

Mercury and Air Toxics Standard Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct Lansing, Michigan September 12, 2018

> Report Submittal Date October 1, 2018

> > © Copyright 2018 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M183705A

888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 630-993-2100

RECEIVED

OCT 08 2018

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AIR QUALITY DIVISION

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) filterable particulate matter and hydrogen chloride emissions test program for the Lansing Board of Water and Light at the Eckert Station on the Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct in Lansing, Michigan on September 12, 2018. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test date, and test parameters are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION				
Test Location	Test Date	Test Parameters		
Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct	September 12, 2018	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and Hydrogen Chloride (HCI)		

The purpose of the test program was to document FPM and HCI emissions to qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

TEST RESULTS					
Test Location	Test Parameter	Emission Limits	LEE Emission Limits	Emission Rates	
Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct	FPM	≤0.030 lb/mmBtu	≤0.015 lb/mmBtu	0.0055 lb/mmBtu	
	HCI	≤0.002 lb/mmBtu	≤0.001 lb/mmBtu	0.0007 lb/mmBtu	

Emissions on lb/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard F_d -Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Plant operating data as provided by Lansing Board of Water and Light is included in Appendix A.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and submitted for analysis to Maxxam Analytical. The results of the audit sample were compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

The identifications of the individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION				
Location	Address	Contact		
Test Coordinator	Lansing Board of Water and Light 1232 Haco Drive P.O. Box 13007 Lansing, Michigan 48912	Ms. Lori Myott Manager, Environmental Services Department (517) 702-6639 (phone)		
Test Facility	Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station 601 Island Ave Lansing, Michigan 48901	lori.myott@lbwl.com		
Testing Company Representative	Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	Mr. Paul Coleman Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) pcolemant@mp-mail.com		

The test crew consisted of Messrs. H. Mendoza, J. Gross, J. Kukla, C. Eldridge, P. Lyons, and P. Coleman of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

TEST POINT INFORMATION					
Location	Upstream Diameters	Downstream Diameters	Test Parameter	Number of Sampling Points	
Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct	0.49	1.95	FPM, HCI	32	

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Oxygen (O₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. An ECOM analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas FPM concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 5, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Filter and probe temperatures were elevated to 320° Fahrenheit as described in 40CFR63, Subpart UUUUU. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Determination

Stack gas HCI concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted at the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory of Mostardi Platt. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

Client:Lansing Board of Water and LightFacility:Eckert StationTest Location:Unit 4 ESP Outlet DuctTest Method:5 MATS

Source Condition	Normal	Normal	Normal			
Date	9/12/18	9/12/18	9/12/18			
Start Time	7:18	10:02	12:55			
End Time	9:33	12:17	15:11			
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average		
Stack Cond	itions					
Average Gas Temperature, °F	330.2	335.5	353.5	339.7		
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	11.3%	11.7%	12.6%	11.9%		
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.50	28.50	28.50	28.50		
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	82.502	89.966	86.563	86.3436667		
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	55.303	60.333	60.704	58.780		
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	298,635	325,796	327,804	317,412		
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	168,550	181,842	177,070	175,821		
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	190,056	205,966	202,650	199,557		
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	14.1	14.1	15.1	14.4		
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.7	5.6	4.5	5.3		
Isokinetic Variance	101.8	102.9	101.7	102.1		
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0		
Filterable Particulate Matter (Method 5 MATS)						
grams collected	0.01248	0.01692	0.02028	0.01656		
mg/dscm	5.342	6.642	8.274	6.7524		
grains/acf	0.0013	0.0016	0.0020	0.0016		
grains/dscf	0.0023	0.0029	0.0036	0.0029		
lb/hr	3.372	4.523	5.487	4.461		
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0045	0.0056	0.0065	0.0055		
- · · ·						

Client: Facility:	Lansing Board of Water and Eckert Station	Light			
Test Location:	Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct				
Test Method:	26A MATS				
	Source Condition	Normal	Normal	Normal	
	Date	9/12/18	9/12/18	9/12/18	
	Start Time	7:00	10:02	12:55	
	End Time	8:43	11:45	14:38	
		Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
		ack Condition	<u>s</u>		
Av	erage Gas Temperature, °F	340.0	353.3	351.2	348.2
Flue Gas N	loisture, percent by volume	12.7%	12.7%	11.6%	12.3%
Av	erage Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.50	28.50	28.50	28.50
	Gas Sample Volume, dscf	74.726	79.960	78.964	77.883
	Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	56.998	61.945	60.784	59.909
Gas	Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	307,792	334,505	328,233	323,510
Gas V	olumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	168,962	180,628	179,856	176,482
Gas	Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	193,489	206,855	203,501	201,282
Average	%CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	14.1	14.1	15.1	14.4
Averag	le %O₂ by volume, dry basis	5.7	5.6	4.5	5.3
	Isokinetic Variance	103.6	103.7	102.8	103.4
<u>Standard</u>	Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0
Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Emissions					
	ug of sample collected	1950.69	1941.17	1893.08	1928.31
	ppm	0.61	0.57	0.56	0.58
	mg/dscm	0.92	0.86	0.85	0.88
	lb/hr	0.583	0.580	0.570	0.578
lb/n	nmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0008	0.0007	0.0007	0.0007

4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to Lansing Board of Water and Light. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

Pal F. GL

Program Manager

Paul Coleman

Cotton Banne

Scott W. Banach

Quality Assurance