

Mercury and Air Toxics Standard Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct Lansing, Michigan March 7 and 8, 2017

Report Submittal Date April 7, 2017

RECEIVED

APR 1 7 2017

AIR QUALITY DIV.

© Copyright 2017 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M170903B

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) filterable particulate matter and hydrogen chloride emissions test program for the Lansing Board of Water and Light at the Eckert Station on the Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct in Lansing, Michigan on March 7 and 8, 2017. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test dates, and test parameters are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION			
Test Location	Test Date	Test Parameters	
Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct	March 7 and 8, 2017	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and Hydrogen Chloride (HCI)	

The purpose of the test program was to document FPM and HCI emissions to qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

TEST RESULTS					
Test Location Test Parameter Emission Limits Emission Rates					
Unit 4 ESP Outlet	FPM	≤0.030 lb/mmBtu	0.0057 lb/mmBtu		
Duct	HCI	≤0.002 lb/mmBtu	0.0008 lb/mmBtu		

Emissions on lb/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard F_d -Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Plant operating data as provided by Lansing Board of Water and Light is included in Appendix A.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and submitted for analysis to Maxxam Analytical. The results of the audit sample was compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

The identifications of individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION			
Location	Address	Contact	
Test Coordinator Test Facility	Lansing Board of Water and Light 1232 Haco Drive P.O. Box 13007 Lansing , Michigan 48912 Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station 601 Island Ave	Ms. Trista Gregorski Environmental Engineer (517)702-6865 (phone) trista.gregorski@lbwl.com	
	Lansing, Michigan 48901		
Testing	Mostardi Platt	Mr. Christopher Trezak	
Company Representative	888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) ctrezak@mp-mail.com	

The test crew consisted of Messrs. A. Hill, D. Kossack, T. Schmidt, and C. Trezak of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

TEST POINT INFORMATION				
Upstream Downstream Number of Location Diameters Diameters Test Parameter Sampling Points				
Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct	0.49	1.95	FPM, HCI	32

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Oxygen (O₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. An ECOM analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas FPM concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 5, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Filter and probe temperatures were elevated to 320° Fahrenheit as described in 40CFR63, Subpart UUUUU. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Determination

Stack gas HCl concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted by Maxxam Analytics, Inc. of Mississauga, Ontario. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

RECEIVED

APR 1 7 2017

AIR QUALITY DIV.

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

Client: Lansing Board of Water and Light

Facility: Eckert Station

Test Location: Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct

Test Method: 5 MATS

rest incured.					
Source Condition	High	High	Hìgh		
Date	3/7/17	3/7/17	3/7/17		
Start Time	8:30	11:00	13:30		
End Time	10:37	13:07	15:37		
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average	
Stack Cond	itions				
Average Gas Temperature, °F	339.7	348.0	351.7	346.5	
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	10.8%	10.9%	10.6%	10.8%	
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.66	28.66	28.66	28.66	
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	83.937	81.872	81.540	82.450	
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	61.734	59.950	59.288	60.324	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	333,365	323,733	320,153	325,750	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	188,061	180,510	178,355	182,309	
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	210,802	202,598	199,455	204,285	
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	14.6	13.9	13.1	13.9	
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.0	5.5	5.5	5.3	
Isokinetic Variance	102.3	104.0	104.8	103.7	
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	
Filterable Particulate Matter (Method 5 MATS)					
grams collected	0.0159	0.0172	0.0154	0.0162	
mg/dscm	6.706	7.415	6.657	6.9260	
grains/acf	0.0017	0.0018	0.0016	0.0017	
grains/dscf	0.0029	0.0032	0.0029	0.0030	
lb/hr	4.723	5.013	4.446	4.727	
Ib/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0054	0.0062	0.0055	0.0057	

Client:

Lansing Board of Water and Light

Facility:

Eckert Station

Test Location: Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct

Test Method: 26A

Source Condition	High	High	High
Date	3/8/17	3/8/17	3/8/17
Start Time	7:30	9:30	11:30
End Time	9:14	11:13	13:13
	Down 4	D 0	D 2

End Time	9:14	11:13	13:13	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Sta	ack Conditions	<u> </u>		
Average Gas Temperature, °F	337.8	340.3	345.0	341.0
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	10.0%	10.8%	10.3%	10.4%
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.72	28.72	28.72	28.72
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	65.178	65.735	67.146	66.020
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	58.596	58.894	59.741	59.077
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	316,417	318,028	322,600	319,015
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	180,960	179,629	182,107	180,899
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	200,967	201,367	203,081	201,805
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	14.0	14.0	14.2	14.1
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.4	5.3	5.5	5.4
Isokinetic Variance	103.2	104.9	105.7	104.6
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0
Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Emissions				
ug of sample collected	1700.00	1700.00	1900.00	1766.67
ppm	0.61	0.60	0.66	0.62
mg/dscm	0.92	0.91	1.00	0.94
lb/hr	0.624	0.615	0.682	0.640
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0008	8000.0	0.0008	0.0008

4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to Lansing Board of Water and Light. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

Unatan St. Sigo 2	
Christopher Trezak	Program Manager
Scotter Barrer	
Scott W. Banach	Quality Assurance