

Mercury and Air Toxics Standard Particulate Matter and Hydrogen Chloride Emissions Test Report

Lansing Board of Water and Light Eckert Station Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct Lansing, Michigan July 20 and 21, 2016

> Report Submittal Date August 29, 2016

> > RECEIVED

SEP 0 6 2016

© Copyright 2016 All rights reserved in Mostardi Platt

Project No. M162906C

888 Industrial Drive Elmhurst, Illinois 60126 630-993-2100

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

MOSTARDI PLATT conducted a Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) filterable particulate matter and hydrogen chloride emissions test program for the Lansing Board of Water and Light at the Eckert Station on the Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct in Lansing, Michigan on July 20 and 21, 2016. This report summarizes the results of the test program and test methods used.

The test location, test dates, and test parameters are summarized below.

TEST INFORMATION				
Test Location Test Date		Test Parameters		
Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct	July 20 and 21, 2016	Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) and Hydrogen Chloride (HCI)		

The purpose of the test program was to document FPM and HCI emissions to qualify for the LEE designation as required by 40 CFR Part 63, Subpart UUUUU. Selected results of the test program are summarized below. A complete summary of emission test results follows the narrative portion of this report.

TEST RESULTS					
Test Location	Test Parameter	Emission Limits	Emission Rates		
Unit 4 ESP Outlet	FPM	≤0.030 lb/mmBtu	0.0053 lb/mmBtu		
	HCI	≤0.002 lb/mmBtu	0.0010 lb/mmBtu		

Emissions on lb/mmBtu basis were determined using a standard F_d -Factor of 9,820 dscf/mmBtu for sub-bituminous coal. Plant operating data as provided by Lansing Board of Water and Light is included in Appendix A.

The Stationary Source Audit Sample Program audit sample was obtained from ERA and submitted for analysis to Maxxam Analytical. The results of the audit sample was compared to the assigned value by ERA and found to be acceptable. The audit sample result and evaluation are appended to this report.

RECEIVED

SEP 0 6 2016

AIR QUALITY DIV.

TEST PERSONNEL INFORMATION				
Location	Address	Contact		
Test	Lansing Board of Water and Light	Ms. Trista Gregorski		
Coordinator	1232 Haco Drive	Environmental Engineer		
	P.O. Box 13007	(517)702-6865 (phone)		
	Lansing , Michigan 48912	tmg@LBWL.COM		
Test Facility	Lansing Board of Water and Light			
-	Eckert Station			
	601 Island Ave			
	Lansing, Michigan 48901			
Testing	Mostardi Platt	Mr. Rich Sollars		
Company	888 Industrial Drive	Project Manager		
Representative	Elmhurst, Illinois 60126	(630) 993-2100 (phone)		
•		rsollars@mp-mail.com		

The identifications of individuals associated with the test program are summarized below.

The test crew consisted of Messrs. B. Garcia, D. Dixon, J. Keable, and R. Sollars of Mostardi Platt.

2.0 TEST METHODOLOGY

Emissions testing was conducted following the methods specified in 40CFR60, Appendix A. A schematic of the test section diagram is found in Appendix B and schematics of the sampling trains used are included in Appendix C. Calculation nomenclature and sample calculations are included in Appendix D. Laboratory analysis data are found in Appendix E. Copies of analyzer print-outs for each test run are included in Appendix F and field data sheets are found in Appendix G.

The following methodologies were used during the test program:

Method 1 Traverse Point Determination

Test measurement points were selected in accordance with Method 1. The characteristics of the measurement location are summarized below.

TEST POINT INFORMATION					
Location	Upstream Diameters	Downstream Diameters	Test Parameter	Number of Sampling Points	
Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct	0.49	1.95	FPM, HCI	32	

Method 2 Volumetric Flowrate Determination

Gas velocity was measured following Method 2, for purposes of calculating stack gas volumetric flow rate. An S-type pitot tube, differential pressure gauge, thermocouple and temperature readout were used to determine gas velocity at each sample point. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 3A Oxygen (O₂)/Carbon Dioxide (CO₂) Determination

Stack gas molecular weight was determined in accordance with Method 3A. A Servomex analyzer was used to determine stack gas oxygen and carbon dioxide content and, by difference, nitrogen content. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H and copies of the gas cylinder certifications are found in Appendix I.

