

Mercury and Air Toxics Standard Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct Lansing, Michigan March 13, 2018

Report Submittal Date April 10, 2018

> © Copyright 2018 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M181205A

888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 630-993-2100

RECEIVED

APR 27 2018

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

AIR QUALITY DIVISION

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) filterable particulate matter and hydrogen chloride emissions test program for the Lansing Board of Water and Light at the Eckert Station on the Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct in Lansing, Michigan on March 13, 2018. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test dates, and test parameters are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION				
Test Location	Test Parameters			
Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct	March 13, 2018	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and Hydrogen Chloride (HCl)		

The purpose of the test program was to document FPM and HCI emissions to qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

	TEST RESULTS				
Test Location	Test Parameter	er Emission Limits LEE Emission Limit		Emission Rates	
Unit 4 ESP	FPM	≤0.030 lb/mmBtu	≤0.015 lb/mmBtu	0.0170 lb/mmBtu	
Outlet Duct	HCi	≤0.002 lb/mmBtu	≤0.001 lb/mmBtu	0.0007 lb/mmBtu	

Emissions on lb/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard F_d-Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Plant operating data as provided by Lansing Board of Water and Light is included in Appendix A.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and submitted for analysis to Maxxam Analytical. The results of the audit sample were compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

The identifications of the individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION				
Location	Address	Contact		
Test Coordinator	Lansing Board of Water and Light 1232 Haco Drive P.O. Box 13007 Lansing, Michigan 48912	Ms. Trista Gregorski Environmental Engineer (517)702-6865 (phone) trista.gregorski@lbwl.com		
Test Facility	Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station 601 Island Ave Lansing, Michigan 48901			
Testing Company Representative	Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	Mr. Christopher Eldridge Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) celdridge@mp-mail.com		

The test crew consisted of Messrs. B. Garcia, C. Buglio, J. Kukla, T. Schmidt, and C. Eldridge of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

TEST POINT INFORMATION					
		Downstream Diameters	Test Parameter	Number of Sampling Points	
Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct	0.49	1.95	FPM, HCI	32	

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Oxygen (O₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. An ECOM analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas FPM concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 5, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Filter and probe temperatures were elevated to 320° Fahrenheit as described in 40CFR63, Subpart UUUUU. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCl) Determination

Stack gas HCI concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted by Maxxam Analytics, Inc. of Mississauga, Ontario. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

Client:Lansing Board of Water and LightFacility:Eckert StationTest Location:Unit 4 ESP Outlet DuctTest Method:5 MATS

Source Condition	Normal 3/13/18	Normal 3/13/18	Normal 3/13/18	
Start Time	8:00	11:02	13:40	
End Time	10:09	13:12	15:47	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Stack Cond	itions			
Average Gas Temperature, °F	334.7	341.9	347.9	341.5
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	9.6%	10.5%	10.3%	10.1%
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.43	28.43	28.43	28.43
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	78.03	79.062	79.625	78.906
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	56.912	57.679	57.676	57.422
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	307,325	311,467	311,451	310,081
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	175,379	1 7 4,344	173,39 7	174,373
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	193,989	194,826	193,377	194,064
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	14.6	14.5	14.4	14.5
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.3	4.9	4.9	5.0
Isokinetic Variance	98.7	100.6	101.9	100.4
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0
Filterable Particulate Mat	ter (Method	5 MATS)		
grams collected	0.04699	0.05194	0.04218	0.04704
mg/dscm	21.267	23.200	18.707	21.0580
grains/acf	0.0053	0.0057	0.0046	0.0052
grains/dscf	0.0093	0.0101	0.0082	0.0092
lb/hr	13.968	15.148	12.148	13.755
Ib/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0175	0.0186	0.0150	0.0170

Client: Lansing Board of Water and Facility: Eckert Station Test Location: Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct Test Method: 26A Source Condition Date Start Time End Time	Light Normal 3/13/18 8:20 10:23 Run 1	Normal 3/13/18 10:45 12:35 Run 2	Normal 3/13/18 13:25 15:12 Run 3	Average	
St	ack Condition	3			
Average Gas Temperature, °F	341.1	345.4	348.1	344.9	
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	10.3%	10.6%	10.5%	10.5%	
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.43	28.43	28.43	28.43	
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	77.646	78.632	78.666	78.315	
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	58.785	59.174	59.081	59.013	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	317,441	319,538	319,037	318,672	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	178,211	177,844	177,270	177,775	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	198,772	199,022	198,041	198,612	
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	14.6	14.5	14.4	14.5	
Average %O₂ by volume, dry basis	5.3	4.9	4.9	5.0	
Isokinetic Variance	99.8	101.3	101.7	100.9	
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	
Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Emissions					
ug of sample collected	2128.37	2002.94	2052.32	2061.21	
ppm	0.64	0.59	0.61	0.61	
mg/dscm	0.97	0.90	0.92	0.93	
lb/hr	0.646	0.599	0.612	0.619	
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0008	0.0007	0.0007	0.0007	

4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to Lansing Board of Water and Light. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

Program Manager

Christopher Eldridge

cotter. Barrow

Quality Assurance

Scott W. Banach