

RECEIVED

JUL 1 2 2017

AIR QUALITY DIV.

West with

Mercury and Air Toxics Standard Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

> Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct Lansing, Michigan May 31 and June 1, 2017

> > Report Submittal Date June 28, 2017

> > > © Copyright 2017 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M172209

888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 630-993-2100

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) filterable particulate matter and hydrogen chloride emissions test program for the Lansing Board of Water and Light at the Eckert Station on the Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct in Lansing, Michigan on May 31 and June 1, 2017. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test dates, and test parameters are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION				
Test Location Test Dates		Test Parameters		
Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct	May 31 and June 1, 2017	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and Hydrogen Chloride (HCI)		

The purpose of the test program was to document FPM and HCI emissions to qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

TEST RESULTS					
Test Location	Test Parameter	Emission Limits	LEE Emission Limits	Emission Rates	
Unit 4 ESP Outlet	FPM	≤0.030 lb/mmBtu	≤0.015 lb/mmBtu	0.0104 lb/mmBtu	
Duct	HCI	≤0.002 lb/mmBtu	≤0.001 lb/mmBtu	0.0007 lb/mmBtu	

Emissions on lb/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard F_d-Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Plant operating data as provided by Lansing Board of Water and Light is included in Appendix A.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and submitted for analysis to Maxxam Analytical. The results of the audit sample was compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

The identifications of individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION				
Location	Address	Contact		
Test Coordinator	Lansing Board of Water and Light 1232 Haco Drive P.O. Box 13007 Lansing , Michigan 48912	Ms. Trista Gregorski Environmental Engineer (517)702-6865 (phone) trista.gregorski@lbwl.com		
Test Facility	Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station 601 Island Ave Lansing, Michigan 48901			
Testing Company Representative	Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	Mr. Christopher Trezak Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) ctrezak@mp-mail.com		

The test crew consisted of Messrs. R. Simon, D. Kossack, and C. Trezak of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

TEST POINT INFORMATION					
Upstream Location Diameters		Downstream Diameters	Test Parameter	Number of Sampling Points	
Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct	0.49	1.95	FPM, HCI	32	

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Oxygen (O₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. An ECOM analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas FPM concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 5, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Filter and probe temperatures were elevated to 320° Fahrenheit as described in 40CFR63, Subpart UUUUU. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Determination

Stack gas HCl concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted by Maxxam Analytics, Inc. of Mississauga, Ontario. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

Client:Lansing Board of Water and LightFacility:Eckert StationTest Location:Unit 4 ESP Outlet DuctTest Method:5 MATS

Source Condition	High Load	High Load	High Load			
Date	5/31/17	5/31/17	5/31/17			
Start Time	6:35	9:22	12:14			
End Time	9:03	11:36	14:23			
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average		
Stack Conc	litions					
Average Gas Temperature, °F	332.2	335.3	337.1	334.9		
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	9.1%	11.3%	11.2%	10.5%		
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.69	28.69	28.69	28.69		
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	76.876	78.680	77.727	77.761		
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	58.181	59.632	59.037	58.950		
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	314,177	322,012	318,801	318,330		
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	182,555	181,859	179,859	181,424		
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	200,797	205,004	202,506	202,769		
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	14.3	14.5	14.7	14.5		
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.2	5.0	4.9	5.0		
Isokinetic Variance	99.8	102.5	102.4	101.6		
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0		
Filterable Particulate Matter (Method 5 MATS)						
grams collected	0.01976	0.03257	0.03309	0.02847		
grains/acf	0.0023	0.0036	0.0037	0.0032		
grains/dscf	0.0040	0.0064	0.0066	0.0057		
lb/hr	6.206	9.957	10.127	8.763		
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0074	0.0118	0.0120	0.0104		

Client: Lansing Board of Water and Facility: Eckert Station Test Location: Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct	Light				
Test Method: 26A					
Source Condition	High Load	High Load	High Load		
Date	6/1/17	6/1/17	6/1/17		
Start Time	6:40	8:38	10:32		
End Time	8:23	10:1 9	12:15		
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average	
<u></u> S	tack Conditions	•			
Average Gas Temperature, °F	336.4	337.2	337.1	336.9	
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	11.3%	10.7%	11.2%	11.1%	
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.66	28.66	28.66	28.66	
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	62.381	62,429	61.317	62.042	
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	59.257	59.486	58.035	58.926	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	319,988	321,225	313,388	318,200	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	180,173	181,949	176,544	179,555	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	203,231	203,817	198,852	201,967	
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	13.6	13.1	13.3	13.3	
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.3	6.1	6.0	5.8	
Isokinetic Variance	102.5	101.6	102.8	102.3	
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	
Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Emissions					
ug of sample collected	1900.00	1200.00	1200.00	1433.33	
ppm	0.71	0.45	0.46	0.54	
mg/dscm	1.08	0.68	0.69	0.82	
lb/hr	0.7259	0.4626	0.4570	0.5485	
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0009	0.0006	0.0006	0.0007	

4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to Lansing Board of Water and Light. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

- X. JypZ

Christopher Trezak

Program Manager

ES L Ett

Quality Assurance

Eric L. Ehlers