbon Monoxide (CO) Determination	2 2 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3
3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES	4
4.0 CERTIFICATION	6
APPENDICES   Appendix A - Plant Operating Data   Appendix B - Test Section Diagrams   Appendix C - Sample Train Diagrams   Appendix D - Calculation Nomenclature and Formulas   Appendix E - Laboratory Sample Analysis   Appendix F - Reference Method Test Data (Computerized Sheets)   Appendix G - Field Data Sheets   Appendix H - Calibration Data   Appendix I - Gas Cylinder Certifications	804904921

i

# 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a compliance emissions test program for Lansing Board of Water and Light on February 12, 2019 at the REO Town Facility in Lansing, Michigan on the Auxiliary Boiler Stack. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test date, and test parameters are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION						
Test Location	Test Date	Test Parameters				
Auxiliary Boiler Stack	February 12, 2019	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM), Condensable Particulate Matter (CPM), Total Particulate Matter (TPM), and Carbon Monoxide (CO)				

The purpose of the test program was to demonstrate the above test parameter emissions during normal operating conditions to satisfy the regulatory permit limits. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

TEST RESULTS							
Test Location Test Parameter Emission Limits Emission Ra							
	FPM	0.5 lb/hr	0.096 lb/hr				
Auxiliary Boiler Stack	TPM (PM <sub>2.5</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> )	1.8 lb/hr	0.341 lb/hr				
·	CO	0.04 lb/mmBtu	0.037 lb/mmBtu				

All of the filterable and condensable particulate matter were considered to be PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> in the TPM evaluation. Operating data as provided by Lansing Board of Water and Light are included in Appendix A. FPM/TPM Run 1 failed the post leak check. A fourth run was performed, and the FPM/TPM results are based on Runs 2 through 4.

The identifications of individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION						
Location	Address	Contact				
Test Coordinator	Lansing Board of Water and Light 1232 Haco Drive P.O. Box 13007 Lansing, Michigan 48912	Mr. Nathan Hude Environmental Regulatory Compliance (517) 490-3069 (cell phone) nathan.hude@lbwl.com				
Test Facility	Lansing Board of Water and Light REO Town Facility 1201 S. Washington Ave. Lansing, Michigan 48917					
Testing Company Representative	Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	Mr. Mark E. Peterson Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) mpeterson@mp-mail.com				

The test crew consisted of Messrs. J. Adams, J. Kukla, K. Krofel, and M. Peterson of Mostardi Platt.

# 2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A, and 40CFR51, Appendix M. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

### **Method 1 Traverse Point Determination**

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

TEST POINT INFORMATION								
Location	Stack Diameter	Stack Area	Upstream Diameters	Downstream Diameters	Test Parameter	Number of Sampling Points		
Auxiliary Boiler Stack	4' 9"	17.72 sq. ft.	~45 feet	~53 feet	FPM, TPM	24		

### **Gaseous Stratification Test**

A twelve-point stratification test was performed during Run 1 of the test program. Based on the stratification test results, three test point used for Runs 2 and 3.

### Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate and FPM/TPM emissions on a lb/hr basis. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

### Method 3A Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>)/Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. A Servomex analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

### Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas filterable particulate concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 5. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone wash. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt personnel. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

### Method 202 Condensable Particulate Matter (CPM) Determination

Stack gas CPM concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with the Method 202, 40CFR51, Appendix M, in conjunction with Method 5 filterable particulate sampling. Condensable particulate matter was collected in the impinger portion of the Method 202 sampling train.

The condensable particulate matter (CPM) was collected in impingers after filterable particulate material was collected using Method 5. The organic and aqueous fractions were then taken to dryness and weighed. The total of all fractions represents the CPM. Compared to the December 17, 1991 promulgated Method 202, this Method includes the addition of a condenser, followed by a water dropout impinger immediately after the final heated filter. One modified Greenburg Smith impinger and an ambient temperature filter follow the water dropout impinger. A schematic of the sampling train configured with these updates is found in the Appendix.

