

# Mercury and Air Toxics Standard Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

## Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station Unit 6 ESP Outlet Duct Lansing, Michigan April 25 and 26, 2018

Report Submittal Date May 18, 2018

> © Copyright 2018 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

# Project No. M181706A

888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 630-993-2100

# 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) filterable particulate matter and hydrogen chloride emissions test program for the Lansing Board of Water and Light at the Eckert Station on the Unit 6 ESP Outlet Duct in Lansing, Michigan on April 25 & 26, 2018. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test dates, and test parameters are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION			
Test Location Test Date		Test Parameters	
Unit 6 ESP Outlet Duct	April 25 and 26, 2018	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and Hydrogen Chloride (HCI)	

The purpose of the test program was to document FPM and HCI emissions to qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

	TEST RESULTS				
Test Location	Test Parameter	<b>Emission Limits</b>	LEE Emission Limits	Emission Rates	
Unit 6 ESP Outlet Duct	FPM	≤0,030 lb/mmBtu	≤0.015 lb/mmBtu*	0.0076 lb/mmBtu	
	HCI	≤0.002 lb/mmBtu	≤0.001 lb/mmBtu**	0.0007 lb/mmBtu	

\*LEE designation for FPM is established if the FPM emissions measured during the initial compliance test and all subsequent quarterly testing completed over the initial 3-year period are less than 50% of the applicable emission limit, which equates to 0.015 lb/mmBtu.

\*\* LEE designation for HCl is established if the HCl emissions measured during the initial compliance test and all subsequent quarterly testing completed over the initial 3-year period are less than 50% of the applicable emission limit, which equates to 0.001 lb/mmBtu.

Emissions on lb/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard  $F_d$ -Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Plant operating data as provided by Lansing Board of Water and Light is included in Appendix A.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and analyzed by Mostardi Platt. The results of the audit sample were compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

The identifications of the individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION				
Location	Address	Contact		
Test Coordinator	Lansing Board of Water and Light 1232 Haco Drive P.O. Box 13007 Lansing, Michigan 48912	Ms. Trista Gregorski Environmental Engineer (517)702-6865 (phone) trista.gregorski@lbwl.com		
Test Facility	Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station 601 Island Ave Lansing, Michigan 48901			
Testing Company Representative	Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	Mr. Christopher Eldridge Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) celdridge@mp-mail.com		

The test crew consisted of Messrs. B. Garcia, B. Collins, and C. Eldridge of Mostardi Platt.

# 2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

### Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

TEST POINT INFORMATION					
Upstream Location Diameters		Downstream Diameters	Test Parameter	Number of Sampling Points	
Unit 6 ESP Outlet Duct	≥ 0.5	≥ 2.0	FPM, HCI	32	

### Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

### Method 3A Oxygen (O<sub>2</sub>)/Carbon Dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. An ECOM analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

### Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas FPM concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 5, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Filter and probe temperatures were elevated to 320° Fahrenheit as described in 40CFR63, Subpart UUUUU. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

### Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Determination

Stack gas HCI concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted by Mostardi Platt in the Elmhurst laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

RECEIVED

MAY 24 2018

AIR QUALITY DIVISION

### **3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES**

Lansing Board of Water and Light **Client: Eckert Station** Facility: **Unit 6 ESP Outlet Duct** Test Location: Test Method: 5 MATS **Source Condition** Normal Normal Normal Date 4/25/18 4/25/18 4/25/18 Start Time 8:15 10:50 13:20 End Time 10:30 13:05 15:35 Run 3 Average Run 1 Run 2 Stack Conditions 294.6 289.8 296.6 Average Gas Temperature, °F 297.4 10.5% 9.8% 10.4% Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume 10.8% 28.31 28.31 28.31 Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg 28.31 81.07 84.772 93,999 79.248 Gas Sample Volume, dscf 52.004 51.736 Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec 51.722 51.481 279,374 279,301 278,000 280,822 Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm 165,824 Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm 164,373 165,787 167,311 185,449 184,978 184,247 185,238 Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm 15.4 15.9 15.4 15.0 Average %CO2 by volume, dry basis 6.5 6.2 6.2 Average %O<sub>2</sub> by volume, dry basis 6.0 98.6 Isokinetic Variance 95.4 99.5 100.8

ISOMITERC VALIANCE	<b>JU</b>	00.0	100.0				
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0			
Filterable Particulate Matter (Method 5 MATS)							
grams collected	0.02313	0.01920	0.02033	0.02089			
mg/dscm	8.690	8.556	8.856	8.701			
grains/acf	0.0022	0.0022	0.0023	0.0022			
grains/dscf	0.0038	0.0037	0.0039	0.0038			
lb/hr	5.349	5.312	5,549	5.403			
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0075	0.0075	0.0079	0.0076			

Client: Facility:	Lansing Board of Water and L Eckert Station	.ight				
	Unit 6 ESP Outlet Duct					
Test Method:	26A					
1000 methodi	Source Condition	Normal	Normal	Normal		
	Date	4/26/18	4/26/18	4/26/18		
	Start Time	7:45	9:41	11:40		
	End Time	9:28	11:24	13:23		
		Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average	
	Sta	ack Conditions	3			
A	verage Gas Temperature, °F	277.4	280.8	285.8	281.3	
	Moisture, percent by volume	10.7%	10.9%	10.6%	10.7%	
	verage Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.51	28.51	28.51	28.51	
	Gas Sample Volume, dscf	74.141	73.701	73.403	73.748	
	Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	50.798	50.150	49.724	50.22 <b>4</b>	
Gas	Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	274,307	270,809	268,507	271,208	
Gas	Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	167,223	163,967	161,882	16 <b>4</b> ,357	
Gas	Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	187,173	183,929	181,151	184,084	
Averag	e %CO₂ by volume, dry basis	14.7	14.9	15.0	14.9	
Avera	ge %O₂ by volume, dry basis	5.6	5.4	5.4	5.5	
	Isokinetic Variance	98.7	100.0	100.9	99.9	
Standard	I Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	
Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Emissions						
	ug of sample collected	1761.63	1860.98	1849.54	1824.05	
	ppm	0.55	0.59	0.59	0.58	
	mg/dscm	0.84	0.89	0.89	0.87	
	lb/hr	0.526	0.548	0.540	0.538	
lb/	mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0007	0.0007	0.0007	0.0007	

# 4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to Lansing Board of Water and Light. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

### CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

Program Manager

Christopher Eldridge

otto Barac

Quality Assurance

Scott W. Banach