

Mercury and Air Toxics Standard Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station Unit 5 ESP Outlet Duct Lansing, Michigan February 28 and March 1, 2017

Report Submittal Date April 7, 2017

RECEIVED

APR 1 7 2017 AIR QUALITY DIV.

© Copyright 2017 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M170903A

888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 630-993-2100

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) filterable particulate matter and hydrogen chloride emissions test program for the Lansing Board of Water and Light at the Eckert Station on the Unit 5 ESP Outlet Duct in Lansing, Michigan on February 28 and March 1, 2017. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test dates, and test parameters are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION				
Test Location	Test Dates	Test Parameters		
Unit 5 ESP Outlot Duot	February 28 and March 1,	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and		
Offit 5 ESP Outlet Duct	2017	Hydrogen Chloride (HCI)		

The purpose of the test program was to demonstrate FPM and HCI emissions qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

TEST RESULTS				
Test Location	Test Parameter	Emission Limits	Emission Rates	
Unit 5 ESP Outlet Duct	FPM	≤0.030 lb/mmBtu	0.0163 lb/mmBtu	
	HCI	≤0.002 lb/mmBtu	0.0008 lb/mmBtu	

Emissions on lb/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard F_d -Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Plant operating data as provided by Lansing Board of Water and Light is included in Appendix A.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and submitted for analysis to Maxxam Analytical. The results of the audit sample was compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

The identifications of individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION				
Location	Address	Contact		
Test Coordinator	Lansing Board of Water and Light 1232 Haco Drive P.O. Box 13007 Lansing, Michigan 48912	Ms. Trista Gregorski Environmental Engineer (517)702-6865 (phone) trista.gregorski@lbwl.com		
Test Facility	Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station 601 Island Ave Lansing, Michigan 48901			
Testing Company Representative	Mostardi Platt 888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	Mr. Christopher Trezak Project Manager (630) 993-2100 (phone) ctrezak@mp-mail.com		

The test crew consisted of Messrs. A. Hill, D. Kossack, T. Schmidt, and C. Trezak of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

TEST POINT INFORMATION					
Location	Upstream Diameters	Downstream Diameters	Test Parameter	Number of Sampling Points	
Unit 5 ESP Outlet Duct	0.49	1.95	FPM, HCI	32	

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Oxygen (O₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. An ECOM analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas FPM concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 5, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Filter and probe temperatures were elevated to 320° Fahrenheit as described in 40CFR63, Subpart UUUUU. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Determination

Stack gas HCI concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted by Maxxam Analytics, Inc. of Mississauga, Ontario. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

Client:Lansing Board of Water and LightFacility:Eckert StationTest Location:Unit 5 ESP Outlet DuctTest Method:5 MATS

Source Condition	High	High	High	
Date	2/28/17	2/28/17	2/28/17	
Start Time	7:40	10:30	13:10	
End Time	10:05	12:38	15:17	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Stack Cond	itions			
Average Gas Temperature, °F	344.1	356.4	366.2	355.6
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	10.3%	11.1%	11.2%	10.9%
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.71	28.63	28.63	28.66
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	86.141	83.758	84.916	84.938
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	50.389	50.867	51.209	50.822
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	272,099	274,681	276,531	274,437
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	153,703	151,166	150,254	151,708
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	171,410	170,004	169,122	170,179
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	14.8	13.5	15.2	14.5
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.0	6.1	4.6	5.2
Isokinetic Variance	102.7	101.5	103.6	102.6
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0
Filterable Particulate Mat	ter (Method	5 MATS)		
grams collected	0.0694	0.0390	0.0358	0.0481
mg/dscm	28.451	16.443	14.901	19.9319
grains/acf	0.0070	0.0040	0.0035	0.0048
grains/dscf	0.0124	0.0072	0.0065	0.0087
lb/hr	16.378	9.309	8.385	11.357
Ib/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0229	0.0142	0.0117	0.0163

RECEIVED

APR 1 7 2017

Client: Facility: Test Location: Test Method:	Lansing Board of Water and Eckert Station Unit 5 ESP Outlet Duct 26A	Light			AIR QUALITY DIV.	
	Source Condition	High	High	High		
	Date	2/28/17	3/1/17	3/1/17		
	Start Time	15:40	7:25	9:25		
	End Time	17:23	9:08	11:09		
		Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average	
	St	ack Conditions	<u>s </u>			
A	/erage Gas Temperature, °F	371.2	352.3	361.2	361.6	
Flue Gas N	loisture, percent by volume	11.2%	11.0%	11.5%	11.2%	
Av	erage Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.71	28.71	28.71	28.71	
	Gas Sample Volume, dscf	67.897	66.168	66,902	66.989	
	Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	51.551	49.916	51,132	50.866	
Gas	Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	278,374	269,545	276,115	274,678	
Gas V	olumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	150,706	149,664	150,826	150,399	
Gas	Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	169,660	168,083	170,332	169,358	
Average	%CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	14.3	14.2	13.8	14.1	
Averag	ge %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.3	5.4	5.2	5.3	
	Isokinetic Variance	103.2	101.3	101.6	102.0	
Standard	Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	
Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Emissions						
	ug of sample collected	1700.00	1800.00	1900.00	1800.00	
	ppm	0.58	0.63	0.66	0.63	
	mg/dscm	0.88	0.96	1.00	0.95	
	lb/hr	0.499	0.539	0.567	0.535	
lb/n	nmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0007	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	

4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to Lansing Board of Water and Light. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

- X. Sipz

Program Manager

Christopher Trezak

Cottor Barace

Quality Assurance

Scott W. Banach