CPM was collected in the water dropout, modified Greenburg Smith impinger and ambient filter portion of the sampling train as described in this Method. The impinger contents were purged with nitrogen ( $N_2$ ) immediately after sample collection to remove dissolved sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) gases from the impingers. The impinger solution was then extracted with DI water, acetone, and hexane. The organic and aqueous fractions were dried and the residues weighed. The total of the aqueous, organic, and ambient filter fractions represents the CPM. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

### Method 10 Carbon Monoxide (CO) Determination

Stack gas carbon monoxide concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 10. A TECO 48i carbon monoxide analyzer was used to determine carbon monoxide concentrations, in the manner specified in the Method.

Stack gas was delivered to the analyzer via a Teflon® sampling line, heated to a minimum temperature of 250°F. Excess moisture in the stack gas was removed using a refrigerated condenser. The entire system was calibrated in accordance with the Method, using certified calibration gases introduced at the probe, before and after each test run.

A list of calibration gases used and the results of all calibration and other required quality assurance checks can be found in Appendix H. Copies of calibration gas certifications can be found in Appendix I.

# **3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES**

Client:Lansing Board of Water and LightFacility:REO Town FacilityTest Location:Auxiliary Boiler StackTest Method:5/202

Source Condition	Full Load	Full Load	Full Load	Full Load					
Date	2/12/19	2/12/19	2/12/19	2/12/19					
Start Time	10:00	13:00	15:25	18:34					
End Time	12:17	15:05	17:49	20:38	Runs 2, 3, and 4				
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Run 4	Average				
Stack Conditions									
Average Gas Temperature, °F	291.4	291.8	291.4	290.5	291.2				
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	14.8%	15.9%	15.8%	14.5%	15.4%				
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.67	28.67	28.67	28.67	28.67				
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	90.790	85.223	83.830	83.453	84.169				
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	63.714	63.425	64.035	63.803	63.754				
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	67,743	67,436	68,084	67,837	67,786				
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	38,888	38,173	38,621	39,118	38,637				
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	45,620	45,388	45,847	45,739	45,658				
Average %CO₂ by volume, dry basis	9.8	10.0	10.0	9.9	10.0				
Average %O <sub>2</sub> by volume, dry basis	4.0	3.5	3.4	3.7	3.5				
Isokinetic Variance	109.8	105.0	102.1	100.3	102.5				
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	8,710.0	8,710.0	8,710.0	8,710.0	8,710.0				
Filterable Particulate Matter (Method 5)									
grams collected	0.00591	0.00156	0.00116	0.00200	0.00157				
grains/acf	0.0006	0.0002	0.0001	0.0002	0.0002				
grains/dscf	0.0010	0.0003	0.0002	0.0004	0.0003				
lb/hr	0.335	0.092	0.071	0.124	0.096				
Ib/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0015	0.0004	0.0003	0.0006	0.0004				
Condensable Pa	rticulate Ma	atter (Method	i 202)						
grams collected	0.00494	0.00395	0.00362	0.00450	0.00402				
grains/acf	0.0005	0.0004	0.0004	0.0005	0.0004				
grains/dscf	0.0008	0.0007	0.0007	0.0008	0.0007				
lb/hr	0.280	0.234	0.221	0.280	0.245				
Ib/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0013	0.0011	0.0010	0.0013	0.0011				
Total Particulate Matter (5/202)									
grams collected	0.01085	0.00551	0.00478	0.00650	0.00560				
grains/acf	0.0011	0.0006	0.0005	0.0007	0.0006				
grains/dscf	0.0018	0.0010	0.0009	0.0012	0.0010				
lb/hr	0.615	0.326	0.292	0.404	0.341				
Ib/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0028	0.0015	0.0013	0.0019	0.0016				

	Lansing Board of Water and Light REO Town Facility Auxiliary Boiler Stack Gaseous Summary							
Test No.	Date	Start Time	End Time	Fd Factor, dscf/MMBtu	CO ppmvd	CO₂ % (dry)	O <sub>2</sub> % (dry)	O2 based CO Ib/MMBtu
1	02/12/19	10:00	11:04	8,710.0	44.1	9.9	3.5	0.034
2	02/12/19	11:30	12:32	8,710.0	50.3	10.0	3.7	0.039
3	02/12/19	13:20	14:19	8,710.0	49.6	10.0	3.7	0.038
	Average 8,710.0 48.0 10.0 3.6 0.037							0.037

# 4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to Lansing Board of Water and Light If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

### CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

Program Manager

Mark E. Peterson

JeffeyM. Crutime

Quality Assurance

Jeffrey M. Crivlare