

Mercury and Air Toxics Standard Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station Unit 6 ESP Outlet Duct Lansing, Michigan October 20 and 21, 2016

> Report Submittal Date November 30, 2016

RECEIVED

DEC 1 5 2016 AIR QUALITY DIV.

© Copyright 2016 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M163003E

888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 630-993-2100

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) filterable particulate matter and hydrogen chloride emissions test program for the Lansing Board of Water and Light at the Eckert Station on the Unit 6 ESP Outlet Duct in Lansing, Michigan on October 20 and 21, 2016. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test dates, and test parameters are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION				
Test Location Test Date		Test Parameters		
Unit 6 ESP Outlet Duct	October 20 and 21, 2016	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and Hydrogen Chloride (HCl)		

The purpose of the test program was to document FPM and HCI emissions to qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

TEST RESULTS				
Test Location	Test Parameter	Emission Limits	Emission Rates	
Unit 6 ESP Outlet Duct	FPM	≤0.030 lb/mmBtu	0.0020 lb /mama Dtu	
		≤0.015 lb/mmBtu (LEE Status)*	0.0038 lb/mmBtu	
	1101	≤0.002 lb/mmBtu	0.0009 lb/mmBtu	
	HCI	≤0.001 lb/mmBtu (LEE Status)**	0.0009 ID/IIIIIBIU	

*LEE designation for FPM is established if the FPM emissions measured during the initial compliance test and all subsequent quarterly testing completed over the initial 3-year period are less than 50% of the applicable emission limit, which equates to 0.015 lb/mmBtu.

** LEE designation for HCI is established if the HCI emissions measured during the initial compliance test and all subsequent quarterly testing completed over the initial 3-year period are less than 50% of the applicable emission limit, which equates to 0.001 lb/mmBtu.

Emissions on lb/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard F_d -Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Plant operating data as provided by Lansing Board of Water and Light is included in Appendix A.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and submitted for analysis to Maxxam Analytical. The results of the audit sample was compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

RECEIVED

DEC 1 5 2016

AIR QUALITY DIV.

The identifications of the individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION				
Location	Address	Contact		
Test	Lansing Board of Water and Light	Ms. Trista Gregorski		
Coordinator	1232 Haco Drive	Environmental Engineer		
	P.O. Box 13007	(517)702-6865 (phone)		
	Lansing , Michigan 48912	tmg@LBWL.COM		
Test Facility	Lansing Board of Water and Light			
-	Eckert Station			
	601 Island Ave			
	Lansing, Michigan 48901			
Testing	Mostardi Platt	Mr. Chris Trezak		
Company	888 Industrial Drive	Project Manager		
Representative	Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	(630) 993-2100 (phone)		
		ctrezak@mp-mail.com		

The test crew consisted of Messrs. B. Garcia, D. Dixon, and C. Trezak of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

TEST POINT INFORMATION					
Location	Upstream Diameters	Downstream Diameters	Test Parameter	Number of Sampling Points	
Unit 6 ESP Outlet Duct	0.49	1.95	FPM, HCI	32	

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Oxygen (O₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. A Ecom analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas FPM concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 5, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Filter and probe temperatures were elevated to 320° Fahrenheit as described in 40CFR63, Subpart UUUUU. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Determination

Stack gas HCI concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted by Maxxam Analytics, Inc. of Mississauga, Ontario. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

Client:Lansing Board of Water and LightFacility:Eckert StationTest Location:Unit 6 ESP Outlet DuctTest Method:5 MATS

Source Condition Date	High Load 10/20/16	High Load 10/20/16	High Load 10/20/16				
Start Time	7:55	10:25	12:55				
End Time	10:03	12:32	15:02				
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average			
Stack Conc							
Average Gas Temperature, °F	345.7	351.4	357.9	351.7			
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	11.2%	11.3%	11.5%	11.3%			
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.48	28.48	28.48	28.48			
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	76.214	74.878	74.547	75.213			
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	58,929	58.390	58.189	58.503			
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	318,216	315,304	314,221	315,914			
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	176,332	173,119	170,759	173,403			
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	198,476	195,258	193,049	195,594			
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	13.6	14.0	13.7	13.8			
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.4	5.3	5.7	5.5			
Isokinetic Variance	104.1	104.2	105.2	104.5			
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0			
Filterable Particulate Mat	Filterable Particulate Matter (Method 5 MATS)						
grams collected	0.0115	0.0086	0.0090	0.0097			
mg/dscm	5.329	4.056	4.263	4.5494			
grains/acf	0.0013	0.0010	0.0010	0.0011			
grains/dscf	0.0023	0.0018	0.0019	0.0020			
lb/hr	3.519	2.630	2.727	2.959			
Ib/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0044	0.0033	0.0036	0.0038			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

Client: Lansing Board Facility: Eckert Station Test Location: Unit 6 ESP Outle Test Method: 26A		Light				
Source	e Condition	High Load	High Load	High Load		
	Date Start Time	10/21/16 7:35	10/21/16 9:57	10/21/16 12:14		
	End Time	9:42	9.37 12:04	14:21		
		Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average	
Tommer and the second s	St	ack Conditions				
Average Gas Tem	perature. °F	343.3	343.8	351.0	346.0	
Flue Gas Moisture, percent		11.1%	11.2%	11.1%	11.1%	
Average Flue Pres	-	28.59	28.59	28.59	28.59	
Gas Sample V	•	72.456	71.459	74.508	72.808	
Average Gas Vel	ocity, ft/sec	55.877	57.126	57.995	56.999	
Gas Volumetric Flow	Rate, acfm	301,734	308,482	313,175	307,797	
Gas Volumetric Flow I	Rate, dscfm	168,424	171,877	173,076	171,126	
Gas Volumetric Flow	Rate, scfm	189,467	193,583	194,794	192,615	
Average %CO ₂ by volum	e, dry basis	14.6	14.0	13.9	14.2	
Average %O ₂ by volum	e, dry basis	5.8	4.6	4.6	5.0	
Isokinet	ic Variance	103.6	100.1	103.7	102.5	
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, o	dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	
Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Emissions						
ug of sampl	le collected	2,300	2,200	2,000	2,167	
	ppm	0.74	0.72	0.63	0.69	
	mg/dscm	1.12	1.09	0.95	1.05	
	lb/hr	0.707	0.700	0.615	0.674	
Ib/mmBtu (Standard	l Fd Factor)	0.0010	0.0009	0.0007	0.0009	

4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to Lansing Board of Water and Light. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

- X. 542

Program Manager

Chris Trezak

Cotto Bance

Quality Assurance

Scott W. Banach