Method 5 Filterable Particulate Matter (FPM) Determination

Stack gas FPM concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with USEPA Method 5, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company, Inc. sampling train was used to sample stack gas at an isokinetic rate, as specified in the Method. Filter and probe temperatures were elevated to 320° Fahrenheit as described in 40CFR63, Subpart UUUUU. Particulate matter in the sample probe was recovered using an acetone rinse. The probe wash and filter catch were analyzed by Mostardi Platt in accordance with the Method in the Elmhurst, Illinois laboratory. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

Method 26A Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Determination

Stack gas HCI concentrations and emission rates were determined in accordance with Method 26A, 40CFR60, Appendix A. An Environmental Supply Company sampling train was used to sample stack gas, in the manner specified in the Method. Analyses of the samples collected were conducted by Maxxam Analytics, Inc. of Mississauga, Ontario. Sample analysis data are found in Appendix E. All of the equipment used was calibrated in accordance with the specifications of the Method. Calibration data are presented in Appendix H.

3.0 TEST RESULT SUMMARIES

Client:	Lansing Board of Water and Light
Facility:	Eckert Station
Test Location:	Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct
Test Method:	5 MATS

Source Condition	High	High	High	
Date Start Time	7/21/16 8:50	7/21/16 11:15	7/21/16 14:20	
End Time	10:57	13:22	14.20	
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average
Stack Cond			Turi 5	Average
Average Gas Temperature, °F	336.9	347.1	345.7	343.2
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	10.1%	12.7%	11.9%	11.6%
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.66	28.66	28.66	28.66
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	78.572	75.627	78.218	77.472
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	59.354	59,020	59.580	59.318
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	320,512	318,711	321,734	320,319
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	182,960	174,367	177,966	178,431
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	203,436	199,724	201,970	201,710
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	13.7	15.0	15.1	14.6
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.9	4.7	4.5	5.0
Isokinetic Variance	100.9	101.9	103.3	102.0
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0
Filterable Particulate Mat	ter (Method	5 MATS)	·····	
grams collected	0.0250	0.0084	0.0088	0.0141
mg/dscm	11.236	3.922	3.973	6.3773
grains/acf	0.0028	0.0009	0.0010	0.0016
grains/dscf	0.0049	0.0017	0.0017	0.0028
lb/hr	7.699	2.561	2.648	4.303
Ib/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0096	0.0031	0.0031	0.0053

Client: Lansing Board of Water and I Facility: Eckert Station Test Location: Unit 4 ESP Outlet Duct Test Method: 26A Source Condition Date	∟ight High 7/20/16	High 7/20/16	High 7/20/16			
Start Time	7:30	9:58	12:20			
End Time	9:38	12:05	14:27			
	Run 1	Run 2	Run 3	Average		
Sta	ack Condition	S				
Average Gas Temperature, °F	335.9	344.2	353.3	344.5		
Flue Gas Moisture, percent by volume	11.6%	11.6%	11.5%	11.6%		
Average Flue Pressure, in. Hg	28.66	28.66	28.66	28.66		
Gas Sample Volume, dscf	79.904	76.525	77.270	77,900		
Average Gas Velocity, ft/sec	60.649	58.854	59.484	59.662		
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, acfm	327,503	317,812	321,214	322,176		
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, dscfm	183,890	176,656	176,752	179,099		
Gas Volumetric Flow Rate, scfm	208,127	199,880	199,776	202,594		
Average %CO ₂ by volume, dry basis	13.8	14.2	14.2	14.1		
Average %O ₂ by volume, dry basis	5.9	5.5	5.5	5.6		
Isokinetic Variance	102.1	101.8	102.7	102.2		
Standard Fuel Factor Fd, dscf/mmBtu	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0	9,820.0		
Hydrogen Chloride (HCI) Emissions						
ug of sample collected	2600.00	2500.00	2600.00	2566.67		
ppm	0.76	0.76	0.78	0.77		
mg/dscm	1.15	1.15	1.19	1.16		
lb/hr	0.792	0.763	0.787	0.781		
lb/mmBtu (Standard Fd Factor)	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010	0.0010		

4.0 CERTIFICATION

MOSTARDI PLATT is pleased to have been of service to Lansing Board of Water and Light. If you have any questions regarding this test report, please do not hesitate to contact us at 630-993-2100.

CERTIFICATION

As project manager, I hereby certify that this test report represents a true and accurate summary of emissions test results and the methodologies employed to obtain those results, and the test program was performed in accordance with the methods specified in this test report.

MOSTARDI PLATT

Rich 31

Rich Sollars

cottor Barace

Quality Assurance

Program Manager

Scott W. Banach

RECEIVED

SEP 0 6 2016

AIR QUALITY DIV.